

RELATIONSHIP OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT WITH
CREATIVITY, ACHIEVEMENT AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS
OF XI GRADE SCIENCE STUDENTS


*Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements of the degree of*
**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(EDUCATION)**

Guided by
DR. MOHID MIYAN
Reader in Education

by
SANTOSH KUMAR

**FACULTY OF EDUCATION
JAMIA MILLIA ISLAMIA
NEW DELHI
February, 1987**

I hereby certify that the thesis of
Shri Santosh Kumar, entitled 'Relationship
of Intellectual Development with Creativity
Achievement and Socio-economic status of
XI grade science students ' is a record of
bonafide research carried out by him under
my guidance and supervision.


(Mohd. Miyan)
Reader
Faculty of Education,
Jamia Millia Islamia,
New Delhi.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The investigator acknowledges his whole hearted indebtedness to his guide Dr. Mohd. Miyan whose wise supervision could lead the investigator to mould his work in its present shape.

He feels very much obliged to Prof. H.S. Srivastava, Head, Prof. Pritam Singh and Shri J.P. Shouire ; DMES&DP, NCERT, New Delhi, for providing him all the possible facilities for conducting his work.

The investigator is equally indebted to Dr. K.K. Vamitha and Dr. (Mrs.) Girja Mohd. Miyan, NCERT, New Delhi for their voluntary cooperation and timely suggestions, without which this work could not have been put into its present shape.

He also expresses his deep sense of gratitude to Mr. U.K. Singh Rathore, Mr. Ashok Rathore, Mr. Sunil Kumar for their fullest and whole hearted cooperation in carrying out the present investigation.

His thanks are due to students, staff and Principals of the institutions, who cooperated in availing the data for this work.


The investigator is thankful to Shri Sanjay Gupta, his nephew and Mrs. Chanchal Jain, who inspite of his

heavy engagements, typed the report neatly, nicely and within a short span of time. He is also thankful to Shri K.D.Sharma, Section Officer, DMES & DP NCERT for providing him all the possible facilities for conducting his work.

In the end the investigator acknowledges his indebtedness to his revered parents Shri Vidya Sagar Gupta and Smt. Kishori Devi Gupta, elder brother Shri S.A.K.Gupta and family members, who had been throughout encouraging him and had been a source of inspiration to him.

Dated:

Santosh Kumar,
(SANTOSH KUMAR)



C O N T E N T S

Acknowledgement

Contents

List of Tables

CHAPTER I : PROBLEM AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE

Introduction	1
Intellectual Development of Child	2
Piagets Philosophy of Development	5
-Knowing	
+Knowledge	
Concept of Operation	8
Piagets Stages of Intellectual Development	10
-The Sensory Motor Stage	12
-The Pre-operational Stage	13
-The concrete Operational Stage	13
-The Formal Operational Stage	15
-Transition of Thought	18
Creativity	19
-The Concept	
--Dimension of Creativity	21
-Cognitive Developmental View of Creativity	27

Academic Achievement and Intellectual Development	29
Intellectual Development of SES	<u>30</u>
In Defence of the Study	32
Assumptions	43
Delimitation of the Study	44
Terminology Used	44
-Creativity	
	47
-Intellectual Development	
	<u>49</u>
-Academic Achievement	
	51
-Caste	
	50
-Parents Education	
	50
-Parents Occupation	
	50
- Family Size	
	50
- Parents Income	
	50
-Environment	
	50
-Types of School	
	51

CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND STUDIES

Introduction	52
Justification for Related Intellectual Development	53
Studies Related with the Intellectual Development and the Achievement in Science S Subjects.	72
Researches on Creativity	79
- Cognitive Correlates	
- Personality Correlates	81
Studies Related with Intellectual Development and Creativity.	83

CHAPTER III : PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF STUDY

Methodology	87
Sampling	88

DESCRIPTION OF TOOLS

Group Assessment of Logical Thinking (GALT)	90
-Conservation	91
-Proportional Reasoning	93
-Control Variables	94
-Probability	95
-Correlational Thinking	96
-Combinational Reasoning	98
-Reliability	100
-Validity	100
-Preparation of GALT in Hindi	101

MEASURES OF CREATIVITY

-Product Improvement Activity	102
-Unusual Uses Activity	104
-Unusual Questions Activity	105
-Jung Suppose Activity	106
-Repeated Figure Activity	106
-Reliability	110
-Validity	112
-Scoring	114

MEASURE OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

114

GENERAL INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

115

THE PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

115

STATISTICAL TREATMENT

116

**STEP IV PRESENTATION ANALYSIS AND
INTERPRETATION OF DATA**

Presentation of Data	117
-Levels of Intellectual Development	117
-Creativity scores	118
-Achievement Scores	123
-Socio Economic Status	127
Analysis and Interpretation of Data	128
-Levels of Intellectual Development	
Relationships	150
-Intellectual Development with creativity.	150
-Achievement and Intellectual Development	200
-Intellectual Development and Socio - Economic of Parents.	234
Differences.	267

CHAPTER - V CONCLUSIONS? RECOMMENDATIONS AND
SUGGESTED RESEARCH

276

4 Introduction	
- Findings	277
- Recommendations	284
- Suggested Research	286

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(i) to xxviii

SUMMARY

1-20

APPENDICES

Appendix I

Appendix II

Appendix III

Appendix IV

Appendix V

Appendix VII

Appendix VIII

Appendix IX

Appendix X

Appendix XI

FIGURES I to X

TABLE - 1 and 2

(Showing Mean and S.D.)

Annexure - A

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
I	Frequency and Percentage of Score Obtained on GAIT.	118
II	Frequency and Percentage of Score Obtained on Non-Verbal Activities of I.P.C.T.	119
III	Frequency and Percentage of Score Obtained on Verbal Activities of I.P.C.T.	120
IV	Frequency Distribution and Percentage of Scores Obtained in Mathematics.	122
V	Frequency Distribution and Percentage of Scores Obtained in Science.	124
VI	Frequency Distribution and Percentage of Aggregate Achievement Scores.	126
VII	Showing the Educational level of Fathers	128
VIII	Showing The Education level of Mothers.	129
IX	Showing the Occupation Status of Fathers.	131
X	Showing Occupation Status of Mothers.	133

XI	Showing Parents' Income.	135
XII	Showing The Size of The Family .	137
XIII	Frequency and Percentage of Students at Different Levels of Intellectual Development	141
XIV	Frequency and Percentage of Students at Different Levels of Intellectual Development of Government and Aided Schools.	145
XV	Frequency and Percentage of General and SC/ST Student at Different Levels of Intellectual Development	148
XVI	Correlation Coefficients Between Various Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of the Students.	151
XVII	Correlation of Coefficients between Various Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of Urban and Rural Students.	155
XVIII	Correlation Coefficients Between Various Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls.	158
XIX	Coefficients of Correlation between Various Components of Creativity and Intellectual development of Boys and Girls Locationwise.	161

XX	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools.	166
XXI	Coefficients of Correlation between Various Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of Government and Aided Schools :Locationwise.	169
XXII	Coefficients of Correlations between Components of Creativity in Intellectual Development of Students : Location wise, Sex wise and School wise.	174
XXIII	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST students.	180
XXIV	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Level of Intellectual Development of Students of Urban and Rural Areas.	183
XXV	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Levels of Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls.	188

XXVI	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Level of Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools.	192
XAVII	Coefficients of Correlation between Components of Creativity and Levels of Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST Students.	197
XAVIII	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Mathematics Science and Aggregate Achievement and Intellectual Development of Students.	201
AXIX	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Mathematics Science and Aggregate Achievement and Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools.	206
XXX	Coefficients of Correlation between Intellectual Development and Achievement in Mathematics Science and Aggregate Achievement and Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls in Government and Aided Schools of Urban and Rural Settings.	210

XXXI	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Mathematics Science and Aggregate achievement and Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST Students.	217
XXXII	Coefficients of Correlation Between Achievement in Mathematics and Levels of Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls.	215
XXXIII	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Mathematic and Intellectual Development of Government and Aided Schools.	218
XXXIV	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Science and Levels of Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls	212
XXXV	Coefficients of Correlation between Achievement in Science and Levels of Intellectual Development of Students studying in Government and Aided Schools of Different Settings	215
XXXVI	Coefficients of Correlation Between Levels of Aggregate Achievement and Level of Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls .	218
XXXVII	Coefficients of Correlation Between Aggregate Achievement and Levels of Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools.	231

XXXVIII	Coefficients of Correlations between Intellectual Development of Students and Education of Parents	235
XXXIX	Coefficients of Correlation Between Intellectual Development of the Students and Parents' Occupation.	240
XI	Coefficients of Correlations between Intellectual Development of the Students and The Income of Their Parents.	244
XII	Correlations Between Intellectual Development of the Students and Size of the Family	248
XIII	Coefficients of Correlation Between Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST Students and Their Socio Economic Back Ground.	253
XLIII	Showing Significance of Difference on Creativity Among Students at Concrete, Transitional and Formal Level of Intellectual Development	258
XLIV	Showing Significance of Difference on Creativity Between Boys and Girls at Different Levels of Intellectual Development.	264
XLV	Showing Significance of Difference of Creativity Between Students of Government and Aided Schools at Different Levels of Intellectual Development.	267

XLVII	Showing Significance of Difference of Creativity Between Students of General And SC/ST Category Students of Urban, Rural and Total Samples	271
XIVII	Showing Significance of Difference In Achievement In Mathematics, Science and Aggregate Achievement Between Various Groups.	274

CHAPTER : I

PROBLEM AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

C H A P T E R I

P R O B L E M A N D I T S S I G N I F I C A N C E

INTRODUCTION

During the past three decades two major areas of educational and psychological research, namely, the work of Piaget on the development of the intellect and Guilford's study of creativity have come into prominence. Piagetian theory has provided a broad framework of the development of reasoning as also the factors which might affect the development of the same (Piaget, 1972; Renner and Stafford; 1972). The study of creativity has illuminated facts of the creative person, creative process, and creative product (Goven, 1972; Reweton , 1973). Both areas, because of their apparent intrinsic value in education, have received greater attention today from academicians, than in the past.

However, this attention has often been witnessed in two ways. Firstly, those who support Piaget's theory have generally stressed the need to help students to develop the power of reasoning and skills necessary for adequate understanding of science (Nordland, et.al; 1974; Lawson 1975; Chiapetta 1976). In contrast the other group of researchers namely Gettels and Jackson, 1962, Wallach and Kogan, 1965; Gave 1970; the proponents of

creativity have laid more emphasis on the need for divergent thinking (Guilford, 1959) and even irrational thinking (Torrance and Myers, 1974). This diversity of stress pertaining to the intellectual development and creativity during the span of individual growth calls for bridging the gap, research efforts for which have not so far made any headway. The present piece of research seeks to explore such a possibility.

INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE CHILD

The invention and refinement of intelligence tests in England, France and United States yielded useful quantitative indices of intellectual status. When norms are available, a child's development can be compared, in a general way, with the development of others. But there were always some researchers, including Binet himself, who sought more than a general quantitative index.

Jean Piaget was one who rejected the quantitative measures for a more qualitative approach. In the year 1920, he initiated a long term programme to chart the stages of child's progress toward adult model of thoughts. Although the questions he raised and his research style 'Methods Clinique' were unfamiliar, he eventually won a wide audience in both psychological and educational

circles. His unorthodox claims about the cognitive scheme that the child constructs and thinks through which he knows that the world could no longer be ignored are now in fact being integrated with the more familiar notions.

Piaget, who believes that cognitive development proceeds in a fixed orderly sequence and that thought processes of children are very different from the thought processes of adults, has had a great impact on our understanding of mental development. In defining intelligence, most of the test users and psychologists who have constructed intelligence tests lay stress on the ability to think in abstract terms and to reason together with the ability to use their functions for adaptive purposes. Piaget regards intelligence as a specific instance of adaptive behaviour, of coping with environment and organizing (and reorganizing) thoughts and actions. In other words, for Piaget, intelligence is the ability to adapt to environment and to new situations, to think and act in adaptive ways. Piaget's work focussed on qualitative descriptions of the changes that occur as the child's cognitive abilities mature.

Piaget, first of all defines intelligence as the

ability to adapt to the environment. Adaptation takes place through assimilation and through accommodation, with the two processes interacting throughout life in different ways. In assimilation the individual absorbs new information, fitting features of the environment into internal cognitive structure. In accommodation the individual modifies these internal cognitive structures to conform to the new information and meet the demands of the environment. A balance is reached through equilibration, as the individual organizes the demands of the environment in terms of previously existing cognitive structure. Equilibration is an active process that involves constant interaction between the individual and the environment and also establishes a balance between assimilation and accommodation.

Piaget has an empirical epistemology that is to be approached developmentally. He has not considered the world to be real but has studied the changing processes by which the growing child copes with the world. His accounts of development are not different from those of strict environmentalists. However, many of his concepts such as assimilation and adaptation are biological in nature.

Piaget's Philosophy of Development

Piaget sees a biological organisation as an open system which extends into the environment, but which at the same time must close in order to present its own organization. Behaviour is a kind of resultant of this double function. Concepts related to the Piagetian thinking are explained below

Knowing : Knowing is an evolutionary advance which tends towards stabilizing this oscillation between opening and closing. Through knowing the biological organization tends to reach beyond itself, Hence Piaget's epistemological search leads him to look at this biological organization. To Piaget cognitive functions constitute a specialized organ which regulates the interaction of the organism with its environment but are derived from a 'general biological organization'.

Since, for Piaget, knowing is a biological phenomenon the attainment of truth is also a biological urge because it is a characteristic of knowledge to attain truth. Truth is not merely a copy of external reality (and failure to realize this has led to philosophical error), but it is rather an organization of the real.

Knowledge : Knowledge, Piaget says, is of three main

kinds (i) innate know-how or instinct, (ii) knowledge of the external world through the sense organs, and (iii) logico-mathematical knowledge. For Piaget the instincts involve cognitive regulation but these are preprogrammed and rigid.

The third kind of knowledge is a late evolutionary innovation. For Piaget, instincts almost totally disappear in primates, but the new mode of knowledge does not replace instinct rather, it disassociates instinct and uses its components. Instinct is not exclusively preprogrammed. He recognizes that it is a basis for further modes of organizations.

Piaget's concern was largely with the knowledge of cognition, with cognizance. In two of his last works, 'The Grasp of Consciousness' (1976) and 'Successes and Understanding' (1979), he distinguished between a practical form of knowledge that arose from successful solution of a problem and true understanding that involves full awareness, or consciousness of that action. This true understanding the progression from the practical form of knowledge to thought was effected by cognizance. This cognizance, in Piaget's view, does not emerge fully until age 11-12 years. He applied strong criteria to the identification of a subject's consciousness or cognizance in a problem solving situation. In general when a

psychologist speaks of a subject being conscious of a situation he means that the subject is fully aware of his environment.

The theory of Piaget concerning the developmental evolution of intelligence does not rest on theories of learning based on the stimulus-response model. In between the stimulus-responses, there exists the organism and its structures. But as Apostel (1959) has indicated it would be possible to integrate Piaget's theory in this cadre by means of what may appear to be the objectives of the processes of learning the scheme. In Piaget's work, a scheme of action is established either by a series of reactions or by a sequence of reactions and events. It may be, for example, a simple reflex action or a complex behaviour pattern in problem solving. The scheme is transformed by assimilation and accommodation. This transformation answers to the definition of learning. In effect, confronted by new objects schemes of action, which are already functional can be either assimilated, or modified by adjusting to the new situation.

Piaget, the chief advocate of the Geneva School of thought has been influenced in thinking and work by Plato's rationalistic tradition, work of Gestalt Psychology, use of logic for interpretation of thinking (classes, relations, grasping, or reversibility and equilibrium)

and several individual personalities of past and present of his country (Irene, 1970). He used successfully various techniques of symbolic logic for uncovering the intellectual behaviour of young children's thought and the use of search symbolic logic (components). Piaget was able to discuss the properties of thinking (process) at various age levels in terms of what 'operations' children within the age group are capable and incapable of performing.

Piaget has been concerned with the structure and working of adult mind, and how it got that way. Piaget created a new vocabulary rather than constructed neologisms. However, Piaget has done two things, first he used existing words with slightly modified meanings, and secondly, used the technical vocabulary of symbolic logic. His reason for employing symbolic logic in that language of this discipline provides good way of describing such the structure of intellect as Euclidean geometry is ideal for describing plane surface and riemanian geometry for sphere.

Operation : The word 'operation' seems to have been derived from 'action'. Operation plays an important part in logic which is based on abstract algebra and is made up of symbolic manipulations. Operation in the

Piagetian sense is a mental action within the person. It modifies the object of knowledge and renders the individual capable of understanding the structure of the transformation that has come. He has, therefore, attempted to develop a psychological theory of operations which links psychology to logic. Since an internalized action is an operation and thus according to Piaget the development of the intellect consists in the growth of operation 1 thinking.

Psychologically, operations are actions which are internalizable, reversible, and co-ordinated into a system characterised by laws which apply to the system as a whole. They are actions since they are carried out on objects before being performed on symbols. They are internalizable, since they can also be carried out in thought without losing their original character of actions. They are reversible as against simple actions which are irreversible. In this way, the operation of combining can be inverted immediately into the operation of dissociation.....Finally, since operations do not exist in isolation, they are connected in the form of structured or wholes (Piaget and Inhelder, 1961).

Here operations are considered real psychological activities on which our whole effective and real knowledge

is based. Roughly speaking, operation is a means for "mentally transforming data about the real world", so that they can later on be organized and used selectively in problem solving. Operation is internalized and reversible and this distinguishes itself from a simple action or goal directed behaviour. Properties of an operation can be summarized as follows:

(i) The operation is reversible, it can function in opposite directions; (ii) A operation never takes place in isolation, it is always linked to another system, object or schema; (iii) The operation is always a part of a structure and ensemble. The correct role of operations is to form systems, which are groupings in the case of qualitative systems (simple classifications, double entry tables, serialized relationships) or groups when it is a matter of spatial, temporal, algebraic, geometric and topological structures; and (iv) the comprehensive systems developed according to a certain number of chronological stages developing in a constant order.

PIAGET'S STAGES OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

Like Vyogostky and Bruner, Piaget also propounded the stages of the constructions of the operations. Perhaps Piaget's most notable and significant contribution

to contemporary educational thought and practice has been characterization of specific intellectual developmental stages of children. Within this developmental process, he locates a series of distinct developmental phases and sub-phases. Each distinct sub-phase within any one of his major developmental phase has been classified by Piaget and co-workers as sub-stages of development. Piaget has divided the period of intellectual development into four major developmental stages which serve as a convenient handle for presentation of the intellectual development. Each stage reflects a range of organizational patterns which occur in a definite sequence within an approximate age span (of that stage) in continuance of development. The completion of one stage provides a self regulation, as well as the beginning of assimilation, for a new stage.

The stage concept is linked to the idea of mental structure. In a stage, the development of anything is a set of relations prevailing at one time. Each stage can be achieved only when its precursor has been properly attained, and that if any early stage is incomplete, later stage will not be effective. Each stage suggests the potential capacity and probable level of behaviour. They provide a possible key for adopting the learner's capabilities. Piaget (1953) has identified these stages as follows: (i) the Sensory Motor Stage, (ii) the Pre-

Operational stage, (iii) the Concrete-Operational stage and (iv) the Formal Operational stage.

The Sensory Motor Stage

It is the first stage of intellectual development which lasts from birth until about 18 months to 2 years of age. Originally defined by Piaget (1953a, 1953b), this is the stage in which sensory motor preformance become progressively structured into functional systems which form the building blocks of later cognitive activity. The child's sensory-motor behaviour, while organized, is not cognitive, since it depends on responding to the stimulus as presented, rather than as represented or interpreted by cognitive activity. Thus sensory motor behaviour lacks the representational component of true cognition (Piaget, 1947). In the first half of this stage, the child's activity is centered on his own body.

In the second half the child develops schemes or scheme of practical intelligence which enables him to deal with objects in space. The child is highly dependent upon his parents for satisfaction of physical needs; performs only overt activities, thinks least about his actions, is attracted mostly by sound, touch and other physical stimuli, the most basic intellectual accomplishment of the ability to recognise objects.

The Preoperational Stage

Preoperational thought is a sub-period of concrete operations. It extends from two to about six or seven years and is characterized by the rapid development of representational or semiotic functions, which Piaget considers to develop during the pre-operational period imitation, play, drawing, mental image, memory and language. The child at this stage is restricted to recognizing functional relations, has difficulty in distinguishing the general from the particular, and his reasoning is pre-causal and pre-logical. Much of preoperational behaviour is defined by absence of concrete-operational achievements like, seriation, classification, conservation, transitivity and spatial and geometrical concepts.

The preoperational stage is a time of free play and imagination. It is, therefore, important that teachers of primary classes provide opportunities for the children to engage in plays of preceptive nature, using all of their sense to explore and observe the physical world. In science activities, the teacher should be more concerned with having the children touch, taste, smell, listen and watch than with discussing these experiences at any length (Anderson, et.al, 1970).

The Concrete Operational Stage

The period of concrete operations is that level of

development in which the child uses intellectual operations based on internalized intellectual structures to classify concrete objects and/or events. The concrete period, including the subperiod of preoperational thought, extends from 7 to 11 years of age. The development of concrete-operational thinking at this age enables the child to solve problems and to develop understanding of class, relations and quantity of objects and encounter with his environment. Although the thinking of the child is still concrete, that is largely limited to the physical manipulation of objects rather than symbols, he can now perform elementary logical operations, for example classification, serialization, time and space relationship, idea on number etc. According to Piaget, the child is only capable of reacting beyond the simple observations of facts which he has at his disposal, suitable schemes of operation that allow him to stabilise relationship with them. It seems that this stage is covered in two distinct parts:

Stage A (7 to 8 years) : This is the stage in which the child succeeds in manipulating of certain concrete operations (class, relationship, number and space) and of the first relations, conservation, and transitivity etc.

Stage B (9 to 11 years) : Child applies serial ordering and establishes one to one correspondence between two observable sets (eg. small animals have a fast heart beat

while large animals have a slow heart beat). He begins to attack problems systematically, but cannot find mathematical proofs. He does not accept hypothetical data, reality dominates his thinking and the possibilities are subordinated to it.

The Formal Operational Stage

The stage of formal operational thinking begins to develop at around 12 to 15 years of age. The quality of formal operational thoughts differs from concrete thought in several different ways. The principal difference is that the concrete operator is confined in his thinking to concrete objects, events or situations, while a formal thinker on the other hand, can respond to logical form of argument and deal with propositions, regardless of the specific content involved. He imagines and considers all sorts of facts, beliefs, hypotheses and possibilities. He develops the ability to reason by hypotheses and finds empirical and mathematical proofs for his observations. Inhelder and Piaget (1958) state, "The most prominent feature of formal thought is that it no longer deals with objects directly but with verbal elements". The various reasoning patterns given by Karpulus et.al. (1977) are listed below:

P1 : Applies multiple classification,
logic, serial ordering and other reasoning
pattern to concepts, abstract properties,

axioms and theories.

F2 : Applies combinational reasoning considering all conceivable combinations.

F3 : States and interprets functional relationships in mathematical forms.

F4 : Recognises the necessity of an experimental design that controls all variables but the one being investigated.

As claimed by Piaget, the formal stage is an important and productive period of life. According to him it is the time when one plans one's future and fixes the goal of life. He believes that intelligence reaches its peak, thus thinking and reasoning are very superior in this stage.

This stage is markedly different from the previous stage by dealing with the possible versus the real. Brainard (1978) describes this stage as hypothetico-deductive, scientific and reflective abstraction. Flavell (1963) describes it as 'a generalized orientation', some times explicit and some times implicit towards problem solving and orientation towards data (combinational analysis), towards isolation and control of variables, towards the hypothetical and the logical justification and proof.

In the words of Inhelder and Piaget, "Formal thinking is essentially hypothetical deductive. It implies

deduction no longer refers directly to perceived realities but to hypothetical statements i.e. it refers to propositions, which are formulations of hypotheses or which are postulates/facts or events independent of whether or not they actually occur. The most distinctive property of formal thought is a reversal of the subjects method of approach. Thus this type of thinking proceeds from what is possible to what is empirical and real.

Piaget (1967) summarizes the three novelties of the formal stage as follows:

- (a) There is a generalization of classification leading to the classification of the second degree, called the 'Combinational'.
- (b) This combinational allows the addition of 'propositional operations' to the operations of classes and relations. This implies a most general form of logic in which the form is independent of the content.
- (c) This formal structure thus becomes completely reversible with N and R. There is then a complete group of four transformations, INRC. INRC group is a set of four operations, namely, identity, negation, reciprocity and correlation. The age level which Piaget proposes are approximate, varying widely because of intellectual factors, experience,

training, and socio-economic status. It is obvious that children often operate on more than one level at more or less the same time, depending on the nature of the challenge. A child may, without self contradiction, operate logically in one field and not in another, or operate inconsistently in the same field at different times (Kuslan and Stone, 1969). Piaget remarks that teachers should not directly correct a child's ideas, incorrect thoughts, they may be, but should instead provide a sufficient variety of experiences to enable the child to correct himself. In this way he avoids an explanation (helpful-accommodation) which is not in accord with his own thought (Duck Worth, 1964).

Transition of Thought:

The Geneva School considers the following five transformations which marked the passage from the concrete operational level of thought to the stage of formal operation. Piaget puts these as under:

- (i) The first and the most important transformation is the capacity of reasoning on hypotheses. This type of reasoning has been termed as inductive-deductive;
- (ii) The second transformation is the use of logic at the concrete operational level. The child is capable of reasoning which Piaget calls inter

propositional logic, that is the child has become capable of stabilizing the logical link between premiss and conclusion independently;

- (iii) The third transformation puts the adolescent pupils in a position to separate themselves from content which does not happen in the second transformation. Possibilities rather than reality becomes chief distinguishing characteristic of his (adolescent) thought;
- (iv) The fourth transformation deals with the combinatorial nature of that is, from 16 (sixteen) binary combinatorian to 256 (two hundred fifty six) ternary operation.
- (v) The system of all possible combinations from the logic of proposition whose use and mastery constitute the fifty basic transformation of the formal operational stage of thought.

All these five transformations have been derived from one single identifiable mental structure i.e. the INRC group.

C R E A T I V I T Y

The Concept

Psychological researches in the domain of thinking have revealed that there are a variety of thinking abilities and not all of them are of equal value from the point of

production of useful ideas. A type of thinking now commonly designated as divergent thinking which enables a person to think in a variety of ways and to arrive at novel solutions to problems, is considered to be of much importance for creative work. Every person is endowed with some amount of creativity in one way or the other. There are a number of theories as to how the creative process operates. In fact, there is no clear cut agreement that creativity involves the ability to produce novel or original product (Taylor, 1964).

The dictionary definition of creativity seems to present little difficulty for a clear cut understanding of the concept and in the literature of measurement it has proved to be one of the most troublesome concepts with no universally accepted definition and method for its quantitative evaluation (Tords, 1970). The definitions of creativity range from originality of thought through problem solving and inventiveness to the near non-conformity (Cattell, 1971). Generally, the most widely applied conception of creativity are formulated either in terms of some manifested product or any underlying process. Torrance (1962) focussed on creativity as the process of sensing gaps, or disturbing missing elements forming new ideas or hypotheses concerning them, testing these hypotheses and communicating the results, possible modifying and retesting

the hypotheses. Dave (1974) focussed on creativity as the apex of all learning involving three behavioural steps i.e. analysis, synthesis and judgement, which ultimately evolves a unique production. Pires et.al. (1960) defined creativity as the capacity of the individual to avoid usual routine and conventional ways of thinking and doing things. Guilford (1956), Sultan (1962), Anderson (1964) and Taylor explained the construct through factor analytical approach. These and other approaches to define creativity Barron, 1959; Rhodes, 1961; Simson, 1962; Wallach and Kogan, 1965; lead one to conclude that creativity involves an action of mind directed to manipulate the environment with a view to produce new ideas, patterns, or relationships. Dave (1970) has tried to define creativity in what could be described through creativity tests measuring fluency, flexibility, originality and elaboration.

The significant and recent upsurge of interest, in creativity and creative thinking is primarily a result of Guilford's work (1956, 59, 63, 66 & 1967). Guilford's concept of creativity involves a problem solving model based on his structure of intellect. Acknowledging to convergent and divergent distinctions between ordinary intellectual system model, an interaction among memory stage, divergent operations and evaluation through the application of the factor analysis technique, Guilford has

been able to demonstrate the presence of such factors in his structure of intelligent model as fluency, flexibility and originality.

Since after Guilford's work, the researchers in the area of creativity have grown tremendously, so that today a wide variety of topics fall under the heading of creativity ranging from cognitive, rational and semantic elements all the way to operations.

Dimensions of Creativity

Creativity as a concept has been defined and elaborated in various ways by the concerned researchers. They have been approaching creativity through one or more of the four dimensions viz. person, process, product and press. It is perhaps simplest and appropriate to consider the first three categories of researches on creativity, namely, the Creative Product, Creative Process, and the Creative Person.

The Creative Person

In describing the creative person, Taylor (1963) notes the importance of divergent thinking, especially in production of ideas, fluency, flexibility and originality. Humour, fantasy and playfulness with ideas are some core characteristics. Other traits mentioned include curiosity, manipulation, questioning ability and restructuring of ideas. Personality characteristics mentioned are autonomy, independence, femininity of interests, dominance, self-

acceptance, resourcefulness, radicalness and complexity of personality.

Mackinnon (1962) has summarised the characteristic of creative persons as follows: intelligent, original, independent in thought and action, open to experience both of the inner self and the outer world, intuitive, aesthetically sensitive and free from crippling restraints. They also have high energy level, a persistent commitment to creative endeavour and a strong sense of destiny which includes a degree of resourcefulness and measure of egoticism.

Besides summarizing the characteristics of creative individuals Mackinnon (1963) feels that creative persons are typical of many who make up for what they lack in verbal intellectual giftedness with a high level of energy, a kind of cognitive flexibility which enables them to keep attacking the problem with a variety of techniques from a variety of angles and being confident of their ultimate success, they persevere until they arrive at a creative solution. This kind of person should remind us that creative giftedness is not necessarily equated with high verbal intelligence.

The Creative Product

It is generally accepted that a product to be considered as creative must be both novel and useful. The product is judged qualitatively by the degree of its

social recognition. Another category of quality of output is number of words, ideas, sentences or other products in generalized psychological forms. Ghiselin (Taylor, 1964) says 'the measure of creative product should be the extent to which it restructures over universes of understanding'.

A product is obviously an outcome of some processes. Stein considered a process creative when it results in a novel work that is accepted as tenable or useful or satisfying by a group, at some point in time. The author clarifies and expands each part of this definition.

By 'novel' is meant deviations from the status quo. It represents a reintegration of existing materials or knowledge for the production of something new. It is a consequence of interaction between a creative individual and his environment.

In saying that the creative work is 'tenable' or 'useful' or 'satisfying' the author is covering the different areas of ideas, things and aesthetic experiences, respectively. It is stressed that the results of the creative process must be communicated to others. This implies two requisites for the creative person:

- a) he must have mastered a means, or medium of communications; and
- b) he must have eliminated from the creative product those elements that are completely idiosyncratic.

To say that the creative work must be accepted by some group implies that in some way it must be congruent with the needs or experiences of that group i.e. it 'resonates' with these needs or experiences. The acceptance in addition to defining the creative work, offers feedback to the creative person so that he can clarify, alter, or make progress in his future work.

In indicating that the creative work is accepted 'at some point in time', provision is made for the fact that such products may be evaluated differently in different historical periods. While the possibility of the universals is admitted, the problems in defining them are stressed. In this regard, it is pointed out that the individual attempting to define them is himself bound to a particular historical period and its value judgements.

The Creative Process

Definitions of creativity in terms of traits, have gradually given way to definitions of creativity in terms of the process. For example, Stein (Taylor, 1955) states three of the basic assumptions underlying the approach to the problems of creativity. They are (1) Creativity is the resultant process that occurs within the individual. In general, one tends to judge the creativity of others in terms of the production they have produced or stated differently, in terms of the distances between what they

have produced and the status of the field before they come on the scene. Such an orientation makes us overlook the fact that creativity is a process. It is a process of hypotheses formation, hypotheses testing and the communication of results. (ii) Creativity is the resultant process of social transactions. Individuals affect and are affected by the environment in which they live. They do not interact with their environment without changes occurring in both directions. (iii) For purposes of empirical research the definition is as follows: Creativity is that process which results in 'a novel work that is accepted as tenable to be useful or satisfying by a group at some point of time'

This definition of creativity has already been explained under the heading 'Creative Product'.

Torrance (1962) defines creativity as 'the process of sensing gaps or disturbing missing elements, forming ideas or hypotheses concerning them, testing these hypotheses and communicating these results, possibly modifying and retesting the hypotheses.'

To Dashiell (1931) the salient characteristics of creative thought are: the sudden unexpected way in which the ideas occur to the creative individual; they occur in a related condition; and sometimes they seem to occur out of nowhere so that the creative individual regards himself as 'inspired'. Creativity does not involve merely

waiting for inspiration. Interviews with French poets and novelists indicate that they prepare themselves for their work by enriching and saturating themselves in their subject matter before turning to their work; novel and fruitful insights occur after a period of absorption. These accounts of the creative process suggest that it may be divided into four stages- preparation, incubation, illumination and verification.

Creativity is a cognitive expression which blossoms out of the affective domain of an individual's personality. In arriving at the novel response to the problem at hand, a creative individual plans varied solutions to the problem while seeking novel response, a creative individual uses as his earlier experience and is aware of the odds of success associated with his solution. Here the occurrence of creative idea in a creative mind follows a well defined route, it is also contingent upon the favourableness of the environment in which the mind is working.

Cognitive Developmental View of Creativity

Piaget's theory of cognitive development has much relevance to the concept of creativity.

The very principles that Piaget defines as basic to the process of intelligence are also related to the creative process. In play, poems, and imitation in

childhood, Piaget claims that creative imagination (assimilation, a stage of spontaneity) does not diminish with age but as a result of the process of accommodation is gradually reintegrated, in intelligence, and is thereby correspondingly broadened. Piaget implies that creative imagination when integrated with accommodation can result in a product that is at once evidence of both creativity and intelligence. He described a process whereby creativity and intelligence nourish each other, and through their interaction, produce intelligent activity at even more advance levels.

As one advances through Piaget's stages of cognitive development, it is apparent that the nature of any creative process has the potential to change remarkably. The creative process and product of a child at the sensorymotor level will obviously be much different than of a child at a concrete or formal operational level.

Piaget (1971) considers the sudden insight that accompanies a shift in perspective from one stage of thought to another as a creative process. Brunner (1962) on the other hand, defines creativity as the occurrence of 'effective-surprise' i.e. the experience of the unexpected that strikes one with wonder and astonishment in producing or comprehending a work. Duchworth (1972) describes students as 'having wonderful ideas' by marking new

connections and seeing new relationship among things already mastered.

Creativity is, therefore, associated with moving from one stage of cognitive development to another, restoring equilibrium by reorganizing previously correlated elements through new set of rules.

ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

It is a realized fact that intelligence is not the only determinant of academic success. High academic performance or achievement is not possible in the absence of intellect but the presence of high intellect is no guarantee of high academic performance or achievement. A number of other factors which are broadly grouped into three categories may also affect academic performance to a great extent. These are described below:

- (i) Personal factors Under this factor we may include age, sex and health as possible influencing factors.
- (ii) Socio-cultural factors Socio-economic conditions, The cultural background, environment at home and physical environment may affect academic growth.
- (iii) Psychological factors Intelligence, achievement motivation, goals and aspirations.

self concept, interest, personality variables and intellectual development of the mind and creativity are important factors considered under this category.

It was thought that the 'mental structures' or some logical operations developed during adolescence, help in better understanding of science subjects. Since these subjects vary widely in dealing with simple to abstract concepts. The range of complexities of concept can be classified in subjects as physics, mathematics, chemistry and biology. In other words the teaching of these subjects may either hinder or facilitate the intellectual development of the adolescent pupils. Hence academic achievement especially in science subjects may be considered as a determinant of intellectual development.

INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS

The relationship between intellectual development and socio-economic status and types of schooling has been and continues to be a controversial issue in developmental psychology. It is an issue both of theoretical and practical significance. Theoretically, it is important to ascertain the environmental factors that facilitate, intellectual development and the extent to which they

account for unique variance in developmental status.

Alystne (1929) reported that environmental variables within the home correlate significantly with cognitive development. The investigation conducted by Jean Piaget have led to the recognition of the difference in the ways in which a child thinks at each stage of intellectual development. Mental to structure, intellectual development proceeds through the invariant functions of assimilation and accommodation resulting into adaptation. Assimilation refers to the process of incorporating new objects or experiences into pre-existing schemes or structures of thought. The simultaneous processes of assimilation and accommodation is adaptation which in effect is learning for attainment of a new concept. According to Piaget the intellectual development of a child may be considered depending upon certain factors, prominent among these are : (i) maturation (ii) environmental experience (iii) social interaction and (iv) the function of auto-regulation.

Children may pass through the different stages of development at different rates although always in the same order. Development is accomplished through an interaction between cognitive factors and environmental factors which vary from country to country and even in a country from region to region. Generally rural environment

lacks stimulating experiences that make children think. The social system in rural environment is mostly based on interaction amongst the local people, and therefore channels of communication and network of relationship are limited. Rural environment has not yet fully benefited by technological advancement. As a result the intellectual development of the child in such social surroundings remains unbloomed.

I N D E F E N C E O F T H E S T U D Y

One of the crippling obstacles in the path of development is the fact that quantity is almost always more obvious, more visible, more conspicuous than quality. The stress on evolving the students general capabilities as a formulator and solver of problem rather than his ability to serve as a depository of facts is especially important into the context of a developing country.

The thinking ability of adolescents is markedly different from those of children. Ausubel (1954) found that intellectual growth in adolescence proceeds smoothly from earlier stages unlike the psychological, personality and social development, where the development shows a sudden spurt during adolescence. Formal operational thinking gets developed during the adolescence. The thinking at this stage is sophisticated in the sense it is highly

logical and involves Hypothetico- Deductive operations, Proportional Logic and Combinatorial Systems. The adolescents tend to attack the problems more systematically and in an organised manner to solve them.

Science is taught in secondary schools today because of the recognized need for general scientific literacy, our dependence upon scientists and engineers and the value that we lay upon critical thought. To achieve such ends the courses in secondary school science should be regarded not as a body of content to be memorized but as opportunities for students to initiate studies that will develop understanding and thoughtful behaviour and action. The objectives of science teaching must be firmly imbedded in the educational philosophy of the democratic society. Optimum growth of each personality, the interplay of individual and group welfare, and the development of critical thought and problem solving abilities are the bases upon which the objectives of science teaching must rest.

Therefore, instead of leading a child with a certain of dead scientific facts, it is better to equip him with process information, which he can use for solving personal and social problems later in adult life. This necessitates the development of scientific skills (syntactical processes) against the conceptual ones which do not serve

either the individual or social need (Smith, 1966; Gate wood 1968; Kline, 1966).

Adolescent pupils show a wide variety of intellectual behaviours, while confronted with those problematic situations which do not require any specialised knowledge for its solution. So education for understanding and problem solving is, gradually becoming the chief goal of instruction in our times. Speaking restrictedly, the basic ideas underlying S-R theories, Gestalt psychology, Geneva school and accelerated learning and teaching have varying relevance for us in terms of learning or teaching means forming learning situations in which pupils explore the environment, invent concepts and apply them in several diverse problematic situations, then his role is to undergo a fundamental change in the conduct of the present classroom teaching, the depth of focus indeterminate by itself, with the passage of time will be placed within the brackets of concept formation, problem solving (assembling included), self learning and maintenance of life long education in an increasingly loaded scientific and technological society.

The role and need of logical thinking in science learning being different from repeatable knowledge as the primary focus in the classroom to as a focus on what the students are doing cognitively (the mental

operations involved) and how feel about it.

The acquisition of formal operational schemata is of considerable importance to the science student's understanding of proportional relationship, for instance it is embedded in numerous physical and biological concepts and principles such as gravitational accelerating air pressure, the chemical law of definite composition and diffusion. Combinational reasoning is required for comprehension of Mendelian genetics as in understanding of the nature of probability, correlation represent the corner stone of much of the descriptive investigation work of the biologist.

Productive thinking conceived as constructing, writing or otherwise producing solutions can be contrasted with finding the correct solution or researching the goal. The distinction drawn by Guilford (1956) between convergent thinking and divergent thinking must be clearly made because traditional problem solving experiments have often reported solutions that did not fall neatly into either success or failure categories. Creative thinking in this sense represents divergent thinking.

While on the surface at least, there may be little to indicate that Piagetian theory and creativity have much in common, a case for a common connection can be made. Duckworth (1972) has said that the development of intelligence is a creative affair. She argues that when

children are stimulated, creative acts arise from the connecting of ideas and actions and thoughts (in Piagetian term 'schemes'). Pearce (1977) has said that all creativity is an expression of reversibility thinking. It is a combination of concrete and formal thinking. He told that the highly creative person acts as a Kekule or

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The study of XI grade science students was taken up with the following objectives :

1. To identify the science students of XI grade according to their levels of intellectual development and categorise them into (i) concrete operational, (ii) transitional operational and (iii) formal operational thinkers.
2. To classify the rural and urban students on the basis of various levels of intellectual development.
3. To identify boys and girls at different levels of intellectual development.
4. To examine the difference at various levels of intellectual development of students as

per the types of schools.

5. To classify the scheduled caste and non-scheduled caste students on the basis of various levels of intellectual development.
6. To find out the relationships between various levels of intellectual development with verbal, non-verbal and creativity scores.
7. To find out relationships between various levels of intellectual development of boys and girls with verbal, non-verbal and creativity scores.
8. To find out relationships between parents' education and intellectual development of students.
9. To find out the relationship between parents' occupations and intellectual development of students.
10. To study the impact of family size on intellectual development of students.
11. To find out the relationship between parents' income and intellectual development of students.
12. To compare the sex difference on creativity scores at different levels of intellectual development.

HYPOTHESIS

In accordance with the objectives of the study following hypotheses were formulated:

1. Majority of the science adolescent students are at formal operational level of intellectual development
2. Percentage of both sexes different levels of intellectual development are equal in government and aided schools.
3. Percentage of both general and SC/ST cat gories students are equal at different levels of intellectual development.
4. There is no significant relationship between levels of intellectual development and creativity
5. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development and creativity in Urban and rural sample
6. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls
7. There is no significant relationship between components of creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls of urban and rural areas.

8. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students of government and aided schools
9. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of students of government and aided schools in urban and rural areas.
10. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls studying in government and aided schools in urban and rural areas.
11. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students .
12. There is no significant relationship between creativity and levels of intellectual development of students of urban and rural areas .
13. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls.
14. There is no significant relationship between creativity and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools.

15. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students.
16. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement
17. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement and intellectual development of students.
18. There is no significant relationship between achievement (in science, mathematics and aggregate) and intellectual development of boys and girls in government and aided schools or urban and rural areas.
19. There is no significant relationship between achievement (in mathematics, science and aggregate) and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students.
20. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics and levels of intellectual development of science students.

21. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics and intellectual development of students in government and aided schools of urban and rural areas.
22. There is no significant relationship between achievement in science and intellectual development of boys and girls.
23. There is no significant relationship between achievement in science and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools .
24. There is no significant relationship between levels of intellectual development of the students with their aggregate achievement scores.
25. There is no significant relationship between aggregate achievement and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools.
26. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and education of parents.
27. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of the students and occupation of parents.

28. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and their parents' income.
29. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and the size of family .
30. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of general and SC/ST students and their socio-economic back ground.
31. There is no significant difference of creativity among the students at concrete, transitional and formal level of intellectual development .
32. There is no significant difference of creativity among boys and girls of rural urban and total sample.
33. There is no significant difference of creativity among the students of government and aided schools.
34. There is no significant difference of creativity among general and SC/ST students.
35. There is no significant differences among boys and girls, students of government and aided, students of general and SC/ST category, and urban and rural sample for their achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement.

A S S U M P T I O N S

The present piece of research rests on the following assumptions which helped in formulating and executing the plan of the study.

The students of Govt. and Govt. aided institutions come from almost similar backgrounds and also these schools are comparable so far as the learning environment and facilities are concerned.

The statements of students regarding parents income on the General Information Questionnaire have been considered to be the realistic measure of parents' income/education although not fully authenticated.

In the present investigation only three components of creativity viz. Fluency, flexibility and Originality have been taken into account. Elaboration has, however, not been considered appropriate in the present context.

Rural/urban and government/aided school nomenclature has been adopted from the list of schools provided by the Delhi Administration.

Scheduled caste have been treated on the basis of students' disclosure.

Group Assessment of Logical Thinking by Michael J. Padilla et. al. has been used to measure the intellectual development in both English and Hindi. In Hindi version the institutions and names of persons objects were changed. The usability of the test was, however, ascertained by way of experts' judgement.

Creativity has been measured with the Hindi version of Torrence Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT), which is already in use in India.

Class X public examination marks have been considered as a measure of scholastic achievement of students.

Assumption underlying statistical techniques used would naturally constitute the basis for drawing conclusions for the present study.

DELIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The present study was delimited with regard

to its area, method, sampling, tools and statistical techniques. These are presented below:

The study has focused on the relationship of intellectual development and creativity and has been conducted through normative testing survey method. The intellectual development has been undertaken at three stages namely (i) Concrete operational (ii) Transitional operational and (iii) Formal operational. Only three components of creativity, viz. (i) fluency, (ii) flexibility and (iii) originality with regards to verbal and non-verbal aspects have been considered to provide scores for students' creativity.

The study has been confined to a total sample of 1026 students, (370 girls and 656 boys), studying in senior secondary schools of Delhi. The age range of the students was from 15th to 17 years.

Group Assessment of Logical Thinking
(a paper pencil test) by Michael J.

Pedilla et.al. was used for investigating adolescent thought and classification of

the students at concrete, transitional and formal operational levels of intellectual development.

TERMINOLOGY USED

In view of the various explanations advanced for each of the variables dealt with in the present study, it was considered essential by the investigator to restrict and delimit variables in terms of the following definitions.

Creativity

Torrance(1962) defines creativity as 'The process of sensing gaps or disturbing missing elements, forming ideas or hypotheses concerning them, testing these hypotheses and communicating these results, possibly modifying and retesting the hypotheses'.

Fluency : It respects the quantity of production within limited time and has no consideration of quality. Only acceptability of a response, within the broad restrictions of the instruction is applied as a criterion.

Flexibility : Shifts in responses are the main criterion of flexibility, 'Shift' is going from one class of uses to another.

Originality : It is determined by unusual responses. It is approached with three alternative principles (Wilson et.al. 1953), i.e. variety of response, statistical infrequent response and unusual responses are termed as original responses.

Intellectual Development

is approached with three alternative principles (Wilson et.al. 1953), i.e. variety of response, statistical infrequent response and unusual responses are termed as original responses.

Intellectual Development

The construction of mental structure is a fundamental process of intellectual development. Mental structure provides the basis for our pattern of reasoning, which determine 'how and what we think' and 'how we interact with our environment'. In a real sense our mental structures are reasoning pattern and represent our knowledge about physical world and the world of ideas. Piaget (1950, 1952) understands mental structure which, in the course of development, achieves an increasingly comprehensive and perfect state of equilibrium. The different stages corresponding to structures are :

(i) the stage of sensori-motor intelligence upto the age of 18 months; (ii) the stage of preoperational thought upto the age of about 7 years; (iii) the stage of concrete operational thought upto eleven years; and (iv) the stage of formal logical operations, when the adolescent is able to think reflectively about the logical operations themselves and use them systematically. This Piagetian model of the genetic structures of childrens' minds were

based on symbolic logic and mathematics of groups and sets.

With the help of his symbolic logic Piaget distinguishes among the availability of various logical operations at various age levels, e.g. combinativity, reversibility, associativity and identity, etc. are available at concrete stage while the operational schemata like combinational, proportional mechanical equilibrium, correlation and probabilities, etc. at the beginning of the formal operational stage.

Transitional Operational Stage

Many times we find that a child has attained the concrete stage completely and also attained the formal stage partially, but would not attain the formal stage completely. Such students are classified in transitional stage.

Logical operation In the Piagetian sense of operation is a mental action within the person. It modifies the object of knowledge and renders the individual capable of understanding the structure of the transformation that has come about e.g. put an object in a class, construct a classification, organize the objects, build series etc.

Piaget et.al. as a result of his vast research

procedures, enunciated various schemes of thought along with experiments (commonly known as tasks), which are developed for adolescents. These are, for example relating to combinations, proportionality, correlations, probability and reciprocity etc.

Vanita Roadrangka, Russell H. Yeany and Michael J. Padilla developed a paper pencil tasks for investigating adolescent thought. The test measures six logical operations, conservation, proportional reasoning, controlling variables, probabilities reasoning, combinational reasoning and correlational reasoning. The glossary of these logical operations are given in Chapter III.

Academic Achievement

It may be expressed as scholastic achievement of an individual in a particular branch of knowledge (in the present study science and mathematics) after a definite period of learning and training of a prescribed course (Lawrenz, F., 1976).

Caste

Children are categorized into scheduled and non-scheduled castes on the basis of the classification of castes recommended by the Commissioner of Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes.

Parents' Education

Fathers' and Mothers' educational qualifications, of the students, were considered as parents' education.

Parents' Occupations

Fathers' and Mothers' occupations were considered as parents' occupations of the students.

Family Size

The total number of children and parents have been considered as family size of a family.

Parents' Income

Total income per month of mother and father has been considered as parents' income.

Environment

Urban areas : The urban area has been considered a place with all sorts of urban facilities of District Head quarters as accepted by the Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, Delhi.

Rural Areas : The rural area has been considered a place as accepted by the Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, Delhi.

Types of Schools

Only two types of schools have been considered for this study (i) government schools, the institutions run by government agency, as recognised by Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, Delhi. (ii) aided schools these institutions are run by private managements with financial support of the government, as recognised by the Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, Delhi.

CHAPTER : II

REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND STUDIES



CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND STUDIES

INTRODUCTION

The review of the literature in educational research provides one with means of getting to the frontier in a particular field of knowledge. According to Miller (1965) research worker must be aware of what is known with some degree of certainty, what is accepted as truth by some but not by others, and must have some inkling of the nature of unexplored areas where additional research should be conducted.

Borg and Walter state that, 'the review of the literature on educational research provides one with means of getting to the frontier in a particular field of knowledge'. It involves locating, realising and evaluating reports of research as well as report of observation and opinions that are related to the individual's planned research project.

As such the review of the literature is necessary for scientific approach and is reported by almost all the investigators in areas of scientific research. The investigator cannot have an insight into the problem to

be investigated, unless and until he has learnt what others have done and what remains to be done in a particular area of interest. Thus the, related literature, besides forming one of the early chapters in a research report for orienting the readers, also serves some other purposes which are given by Good, Barr and Scates as follows (i) to know whether the evidence already available solves the problem adequately without further investigation and thus to avoid the risk of duplication, (ii) to provide ideas, theories explanations or hypotheses valuable in formulating the problem, (iii) to suggest methods of research appropriate to the problem, (iv) to locate comparative data useful in the interpretation of results and (v) to contribute to the general scholarship of the investigator.

JUSTIFICATION OF LITERATURE

The researcher has tried to find out the needed studies in the areas of intellectual development, creativity, academic achievement and socio-economic status and during his hunt for the related literature it was found that there was no study available which was parallel to the present study. All the studies had either different combinations of variables or were taken at different levels and on different sample. The researcher also tried his best to review foreign

as well as Indian studies necessary for this project.

These reviews are presented under the following headings

1. Studies related with intellectual development
2. Studies related with intellectual development and achievement in science.
3. Studies on creativity.
4. Studies related with intellectual development and creativity.

STUDIES RELATED TO THE INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

Bruner (1966) maintained, that mental growth was essentially discontinuous and therefore best described by stage development theories rather than theories postulating gradual acceleration process. This is one of the several important conclusions concerning our understanding of human being on genetic development. Since then the stage developmental 'not only has remained a dominant view point in psychology but has gained a steadily increasing importance in the thinking of education. This evident both from the attempts made to construct school Science curricula fitted to developmental level and to analysing existing courses by the same criterion, e.g., Piaget's theories have been used to guide curriculum planning in the writing of materials for the Australian Science Education Project (1920),

and in Britain the School Council's 5113 projects have similarly been developed as a science teaching scheme in which his ideas and findings are widely used in preparing materials. Hall (1971), Ingle and Shayer (1971 and Shayer Shayer (1970-72) in a series of three articles have prescribed courses based on Piaget's developmental stages and have demonstrated its usefulness by providing a close analysis in these terms of Nuffield 'O' Level Courses in Chemistry and Physics.

There have been a number of researchers to study the developmental level by using Piagetian tasks.
Piaget and Inhelder (1958)

Elkind (1962) administered three Piagetian tasks on 240 college students and found that only 58% students were clear about the conservation of volume concept. He also reported that most of the college students were still at the concrete operational level.

Jackson (1955) studied the growth of logical thinking in normal and sub-normal children and found that about half of the sample population attained the formal operational stage. Evidences are available to the effect that a sizable number of children do not reach formal operational level.

Lovell, et.al. (1966) reported that majority of the adolescent pupils do not reach the formal operational level.

Dule (1970) also reported that very few adolescent perform at the formal operational level.

Kohlberg and Gilligan (1971) were of the opinion that all normal children reach the concrete operational level at their adolescent stage but it is not true that all must reach the formal operational level.

Hale (1972) found that large number of his sample subjects were at the concrete and Transitional Operational stage. A study conducted on 131 college freshman (McKinnon 1971) showthat 50% were at the concrete operational level, 25% were at the formal operational level.

Lang (1972) also found that even eleventh graders fail to manifest formal thinking on problems dealing with mass, weight, properties, speed, velocity and acceleration.

Not only normal children but also sizable number of gifted children do not attain formal thought. It is revealed by the study of Duilt (1972) that two fifth of gifted children in the age group 16-17 years failed to attain formal operational stage. He also reported that two-third of the general population failed to achieve formal thought.

Farrell (1969) reported that the percentage of formal level thinker decreases and may revert to the lower level of cognitive development. Howe(1974)

reported that even Upper level secondary students excepts a few who were bright, could not reach formal operational level for the solution of most problems on Piagetian tasks.

Renner and Stafford (1972) studied the intellectual level of 290 students of grades X? XI, living in the state of Oklahoma and administered 6 Piagetian tasks to assess the intellectual level. It was found that about 66% were at concrete operational level, 20% at the transitional stage and 14% at the formal operational stage respectively. In another study Renner and Stafford (1972) investigated the level of intellectual attainment of 298 Junior High School students of grades 7,8 and 9, living in various parts of Oklahoma. Six Piagetian tasks were administered and it was found that 77% were at concrete operational level, 13% at post concrete level and 6% at the formal level.

Lawson and Renner (1974) administered six Piagetian tasks on 588 students of class seven to twelve from 25 schools and the subjects were in the age group of 8.3 years to 11.3 years. It was found that 32 students were at the formal level, 113 were at the post concrete level and rest at concrete level. In another study Lawson and Renner(1974) selected 143 college freshmen from Oklahoma and administered five Piagetian tasks. They reported that 52% at post

concrete level and 22% at the formal operational level.

Docherty (1974) reported that from a Piagetian point of view a relatively homogeneous group of children can be identified as concrete and formal operational pupils through cluster analysis, using Piagetian tasks.

Cognitive development was also studied in different cultural groups.

Nordland et.al. (1974) conducted a research study to judge the reasoning ability of 96 randomly selected seventh grade students from a predominantly black and spanish American urban high school. Ten Piagetian tasks were administered. It was found that about 83.4% of these students were at the concrete level and 15.6% were at the formal operational level.

Lawson and Blake (1974) studied the abstract thinking ability of 68 high school Biology students. He selected students from a rural area of North Central Indiana. Their age ranged from 14 years 7 months to 17 years 10 months. He used three Piagetian tasks and found that 47% were at concrete operational level and 53% at the formal operational level.

Chiappetta and Whitefield (1974) studied the cognitive development of 26 randomly selected high school seniors of Houston Texas of various academic group (college preparatory, General and vocational). Their

study shows that (i) in vocational group 61.5% were at the concrete operational level and 38.5% at the formal operational level (ii) 53.8% were at concrete operational level and 46.2% at formal operational level in general track group and (iii) in college preparatory group, 27% were at the concrete operational level and 73% were at formal operational level.

Ganoka (1978) studied the structure of intellectual abilities with the Piagetian formal operational tasks. A factor analysis revealed that the differentiation of the structure of the intellectual occurs mainly during the early concrete Piagetian stage of development and integration found in formal Piagetian stage.

Lawson (1977) administered 3 formal operational task on 28 children (14 males and 14 female) and conducted individual interviews. During the interviews, notes on subject behaviours and verbal responses were made. The notes were later used to score subject's performance on each task. These scores later categorized into Piagetian concrete and formal levels of intellectual development by two independent raters. Responses on each task ranged from early concrete to fully operational. It was found that correlation coefficient among performance on tasks ranged from 0.60 to 0.70 . The tasks were found to have a high degree of internal

homogeneity ($HR = 0.66$).

Upadhyay (1978) selected 100 students (50 boys and 50 girls) of XI grade science belonging to Ajmer City for his study. He administered 5 Piagetian tasks individually and Raven's Progressive test of intelligence. The main findings of the study were (i) There were 38% students at concrete level, 40% students were at post concrete level, and 22% students were at formal level, (ii) No significant relationship existed between the scores of intelligence and different levels (concrete, post-concrete and formal) of intellectual development

Pandey (1979) reported that 8.44% of the class XI science students were at concrete operational stage, 12.66% at post concrete level and 15.69% at formal level.

Mathur (1981) investigated the 'Growth of Experimental Mind During Adolescence' on a sample of 120 pupils studying in VI through XI, ages between 11+ to 16+. She found that the performance on Piaget type task show an increasing trend with grade with occasional fluctuations on certain tasks.

Jain (1984) in her study found that (i) Majority of the adolescent pupils at 11+ to 14+ were not in a position to reason formally, (ii) More than 50% were in a position to reason formally on the schemes of grasping the essence of the problem, using constant difference, classificatory reasoning and combinational reasoning on

the other hand, they were not in a position to reach formally on conservation of volumes, probability reasoning and proportionality reasoning. There was an increasing trend of adolescent thought with age.

De Lacey's (1970 a,b) study on European Children belonging to lower socio-economic class revealed that the performance of these children was low on Piagetian tasks. The study also revealed that Australian European children belonging to lower socio-economic group had not reached concrete operational thinking (classification) level at the age of 12.

Higgins Trenk and Gaité (1971) reported on the basis of their studies on formal operation with American subjects that normal adolescents did not reach the formal level of thinking at the age of sixteen. Even if they reached the formal stage it should be at the age of 19 or 20.

Dasen (1975) extended Berry's (1977) model of ecological functionalism to Piagetian developmental psychology. It was hypothesized that the rate of development of concrete operation was partly determined by ecological and cultural factors. In particular, if there subsistence economy populations are placed on a eco-cultural scale, with low food accumulating, sedentary, agriculturalist groups at the other extremes the former was expected to develop spatial concepts

more rapidly than the latter, whereas the sedentary group is expected to attain the concepts of conservation of quality, weight and volume more rapidly than nomadic group will. The results generally supported the model in a study involving 190 children aged 6 through 14 years from 3 cultural groups Canadian eskimos, Australian, aborigines and Ebric Africans.

Oppen's (1976) results show that the rate of development of Swiss children and Thai urban children was found to be almost identical whereas a 'time lag' appeared for the rural children.

Karplus, et.al. (1977) surveyed the proportional reasoning and control of variables in seven countries. They administered two Piagetian tasks (ratio paper clip task and control of variables tasks by Wellman) to approximately 3500 students in Copenhagen (N=1020), Austria (N=595), Germany (N=319), and Great Britain (N=376). The two tasks were translated into five languages and presented in collaboration with science education research groups in each country. The researchers analysed test performance in terms of students, country, gender, socio-economic status and achievement level depending on the school organisation in each country. It was found that differences in achievement among countries were smaller than differences among groups within a country.

experience they have in school and at home.

Bevly (1979) administered four Piaget type task on 742 children of age rang 6+ and 12+. The sample was drawn from three schools representing three different types of environment (i) an urban disadvantaged group (ii) an urban advantaged group (iii) a rural disadvantaged group. She found that (i) the children from poor quality schools and low socio-economic status though in the urban areas, reach operational stage at a much batter age, (ii) rural children do not reach operational level at all even at 12+, (iii) the rate of cognitive development in the three Indian samples studied was different and (iv) the urban children whether belonging the public school (higher socio-economic status group) or corporation urban school (Lower socio-economic status group) had a definite and significant correlational trend at all age levels under investigation between intelligence and cognitive development but the same type of definite trend is not evident in the rural children.

Sandhu (1980) in his doctoral study, on the 'Factorial study of Adolescent Thought' investigated the thinking processes of adolescent of rural background between the age group 11+ to 15- using 10 Piaget type tasks and found that (i) the performance on Piaget type tasks increased with age during the formal operational

period and the boys did fare better than girls at the respective age levels, (ii) intelligence and academic achievement had direct ring on adolescent thought, and (iii) the development to formal thinking leads to better adjustment of the individual and vice-versa.

| Pachauri (1976) studied science pupil-teachers. He administered three Piagetian tasks and found that there pupil teachers were at the early formal operational stage that is, the transitional stage.

Jurascheck's (1974) study involved 141 prospective elementary school teacher, 19 secondary mathematics student-teachers and honour calculus students. He administered three Piagetian tasks and found that (i) in prospective elementary school teachers, 48% were at formal operational level, (ii) only 1% was at the concrete operational level and 99% were at the formal operational level in mathematics students-teachers and (iii) in honours calculus no student was found at concrete operational level. All students were at the formal operational level.

Joyce (1977) administered five Piagetian tasks on 66 science teachers in the elementary schools. 80% of these teachers were in the age 19-22 years while the others were older. The results showed that about 77% were at the formal level while about 8% were at concrete level and about 15% at transitional level.

Students on the role of sex in cognitive development show that by and large the males are better than their female counterparts in their performance on Piagetian tasks.

Graybill (1974) studied the sex differences in transition from concrete to formal thinking patterns and noticed sex differences varying in boys and girls in their logical thinking.

Lawson (1975) undertook a study with a purpose to assess the Piagetian level of performance of males and females on two manipulative tasks of concrete and formal reasoning ability. He concluded that for all the measures the males' mean level was higher than that of the females'.

Michael (1977) studied the sex differences in formal thought. 30 males and 36 females formed the sample of his study. 12 Piagetian tasks were administered on the sample group. It was found that males out performed females. Deluce (1981) administered six Piagetian tasks to 182 males and 175 females age 9 through 18 years old. Results showed that Piagetian stages exist in a general sequence through which intellectual progress. However males were better than females in Piagetian tasks.

Fredrich (1981) studied the influence of Piagetian task and gender on cluster patterns. Six Piagetian tasks were administered to 182 males and 176 females aged 18.

It was found that males conformed more Piagetian stages than their female counterparts. The deviation from Piagetian stages was influenced by gender. It was also reported that the greatest discontinuity occurred for the males between sub-stages III A and III B, not between II B and III A as reported by Piaget.

Ehinderer (1982) studied correlates of sex related differences in logical reasoning. Result showed that males scored higher than females in male related tasks and female scored higher in female related tasks. However significant difference was observed on content free tasks. Tohid (1983) studied the sex differences in cognitive performance on Piagetian like tasks and reported that the sex differences were found in favour of males, with a slight superiority of girls in classification and seriation.

Graybill (1974) attempted to determine the possible existence of sex difference in intellectual development and problem solving ability. Children between the age of 9 and 15 were selected for the study. The sample consisted of three pairs of boys and girls of about 9.11, 13 to 15 years of age. These pairs were matched as well as possible with respect to birth date, I.Q. school achievement, and socio-economic background. Each subject was asked to solve four problems selected equal angles, floating bodies, rods, and chemical combinations. Interviews were recorded on tape for

analysis. It was found that (i) girls differed from boys in the point at which they developed logical thinking abilities as defined by Piaget and Inhelder. Boys began to score at the formal level at 13 years of age while the girls lagged behind. There was no girl in the sample who scored consistently at the formal level, (ii) boys and girls began to show difference in logical thinking ability at about 11 years of age. (iii) boys were more successful than girls in solving the science problems selected for this study. The data showed that boys scored better than girls on every experiment at each level, except for the chemical combination results in the 9 years old female group. Which were in favour of boys. It would be interesting to refer Somerville (1974) who reported that the development of formal thought is strongly dependent on age rather than sex, even the type of schools.

Kale and Danke (1976) reported that (i) the mathematics scores were related significantly to age grade, sex and school type but not to SES? (ii) cognitive development had little relationship with language achievement and mathematics achievement, (iii) the cognitive development was related significantly to age, grade, groups, and school type and (iv) cognitive development had curvilinear relation with medium of instruction and negligible relationship with E.Q. and sex.

Norland et.al. (1970) studied the intellectual level of 506 science students. The subjects age ranged from 13.6 years to 20 years. They were administered ten Piagetian tasks and the results showed that 85% children were at concrete operational level and 13.2% at formal operational level. Lawson(1974) analysed the relationship between concrete and formal operational science content and developmental level of learner. The sample was collected from high school in Norman, Oklahoma of 51 Biology, 50 Chemistry and 33 Physics students. Four Piagetian tasks were administered results were as follows (i) 64.8% were at the concrete operational level and 35.2% were at formal operational level among Biology students, (ii) Out of 50 Chemistry students, 22% were at concrete operational level and 78% were at formal operational level and (iii) out of 33 Physics students, 36% were at concrete operational level and 63% were found at the formal operational level.

Lawson and Renner (1975) administered four Piagetian tasks to assess understanding of concrete and formal operational concept of secondary school students. In the study 51, 50 and 33 subjects were randomly selected from Biology, Chemistry and Physics classes respectively. Data indicated that approximately 64.8% of the biology students were operating entirely or particularly at the

concrete level. The chemistry sample was best characterized as transitional thinker with 92% of those interviewed categorized above concrete operational IIB and below formal operational IIIB. The physics sample also consisted largely of students operating some where between fully concrete operation IIB and fully formal operation IIIB. Approximately 85% of the students were classified above concrete operation II B, and below formal operation III B. only 48% of the entire sample or 134 students were judged to be formal operational III B thinker.

Rowell and Hoffman (1975) in a study 'Distinguishing formal from concrete thinker' 193 samples (110 boys and 83 girls) participated in Chemical experiments and 189 of the same students (107 boys and 82 girls) participated in the pendulum experiment. The results of the chemical and pendulum test classified according to the four developmental sub-level IIA, IIB, He found dual trends of increase in percentage of formal thinkers with increase in chronological age and the higher percentage of formal thinkers in the upper stream at the various grade level.

Vaidya (1975) studied the growth of logical thinking in science during adolescence on a sample of 100 boys and 100 girls studying in grades VI to X

matched on intelligence and socio-economic status. The main finding of this study were (i) except for occasional fluctuations, average performance on each problem increases with grade. Mean performance in most of the cases favour boys rather than girls, however, they move into higher grades, (ii) a given problem was solved successfully (or failed) over a wide I.Q. range both within and across the various grades, (iii) adolescent pupils were affected by the content of the problem than the nature of the problem. (contrary to Piaget's view), (iv) adolescent pupils were in a position to set up hypotheses, they were not in a position to test them which showed that their minds had not yet become experimental. (contrary to Piaget), (v) the top group differed from the bottom group on all the five measure of adjustment, understanding of the problem and all the seventeen schemes of thought.

Lawson, Floyd and Devito (1975) administered four Piagetian tasks to determine interrelationship of students' scores on these four tasks and scores on commonly used standardized verbal and mathematical aptitude examinations and science, mathematics and english achievement examinations. Finding of study were (i) the majority, 66% of the sample demonstrated transitional responses (ii) the correlations among the Piagetian

scores and sub-sequential test of educational progress in science were fairly high and significant at the .001 or .001 level and (iii) correlation with the achievement in science were also fairly high and significant. Some of the correlation with achievement in mathematics and english were somewhat lower than those for the science examinations.

S U M M A R Y

As one goes through the research literature it is found that research conducted out-side Geneva still deals more with concrete operational stage than formal operational stage of cognitive development. Researchers realized that this age limitation may not be applicable to other adolescent of the world. Vigginga(1981) concluded from their tstudy on formal operations with American subjects that normal adolescents were unable to reach the formal level of thinking. Even if they reach that level, it should at their late nineteens or early twenties. The conclusions drawn on the basis of researches reported are as follows (i) all normal children reach the concrete operational level but they do not necessarily reach the formal operational level (ii) many students do not reach

formal operational level for the solution of most of the problem, (iii) the analysis of various studies based on scores of atleast three (and usually more) Piagetian type tasks, (iv) various studies conducted senior school level of grades (9,10,11) show that majority of students belong to concrete operational level and few in post-concrete and formal operational level, (v) the most of the adolescents and young adults are at the concrete operational level, (vi) the students develop the ability of formal operational thinking with increasing age and grade level (vii) many adolescents are somewhere in transitional stage between concrete and formal operational stage of intellectual development.

STUDIES RELATED TO THE INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT AND THE ACHIEVEMENT IN SCIENCE SUBJECTS

One of the domain in which Piaget's work is likely to have its greatest impact is the area of science and mathematics teaching. Piaget has repeatedly mentioned that the order in which a person develops through the stages in the models is constant and in order to move from stage to stage the individual must be confronted only with those activities and situation which can be understood by him in present stage. Thus a concrete operational thinker does not become formal operational by

constantly being confronted with formal operational tasks and concepts. He must meet situation which are at the concrete level but which also will add to and challenge his thinking ability to promote progress to higher levels.

Although each of the science subjects includes abstract conceptual schemes, the degree of abstractness at high school science stage generally increases from biology to chemistry to physics (Bates, 1975).

Some studies relating to cognitive development and science achievement indicate that the subjects who perform at the formal operational level in Piagetian tasks function at the concrete operational level only for various concepts in science.

✓Sheehan (1970) studied the effectiveness of concrete and formal instructional procedure with students of concrete operational and formal operational students. A sample of 104 science students were randomly selected from a school at New York. The range was from 12 years 6 months to 13 years 5 months. Initially the students were classified at concrete or formal operational level using a list based on Piagetian theory of cognitive development. The effect of students understanding equilibrium in the balance bar, angles, evidence and reflection and of oscillation of pendulum was measured. The formal operational procedures and the concrete

operational students achieved significantly higher scores as a result of concrete instructions rather than formal instructions. The study revealed that the regression effect in this discussion of the improved performance of formal operational subjects for concrete instructions, but not for formal instructions.

Lawson (1974) reported that the regression effect demonstrated by students classified as formal operational when tested on formal science content. He found that the formal operational subjects understand significantly more formal concept than the concrete operational subjects. The formal operational individuals also demonstrated a great deal of more understanding of concrete concepts than of formal concept in science.

Chiappette (1974) reported that large number of individual related at the formal operational level functioned at the concrete operational level when tested their understanding of physical science problems. The subjects could solve correctly the problems by substitution into the mathematical formulas but they could not give examples to show their understanding of the underlying concepts or theory involved.

Sayre and Ball (1975) conducted a study on the sample of 419 students in a grade seven to twelve. Piagetian type tasks developed by them were administered

to each subject. Students successfully completing four or five tasks were classified as formal operational while successfully completing three or less out of the five tasks were classified as non-formal operational. He reported that there is a relationship (significant at .01 level) between the number of task performed at the formal operational level and the scholastic science grades of junior high school students ($r=0.33$) and the senior high school science students ($r=0.46$). There was also no significant relationship (0.1 level) between the scholastic science achievement of non-formal students and the number of task perform at the juniors and senior high school level.

Lawson and Balke (1976) classified high school biology student into concrete and formal stage using three separate instruments. In this study 68 high school biology students of age fro 14 years 7 months to 17 years 10 months were randomly selected. Three Piagetian tasks were administered to each student and classified at the different intellectual levels according to their scores. Biology content examination of 16 paper and pencil items was also administered to each students ability to use a variety of concrete and formal operations. The result of Piagetian task administered showed that about 53% of the students were at the formal

level and the biology content examination showed that only 35% of the students were at the formal level. The non-science content examination result showed that 43% of the students were at the formal level.

✓ Kolodiy (1977) reported scores for high school and college freshman that are nearly equal ((35% and 32%) formal; 50% and 60% transitional; 15% and 8% concrete and significant different from the college senior sample (64% formal, 28% transitional, 8% concrete). Correlations were significant between SAT mathematics and the two tasks scores, and between the chemical liquid task and SAT math/SAT verbal scores.

✓ Wheeler (1977) conducted a study of proportional reasoning in high school chemistry. The sample consisted of 168 X-grade chemistry students drawn from large high schools in Canada. Four Piagetian task were administered on the sample subjects. The survey revealed that about 22% of the students were late formal 37% early formal, 22% transitional and 29% concrete. Significant correlations were also found between proportional reasoning in chemistry and achievement in chemistry.

✓ Das Gupta (1977) conducted a study with the purpose of finding relationship between Piagetian logical thinking and achievement in science subjects, namely physics, chemistry and biology. Eighty four

science pupil-teachers studying, in R.C.E. Ajmer formed the sample for her study. The Raven's verbal logical reasoning test and Achievement Test in Biology.

Physics and chemistry were administered on the sample. The study revealed that (i) the proportion of prospective Biology, chemistry and physics teachers attained concrete operational thinking was 36.84, 38.88 and 20.68 respectively, (ii) no formal thinker were found in prospective biology and chemistry teachers, (iii) the proportion of prospective physics teachers attained formal operational thinking was 10.34. No significant relationship existed between achievement in biology and (a) concrete operational thinking (b) transitional operational thinking in prospective biology teachers, (v) No significant relationship existed between achievement in chemistry and (a) concrete operational thinking (b) transitional operational thinking in prospective chemistry teachers, (vi) No significant relationship existed between achievement in physics and (a) concrete operational thinking (b) transitional operational thinking (c) formal operational thinking; in prospective physics teachers, and (vii) teachers attained transitional operation thinking 63.15, 61.11 and 68.96 respectively.

✓ Pandey (1979) reported that achievement in

physics, chemistry and mathematics increased with the advancement of levels of intellectual development.

The major conclusion of the researches reported are as follows (i) the degree of abstractness at school science stage generally increases from biology to chemistry to physics, (ii) subjects who perform at the formal operational level on Piagetian tasks generally score high marks in achievement in different subjects, and (iii) science concepts should be taught to the students according to their mental structures.

RESEARCHES ON STUDIES RELATED TO CREATIVITY

A perusal of the summaries of selected literature in psychology and psychiatry mentioned in annotated bibliography entitled 'Creativity and the Individual' edited by Stein and Beins (1960) indicates that investigators have touched upon diverse areas such as the criterion and other problems, the creative process, heredity, age, early experience, religion, personality characteristics and motivational factors, cognitive factors (mainly intelligence), ecological (home and environment, culture, psychopathology and statistical studies of all these the large bulk is concerned with reporting on personality characteristics and motivational factors both in their academic aspects and empirical studies. Raina's bibliography (1971)

reflects a similar trend obtaining in India with the difference that theoretical matters are more abundant in Indian literature relating to creativity as compared to empirical one.

The question, what is the relationship between Intellectual Development (cognitive development in Piagetian context) and Creativity? has perhaps not been attended with any great enthusiasm. It is seen that most of research studies on creativity deals with construction and standardization of creativity tests as also correlational studies involving creativity tests and other ability tests. Following is a brief review of such studies.

A Cognitive Correlates of Creativity

Commenting on the issue of relationship between Creativity and Intelligence, ✓ Foster(1971) pointed out, that it centers around two focal points (i) Creativity is proposed to be a distinct aspects of intellectual functioning and is practically independent of conventional intelligence, (ii) Creativity depends upon unique cognitive factors which within the hierarchical structure of intelligence.

The first stand point has been taken by several authors. They suggest two distinct aspects of intellectual functioning. According to Guilford(1950)

they are 'convergent thinking' and 'divergent thinking'.

✓ Guilford (1950) predicts that the relationship between intelligence and creativity is low. Andrews (1950) finds correlations of 0.15, 0.02 and 0.03 between intelligence and imagination in studies. Waloh (1946) finds a correlation of 0.25 between originality and intelligence.

✓ Getzels and Jackson (1962) reported low correlation ranging from 0.132 to 0.378 between creativity and intelligence.

Several other authors (Stein, 1955; Phatak, 1962; Cropley, 1965; Yamamoto, 1965; Guilford et.al. 1966; Hudson, 1966; Nadus, 1967; Raina, 1968; Parmesh, 1969; Passi, 1971, Sharma, 1972; Sharma 1974; Bedi 1974; Sandhu 1975; Bhattacharya 1979; Saxena, 1980; Mathur, 1981; Singh, 1984) reported low positive correlation between the measures of creativity and intelligence, However, Fleschar (1963) found a negative correlation between creativity and intelligence.

From the above studies it can be inferred that creativity is slightly related to intelligence and as such it constitute a separate cogitive factor which contribute little to conventional intelligence.

The existence of distinct factors of intelligence and creativity has been proved further

using factor analytic techniques. Guilford et.al. (1951,52), Wallach and Kogan (1965) and Cropley (1966) identified traditional concept of intelligence.

Wallach and Wing (1969), Dacey and Madaus(1971) Sultan (1962), reported separate dimensions of divergent thinking and intelligence. In other words, they supported the view that creativity is independent of conventional intelligence for all purposes.

According to contemporary studies Torrance (1962) Guilford (1967) creativity involves factors that come under the category of divergent thinking as contrasted to convergent think. Guilford predicted a curvilinear relationship to each other, i.e., there may be substantial correlation between creativity and I.Q. at the lower level of intelligence but when some critical I.Q. level has been reached creativity functions independently.

This view has largely been supported by the studies of Taylor 1964; Barron 1969; Majumdar 1970; Gakhar, 1975; Saxena 1982 and Pant, 1981.

Creativity and Personality Correlates

A great deal has been done to locate the personal qualities and attributes which make a person creative or distinguish scientists from non-scientists, artists from non-artists, science students from non-

science students on certain personality patterns which qualify them as creative persons.

Empirical research on the problem of creativity and personality relationships was studied by a large number of researchers like Mussen, 1953; Barron 1955; Kubie 1958; Torrance 1962; Foster 1971; Holland 1971; and several others. The following attributes or personality patterns of creatives were identified. They (i) possess non-aggressive and more feminine than masculine traits of personality, (ii) have high ego strength, have ease of recall and oedipal complexity, (iii) have high degree of originality, humour, playfulness and relative relaxation, (iv) have strong sense of performing some 'role in life', (v) have less concern for other people, authority, rules and regulations, (vi) are more autonomous and more self controlled, (vii) score high on tests of originality with regards to freedom of expression, rebelliousness, disorderliness, etc. and (viii) they are significantly better in abstract thinking, emotional stability, independence, venturesome, self concept control, intelligence and achievement.

In India, also many researchers like Ray Choudhary 1961; Ganguly and Bhattacharya 1965; Raina 1968; Paramesh 1969; Jha 1972; Joshi 1973; Goyal 1973; Arora 1974; Gakhar 1975; Tripathi 1973,

1976, 1979; Basu 1977; Bhargava 1979 and Singh 1981; conducted investigations on personality correlates of creativity. Their sample ranges from adolescent students to adults engaged in different walks of life like, music science, literature, art, drawing and paintings etc. They also concluded the same pattern of personality traits of the creatives as listed above.

STUDIES RELATED WITH INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT AND CREATIVITY

As evident from the review of researchers attempted by this researcher, most of the researchers abroad and in the country confined to the investigations of psychological, ecological, psycho-physiological, and some other determinants of creativity like first order variables and second order variables birth order, religion culture etc. Only scanty work has been attempted to establish relationship between intellectual development and creativity of adolescent students.

✓ Duckworth (1972) opines that the development of intelligence is a creative affair. She contends, that creative acts arise from the connecting of ideas and more action thoughts (in Piagetian term 'Scheme' that a child possess, the better chances for creative intellectual acts to be produced.

Stoker (1972) attempted a study in 19 adult women with a purpose to determine the relationship between intellectual development and creativity. Piagetian style instrument and Torrance test of creative thinking (TTCT) were used for collection of data. It was found that Piagetian level and creativity has positively related with the degree of ego-centric thought shown in the responses.

Feldman (1974) concluded that the process of Piaget's intellectual advancement and creative production share over time and the solution becomes permanently incorporated into the mental structure of the individual.

Reven and Polankhi (1974) conducted a study on 111 fourth grades and 109 sixth graders. Levels of cognitive development were assessed by Reven's tests of logical operations (RTLO) and measures of creativity were obtained on the Torrance test of creative thinking (TTCT). It was found that direct relationship existed between intellectual development and verbal creativity.

Lehman (1981) conducted a study to examine the relationship between cognitive development and creativity of 24 subjects; all whites with a mean age of 14.26 years from the rural schools, and 66 subjects; predominantly black population with a mean age of 15.17

years from the urban schools. Three instruments were administered to the subjects (a) Otis-Lennon Ability Test (b) Lawson's Classroom Test of formal reasoning and (c) Torrance Test of Creative Thinking. It was reported that Piagetian scores and creativity sub-scores were unrelated for rural white subjects. However, a high relationship was observed between two variables for the disadvantaged black population.

Kumar S. (1982) conducted a study to find out the relationship of Intellectual Development with creativity and achievement. The sample consisted 265 grade XI science students (139 boys and 126 girls) The Raven's Test of Logical Thinking (RTL0) and Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT) were administered to the subjects. The scores in science subjects obtained by these students in grade X (Rajasthan Board Secondary Examination) were taken as the index of their achievement. The main finding of the study were (i) About 13%, 70% and 18% of science adolescent were at concrete, transitional and formal operational thinking level of intellectual development respectively, (ii) Boys adolescents lead in their attainment of formal operational thinking level (10.14%) than girls (15.07%) percentage of girls reaching

at the transitional level of intellectual development was slightly higher (73.01%) than that of boys (66.94%)

(iii) No difference was found in percentage of boys and girls at concrete operational thinking level of intellectual development, (iv) Non-verbal originality, Verbal flexibility and Creativity was found significantly correlated with intellectual development in case of boys adolescents. (v) Non-verbal creativity and total creativity test scores was found significantly correlated with intellectual development in case of boys science adolescents studying in private schools. (vi) Significant and positive relationship was exists between total scores of intellectual development and scores in science subjects viz. Physics, Chemistry, Biology and in total achievement scores. (vii) A high and significant relationship was found between achievement in science subjects and Transitional operational thinking stage of intellectual development. (viii) Significant relationship was found between achievement in science subjects as well as total achievement scores in case of boys and girls adolescents students studying in Government schools. (ix) A significant relationship is found in case of boys studying in private school.

CHAPTER : III

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

PROCEDURE OF STUDY

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

CHAPTER III

PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF STUDY

The present chapter embodies the methodology design, sampling, procedure of the study, description of tools and statistical techniques employed for conducting present research study.


METHODOLOGY

The focus of the study has been on studying the relationship of intellectual development with creativity, achievement and socio-economic status of grade XI science students. The study was conducted through normative testing survey method following the cross-sectional approach, as such the nature of the study has been correlational type. Besides studying the relationships between intellectual development and various dimensions of creativity, an attempt was also made to study the effect of type of schools, sex, parents' education, parents' occupations, parents' income, size of the family and environmental influence on intellectual development. Comparisons among scheduled caste/ scheduled tribes and general category students were also attempted vis-a-vis their intellectual

development. Creativity and achievement of the student were also studied with regard to sex, environment and type of schools at various levels of their intellectual development.

SAMPLING

The sample of the present study consisted of (656 boys and 370 girls) students offering science subjects at grade XI, drawn from the senior secondary schools of Union Territory, Delhi. All subjects belonged to age group (15-17) years. Stratified cluster sampling technique (Festinger and Katz, 1970) was employed. The sampling frame has been presented below



The above mentioned sampling frame indicates that representativeness was ensured to a great extent as government and aided, boys and girls schools were selected from both rural and urban settings in Delhi. The classification of rural and urban schools was followed as per the list of Senior Secondary Schools of Union Territory of Delhi (1984-85) published by the Educational Statistics Cell, Directorate of Education, Delhi Administration, Delhi. Further, an attempt was made to select schools from all the four Zones (north, south, east and west) of Delhi. The clusters of students obtaining in the selected schools were identified as subjects for the study. The schools were selected randomly as far as possible. However, due to constraints of availability the following deviations had to be accepted (i) the only, government girls senior secondary school appearing in the list of rural schools was selected (ii) No aided girls school was available for sampling in the rural areas. (iii) In the list of schools of rural areas only three had provision for science subject and these were in the north zone. Therefore, these three schools were included in the sample. (iv) No boys schools in the rural area of eastern zone had provision for science teaching hence none could appear in the frame. The list of selected schools is given in ^{Annexure - A} 3.

DESCRIPTION OF TOOLS.

The tools used to measure the variables under study, namely, intellectual development, creativity, achievement and socio-economic status are described here.

GROUP ASSESSMENT OF LOGICAL THINKING (GALT)

This is a paper-pencil test of formal operations, developed by Vanita Roadrangka, Russell H. Yeany and Michael J. Padilla. The logical operations used for this test were identified from 'An Analysis of the Growth of logical thinking' (Inhelder, B. and Piaget, J. 1958) and the 'Growth of Logic in the child' (Inhelder, B. and Piaget J. 1964). Group Assessment of Logical Thinking (GALT) test possesses the following characteristics.

1. The test measures six logical operations conservation, proportional reasoning, controlling variables, combinational reasoning probabilistic reasoning and correlational reasoning.
2. The test uses a multiple-choice format for presenting options for answers as well as the justification or reason for that answer.

4. The test is suitable for students reading at the sixth grade level or higher.
5. The test has sufficient reliability and validity to distinguish between groups of students at concrete, transitional and formal stages of development.
6. The test can be administered in one class period to a large group by individuals who serve simply as

The following rules were considered as model of logical operations for the purpose of constructing test items that required a specific rule for the solution.

Conservation

For Piaget, conservation is a central prerequisite for the acquisition and subsequent development of logical thought. According to Piaget, 'Every notion, whether it be scientific or merely a matter of common sense, presupposes a set of principles of conservation...'
(Piaget, 1965) Piaget's contention is that conservation reasoning is a necessary condition of all rational thought, 'Conservation' concept is of theoretical interest because it reflects cognitive competence of some complexity, while the period of acquisition is the threshold to greater and more complex intellectual growth. In general,

the conservation can be divided into two distinct types (Buisinard, 1970).

- a) The so-called first order quantitative invariants (e.g. number length, area, mass, weight) and
- b) The so-called second order invariants (e.g. volume, density, momentum, rectilinear motion).

Piaget considers the first order conservation indices of concrete operational thought and the second order conservation indices of formal operational thought. The operation of general identity : 'Adding a null class leaves the other class unchanged. The identity can be combining a class with its inverse class'.

The concept of identity is of great importance in the study of the phenomena of conservation. The task of conservation of 'substances' or 'of the mass' are based on 'the operation of general identity'. The following two items are based on conservation phenomena.

Item 1 Piece of clay : Here the emphasis is on the amount of an object. Two identical balls of clay are shown on a balance weighing the same and one is then shown deformed in shape (pan cake) later on.

Item 2 Metal weights : Here the emphasis is on the volume of displaced water. Two identical jars of equal shape and size and two metal balls of equal shape and size but of different weight are shown. One ball is put in each jar.

Proportional Reasoning

Proportional reasoning requires the subject to forecast all possible combinations in a double-entry table in such a way as to forecast proportions qualitatively and the latter quantitatively (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958) .

The relative magnitude of a ratio may increase-decrease, or stay the same with respect to the magnitude of another ratio if the magnitude of the variables are changed (e.g. $X/Y = X'/Y'$).

In the test employed the following two items are based on proportional reasoning

Item 3 Glass size : In this two glasses and two jars a small and a large one are shown in figures. Subjects, are told that 15 small glasses of water or 9 large glasses of water are needed to fill the large jar. 10 small glasses of water are required to fill the small jar. Then how many large glasses of water would be required to fill the small jar ?

Item 4 .Scale : Using a scale as a balance beam and

hanging weights, this item test the subject's ability to balance various combinations of weights at various locations along the beam e.g. given a 10 unit weight at three unit distance from the fulcrum. The examinee was asked to predict the proper location of a 5 unit weight on the other side of scale to achieve a balance correct response with reason of this item implied understanding of inverse-proportion.

Control Variables

In this understanding is used to control variables in order to draw valid conclusions from observations made on testing. On the experiments of control variables the sufficient understanding of the concept, 'all other things being equal' to serve as a guide for behaviour i.e. to enable subject to set up and carry out experiment. In this test two items are based on the same.

Item 5 Pendulum : This item is based on exclusion of irrelevant variables. This item tests students' ability to control and exclude irrelevant variables. In the figure using three strings hanging on a rod, two of them are of same length with different weights i.e. 5 unit and 10 unit and one is with 5 unit weight. These strings with weight work as a simple pendulum; subject

were given the problem of determining the effect of the length of strings on the time taken to swing to and fro. The only casual factor is length of the string. Therefore the weight of the ball, angle of drop, and force or push must be excluded.

The correct response required understanding of the concept 'all other things being equal'.

Item 6 Ball : In this figure of a ramp a target ball at the bottom is shown. Two different points, a low point and a high point, are shown on the ramp. There are two other balls of different weight i.e. light and heavy. Ball can roll on the ramp. If a ball is released from any point on the ramp it hits the target ball. This causes the target ball to move up the other side of the ramp.

In this item was asked the effect of different point on the distance covered by target ball, when ball is released from the different points.

Probability

Probabilistic reasoning requires that the subjects deduction begins with possibility (i.e. hypothesis) to end up to a reality conceived of as a realized factor of the total number of possible combinations (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958).

In this the object that has the greatest frequency the one most likely to be chosen from a group. Following items are based on probabilistic reasoning

Item 7 and 8 Square and Diamonds 1 and 2 : In both items figures of three spotted squares pieces of wood, four 'black squares and five white squares are shown. Four spotted diamond-shaped pieces, two black diamond and three white diamond are also shown.

Item 1 : the subjects were asked to state the chance of drawing out a spotted piece.

Item 8 : the subjects were asked to state the chance of drawing out the spotted diamond or a white diamond.

Correlational thinking

In correlation a probability estimate of relations or law is made. In such problems subjects have to count the cases that confirm and those that fail to confirm a hypothesized relationship between the two variables.

Correlation is not a simple probability i.e. an elementary ratio between the confirming cases and total number of possible cases. Correlation may be classified into following types

- (a) The formation of a possible correlation occurs when the frequency of $(p.q. + \bar{p} \bar{q})$

- is greater than the frequency of $(p.\bar{q} + \bar{p}q)$.
- (b) the formulations of a negative correlation relationship occurs when the frequency of $(p.q + \bar{p}.\bar{q})$ is less than the frequency of $(p.\bar{q} + \bar{p}.q)$.
- (c) the formation of a no correlation relationship occurs when the frequency of $(p.q + p.\bar{q})$ equal to frequency of $(p.\bar{q} + \bar{p}.q)$.

In this test two items are based on correlation thinking.

Item 9 The mice : A picture of 16 fat mice with black tails, 6 fat mice with white tails, 2 thin mice with black tails, and 6 thin mice with white tails is shown in test booklet. The subjects were told that these mice represented a sample of mice captured by a farmer from a part of his field, they were asked whether they thought there was a relation between the size of the mice and the colour of their tails.

Item 10 The fish : A picture of 4 large fish with narrow stripes, 2 small fish with narrow stripes, 3 large fish with wide stripes, and 9 small fish with wide stripes was shown in the test booklet. The subjects were asked whether they thought there was a relations between the size of the fish and the width of their stripes.

Combinational reasoning

This reasoning scheme is of interest primarily for historical reasons. It plays a central role in Piaget's model of formal reasoning as it is presumably the purest measure of a subject's use of combinational system. The ability to conceive of multiple causes of a particular event and of these possible causes operating in various combinations is important in hypothesis generation. At the level of the concrete operation, these combination always remains incomplete because the subject adopts a step by step method without generalising. It is the level at which subject seems capable of combining elements by an exhaustive and systematic method. The subject is also capable of combining idea and or hypotheses in alternative or negative statements, and thus of utilising proportional operation hitherto unknown to him. At the pre-adolescent level however the child manages easily (after the age of twelve for combination little later on permutation) to find an exhaustiv method, of course, discovering formula (which he is not asked to do) but by working out a system that takes account of all possibilities.

(1) Combinations : Combinations are multiplications

The dance problem (item 11) is based on it.

Item 11 The Dance : In this subjects are required to make all the possible combinations (pairs) of boys and

girls (three boys and three girls) by taking only one boy and one girls at a time (not two boys or two girls) in order to make all different total possible combinations. There are nine possible combinations.

(ii) Permutation : When objects are counted they are in a particular order, the same objects might have been counted in a defferent order in fact, in a number of di different orders. How many ? This is the question of the number of permutations.

In general, if there are 'n' elements any one of these can be used as the first element given the first element anyone of the $n-1$ remaining elements can be used as the second, given the first two elements any one of the remaining elements can be used as the third, and so on. Thus the total number of possible permutation of 'n' element is $(n-1) (n-2) \dots (2) (2) = n!$

The symbol $n!$ is read n-factorial 'The Shopping centre' item is based on permutation. In this item subjects have not written only number of possible permutation but also systematic symbols.

Item 12 The Shopping Centre : In this item subjects were given four figures of ships, each of which had the name of different purposes E, D, C and G (अ, क, न, म in Hindi version). Students were asked to construct

all the possible combinations by using each element only once in a combination at different positions. There are 24 possible permutations.

Reliability

The test has 12 items 2 items per sub-test based on six types of logical thinking selected from an item pool of 21 items, as per suggested scheme for use of GALT. Authors have calculated test and sub-test reliabilities item difficulties, discrimination indices, means and standard deviations on the basis of data of 628 students for the item pool.

Authors have reported (2-alpha-alpha of cornbach) reliabilities for sub-test of conservation, proportional reasoning controlling variables, probability reasoning, correlational reasoning and combinational reasoning at 0.58, 0.76, 0.67, 0.83, 0.37 and 0.40, respectively. The reliability coefficient for the total test has been reported as 0.85. Details of the statistics and indices of the test are provided in appendix

Validity

An over all validity coefficient of 0.71 has been reported by authors. Sub-test validity coefficients range from 0.45 to 0.88 with proportional reasoning being the lowest and combinational reasoning the highest. Coefficient for each test and total test have been indicated in the Appendix...XV... ..

Classification of Students on The Basis of GALT Scores

The following scheme suggested by Padilla, M.J. was employed to categorise students into concrete, transitional and formal levels of thinking:

S C H E M E

S.N.	Categories	Range of Marks
1.	Concrete Operational	0 - 4
2.	Transitional Operational	5 - 7
3.	Formal Operational	8 - 12

Preparation of GALT in Hindi

Since the majority of science students to whom the test was to be administered offer science subjects in Hindi medium and are therefore not competent to respond properly in English, the Hindi version of GALT was developed by translating each of the items. In order to ensure genuineness of the translation viz - a - viz its usability without effecting the characteristics of the test, both versions were referred to an expert pool of psychometricians and a commonly agreed version of the test in Hindi developed for use in the study.

MEASURES OF CREATIVITY

The measures of creativity was based on the scores of the students on the Minnesota tests of creative thinking designed by E. Paul Torrance at the University of Minnesota. The tests contained in the Torrance Battery are based on the creativity process defined by Torrance 1965 as a process of becoming sensitive to problems, deficiencies, gaps in knowledge, missing elements, disharmonies and so on, identifying the difficulty searching for solutions, making guesses, or reformulating hypotheses about the deficiencies, testing and retesting these hypotheses and possibly modifying and retesting them and finally communicating the results. This definition describes a natural human process. Strong human needs are involved at each stage. If we sense some incompleteness or disharmony, tension is aroused. We are uncomfortable and want to release the tension. Since learned ways of behaving are inadequate, we begin trying to avoid the common place and obvious (but incorrect) solutions by investigating, diagnosing, manipulating and making guesses or estimates (Torrance, 1974).

Torrance relied initially upon the Guilford model of intellect and modified the Guilford tests in such a way as to make them more exciting and somewhat easier for the child to cope with. Torrance and associates have tried deliberately to construct items that are models

of the Creative thinking and each contributing something unique to the batteries under development. Test tasks are fairly complex and have features that make use of what 'we know about the nature of creative thinking process the qualities of the creative products and creative personalities' (Torrance, 1969). The content used by Torrance is verbal and non-verbal or in other words semantic and figural. Torrance measured four products of divergent thinking (i) fluency (units), (ii) flexibility (classes), (iii) originality (transformation) and (iv) elaboration (implications).

Both the figural and verbal forms can be used from kindergarten through graduate school. The tasks or activities chosen for the tests are of those types that could be most easily and economically administered and scored. Although creative thinking may manifest itself in other than verbal and figural forms, some of the most important products resulting from the creative thinking process are found in these terms. The author is not yet prepared to specify even the range and dimensions of the tasks and products necessary to provide a complete estimate of a person's creative thinking potential for dealing with figural and verbal materials. On the basis of author's analysis of the thinking manifested by scientists, artists, and authors in making outstanding

creative achievement, he has tried to assemble batteries of figural and verbal activities that require kinds of thinking analogous to the thinking involved in recognised creative achievements. The selection of the tests in verbal and figural forms was guided by factor analysis of a variety of tasks constructed by the author. To ensure the widest possible coverage, relatively uncorrelated tasks were selected of description of these tasks reveals their diversity. The verbal parts used in the present study are (i) product improvement (elephant toy) (ii) unusual uses (card board toys) (iii) unusual question (on cardboard boxes). (iv) just suppose (improbable situation). The figural part includes incomplete figures and parallel lines.

Product Improvement Activity

The product improvement activity has always been one of the most dependable measures. It is a complex task with a high degree of face validity. To most subjects at all age levels, it is an interesting task. It permits them to 'regress in the service of the ego' and enables them to play with ideas that they would not dare express in a more serious task. (Torrance, 1974).

The fluency score of the activity is the number of relevant responses produced. The flexibility score is the number of different approaches used in producing

ideas for improvement. The originality score is based on the statistical infrequency and appropriateness of the ideas processed.

Unusual Uses Activity

The task is in part a test of ability to free one's mind of a well established set. This type of rigidity seems to increase with age and with mental disturbance.

The number of relevant responses produced by a subject yields one measure of ideational fluency. The number of shifts in thinking or number of shifts of uses gives one measure of flexibility. The statistical infrequency of these uses gives one measures of originality.

Unusual Questions Activity

This activity was adopted from a technique devised by Robert C. Burkhaast of Pennsylvania State University(1961). Burkhaast developed the unusual question test as a measure of what he terms Divergent Power. He maintains that a factor that he has labelled 'Product Spirit Activity' is not sufficient for the production of a high degree of creative achievement. What he terms 'Divergent Power' is essential for such achievement and is considered to be critical for such achievement and is considered to be of critical importance for creativity in classroom.

His measure derived from this test correlates rather highly with his criteria for creativity in art and abstract divergent score and divergent score.

Scoring is similar to the product improvement activity.

Just Suppose Activity

This activity is an adoption of the consequences type test in Guilford's (1969) battery. This variation was designed in an attempt to select a higher degree of fantasy and to be widely effective with children. The subject is confronted with an improbable situation and asked to predict the possible outcomes from the introduction of new or unknown variables. In order to respond productively to this task the subject must 'play with' the possibility and imagine all of the things that would happen as a consequence. This type of thinking seems to be highly important in creative behaviour but many individuals are unable to entertain such possibilities even to this extent, and find such tasks intolerable.

Scoring is similar to the product improvement activity.

Repeated Figures Activity

The repeated figures activity is similar to the incomplete figures activity. The stimulus material is

parallel lines, the ability to make multiple associations to a single stimulus is tested in this activity. The parallel lines are open figures. The incomplete figures and parallel lines elicit the creative tendency to bring structure and completeness to whatever is incomplete.

In the repeated figure activities a deliberate attempt is made to stimulate all four types of thinking and to set up a conflict among the response tendencies represented by them.

Incomplete Figures Activity

The incomplete figures activity is an adaptation of the drawing completion test developed by Kate Franck and used in studies of creativity by Barron (1968) and others.

As is well known from Gestalt Psychology, an incomplete figure sets up in an individual tension to complete it in the simplest and easiest possible. Thus, to produce an original response, the subject usually has to control his tensions and delay gratification of this impulse to closure.

These activities are scored for verbal fluency verbal flexibility, verbal originality, verbal elaboration, figural fluency, figural flexibility, figural originality and figural elaboration. In the present study verbal and formal elaboration scores have been

excluded. The interpretation of the scores is as follows

Verbal fluency : This score reflects the test taker's ability to produce a large number of ideas with words. Since there are seven of the verbal tasks and each attempt to tap a somewhat different kind of ability or mental process, further clues concerning a person's mental functioning may be obtained by looking at the subject's production for each of the separate tasks.

Verbal flexibility : This score represents a person's ability to produce a variety of ideas, to shift from one approach to another, or to use a variety of strategies. One would expect a person low in flexibility to have a tendency to stick to a narrow range of responses. Such a performance might be a result of a rigid pattern or habit of thinking, a narrow range of information and or experiences. In general, one would hypothesize an opposite interpretation of high scores. In some cases however, extremely high flexibility scores in relation to fluency may characterize the person who jumps from one approach to another and is unable to stick to any one line of thinking long enough to really develop it.

Verbal originality : This score represents the subjects ability to produce ideas that are away from the obvious, common place, banal or established. The person who

achieves high score on verbal originality usually has available a great deal of intellectual energy and may be perceived as rather non conforming. He or she is able to make big mental leaps or out corners in obtaining solutions, but this does not mean that the person is erratic or impulsive. In fact, the making of original responses requires the ability to delay immediate gratification or reduction of tension in order to get away from the obvious, easy but low quality response.

Figural fluency : The interpretation of the figural fluency score is basically the same as for verbal fluency.

Figural flexibility : The interpretation of the figural flexibility score is basically the same as for verbal flexibility except that we are concerned with figural rather than verbal modes of thinking. A person might be quite flexible in viewing, manipulating and otherwise using figural elements and at the same time be quite restricted in shifting approaches in dealing with words.

Figural originality : The interpretation of the figural originality score is similar to that for verbal originality except that the content is figural rather than verbal.

Perhaps even more than in verbal originality, a high score requires an ability to delay gratification or reduction of tensions. Author's interpretation can be derived by

looking at the originality scores in relation to fluency scores. A person may produce a small number of responses one or few of them may be original. Another person may produce a large number of responses, all of which are high in originality. A third person may produce responses of high originality but be unable to choose no-original response but may elaborate the un-original response to a high degree. These different kinds of performances represent obviously different kinds of mental functioning.

Reliability

Although most of the customary concepts of reliability are relevant to the assessment of creativity, the very nature of this ability creates a number of problems in interpreting reliability data. Most of the theories of creative functioning emphasize the significance of emotional factors, bodily states, group atmosphere and the like. There are some like Gordon (1961) who insist that 'In the creative process the emotional component is more important than that intellectual, the irrational more important than the rational'. Another difficulty in this content is that life experiences of an individual might help or hinder creative functioning. Emotional physical, motivational and mental health factors also

effect creative development and functioning and are likely to lower the test-retest reliability. However, some of the reliability studies are reviewed below.

An experiment was conducted by Torrance to determine the extent to which unselected participating teachers and educational secretaries can reliably score responses to the verbal and figural forms, without any training. He found very high correlation 0.95 to 0.99 for fluency, 0.94 to 0.99 for flexibility, 0.66 to 0.99 for originality and 0.82 to 0.97 for elaboration.

In a number of test-retest reliability studies, as reported by Torrance (1966), reliability coefficients were generally found higher for fluency and flexibility than originality. However, these results were not confirmed in an other study (Dalbec, 1966) who obtained test-retest reliability coefficients of 0.59 for fluency, 0.35 for flexibility and 0.73 for originality over a four year period.

Using batteries consisting of most of the tasks included in verbal and figural forms A and B Sommers (1961) and Wodtke (1963) have also reported quite significant test-retest reliability coefficients. While Sommers reported reliability coefficient of 0.87 to 0.97 for his two samples, Wodtke reported the coefficients ranging from 0.34 to 0.79 for separate activities.

Mackler and Sholtz (1966) obtained test-retest reliability of 0.61, 0.62 and 0.71 for fluency, flexibility and originality, respectively between the first and second testing, 0.75, 0.74 and 0.66, respectively between the first and third testing.

Rosen (1965) using the product improvement test with 31 mentally retarded youngsters with an elapsed interval of about six months, obtained reliabilities of 0.86, 0.76 and 0.68.

Validity

A person can behave creatively in an almost infinite number of ways. Therefore, according to Torrance, it would be ridiculous to even try to develop a comprehensive battery of tests of creative thinking that would sample any kind of universe of creative thinking abilities. Torrance does not believe that any one can now specify the number and range of test tasks necessary to give a complete assessment of a person's potentialities for creative behaviour. He does believe that the sets of tests assembled in the figural and verbal batteries, from A and B, sample rather a wide range of the abilities in such a universe. However, Torrance admits that these test tasks do not sample the entire universe of creative abilities.

Ogletree (1971) reported that the creativity measure exhibit a significant degree of concurrent validity in countries other than United States.

Various attempt have been made to establish validity and reliability of Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking, in India. Researchers like Goyal (1973) Raina (1970; 1971), Pathak (1962) have demonstrated validity and reliability of the tests. The first and second volumes of Creative Newsletter published by Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, record various studies on validity and reliability of the tests. Gakhar and Luthra (1974) selected a sample of seventy two students from ninth and tenth grades for establishing the reliability coefficients of all the seven activities included in verbal form A. The correlation coefficients, with a two-week interval, range from 0.66 to 0.92 for fluency, 0.67 to 0.73 for flexibility and 0.46 to 0.91 for originality. In another study Gakhar worked out test-retest reliability coefficients was 0.62 to 0.67 for fluency, 0.60 to 0.76 for flexibility and 0.55 to 0.69 for originality.

All these studies shows that TTCT is quiet valid and reliable test and can be used with various groups of subjects in India. Confidence in these tests can be placed because of the recently reported long

range predictive validity study by Torrance (1972, 1979) using the publicly recognized and acknowledged creative achievement and self-reported peak creative achievement as criteria. Factorial validity of TTCT was also established by Busu and Java (1973).

Scoring

The scoring was accomplished as following (i) fluency in all cases was simply a count of the number of relevant, scorable responses made by the subjects. (ii) flexibility was simply a count of the number of different categories the responses fell into; and (iii) originality was obtained by summing the weights assigned primarily on the basis of statistical infrequency of the responses, obvious, irrelevant and incomprehensible responses were assigned zero. A separate guide for scoring originality was prepared for each of the tasks. No attempt was made to score degree of elaboration in the verbal and nonverbal activities.

MEASURE OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENTS

The measure of Academic Achievement were based on the marks obtained in science and mathematics at the Xth grade public examination conducted by Central Board

Secondary Education, Delhi. The aggregate marks are the total marks obtained by the candidate in all the subjects examined and considered for the award of division. Sixty percent marks are considered for award of first division, Forty-five percent for the second division and Thirty-three percent for the third division.

GENERAL INFORMATION QUESTIONNAIRE

The measure of parent's income per month, parents' education, parents' occupations and size of the family were obtained on the information given by students on the investigator-made general information questionnaire for socio-economic status. (Appendix - I)

THE PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

After sampling general information questionnaire was administered on the subjects in order to collect personnel data with regards to parents' income (per month) parents' education, size of family. Further, GALT by Padilla M.J. and et.al. and TTCT (verbal and non-verbal) by E.P. Torrance were administered in succession; Board Examination results of grade X of the subjects were noted for their academic achievement. The obtained data were then subjected to statistical analysis. The results

were finally interpreted in the light of the objectives and hypotheses of the study, so as to obtain a set of finding emerging out of the study.

STATISTICAL TREATMENT

To give meaning to the raw scores it is necessary that appropriate statistical treatment be used for detailed analysis and interpretation of different scores, percentage, t-values and coefficients of correlation were computed for finding the significance, if any, among the groups. The analysis and interpretation of the data is presented in the following chapter.

CHAPTER : IV

ANALYSTS AND INTERPRETATION OF INDIA

C H A P T E R I V

PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

The value of research in education depends largely on the degree to which its results are intelligently analysed, interpreted and applied. The investigator wishes to present his findings in a lucid and precise manner so that the result could be studied at a glance. To provide a comprehensive look and easy grasp the data collected is procured in tabular forms. This is followed by analysis and interpretation in a systematic manner. Thus the present chapter is mainly divided into two parts: (i) Presentation of data (ii) Analysis and interpretation of data.

PRESENTATION OF DATA

In this section the data is presented as frequency distribution and percentages of subjects falling in each class interval. Since the variables on which the scores were obtained were intellectual development, creativity, achievement and socio-economic status, the data are presented in this order.

LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

The intellectual development of sample subjects was measured by the 'Group Assessment

of logical thinking (GALT). Obtained scores are organised as given in Table I in the form of frequency distribution and percentages.

TABLE I: FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE OF SCORES

ON GALT.

Score	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Freq.	22	47	70	124	168	204	146	93	76	34	21	21
%age	2.1	4.6	6.8	12.1	16.4	19.9	14.2	9.1	7.4	3.3	02	02

Table I indicates that the range of the scores on GALT is from 1 to 12. frequency distribution of scores is a unimodal one, the value of the mode lies at score 6. The graphical representation of the frequency distribution is presented in the form of a histogram in figure I which corresponds approximately to the shape of normal distribution. Scores on GALT are used to classify the subjects as belonging to different levels of intellectual development namely, concrete, transitional and formal operational levels.

Creativity Scores:

Scores for creativity were obtained with the help of Torrance Test of creative thinking (TTCT) Scores were obtained on the total test and also on its parts, namely

TABLE III

FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE OF SCORES OBTAINED ON VERBAL ACTIVITIES OF T.T.O.T.

	ACTIVITY 3				ACTIVITY 4				ACTIVITY 5				ACTIVITY 6			
	F	P	%	Or	F	P	%	Or	F	P	%	Or	F	P	%	Or
0				176	17.5			165	16.1			019	01.9			008
1	004	00.4	01.6	430	41.9	001	00.1	0017	01.7	434	42.3	003	00.3	005	00.5	355
2	004	00.4	005	08.5	231	22.5	012	01.2	101	09.8	270	26.3	006	00.6	195	19.0
3	008	00.8	107	10.2	127	12.4	025	02.4	149	14.5	119	11.6	017	01.7	187	18.2
4	030	02.9	272	26.5	049	04.8	053	05.4	179	17.4	030	02.9	087	02.6	109	10.4
5	064	06.2	222	21.6	007	00.7	085	08.5	222	21.6	007	00.7	048	04.7	127	12.4
6	079	07.7	144	14.0	002	00.2	107	10.4	105	10.0	001	00.1	092	09.0	122	11.9
7	097	09.5	053	05.2	002	00.2	103	10.0	000	00.0	06.6	099	09.6	033	03.2	095
8	126	12.3	053	05.2			111	10.8	048	04.7		096	09.4	027	02.6	084
9	144	14.0	011	01.1			142	13.8	026	02.5		151	14.7	012	01.2	148
10	124	12.1	003	00.3			071	06.9	007	00.7		134	13.1	005	00.5	073
11	107	10.4					118	11.5	003	00.3		129	12.6	001	00.1	065
12	099	09.6					074	07.2	001	00.1		008	08.6			056
13	042	04.1					050	04.9				050	04.9			020
14	043	04.2					033	03.2				041	04.00			011
15	029	02.8					022	02.1				023	02.2			009
16	015	01.5					014	01.4				015	01.5			002
17	006	00.6					003	00.3				002	00.2			001
18	003	00.3										002	00.2			
19	001	00.1										001	00.1			
20	001	00.1										001	00.1			
21												001	00.1			

verbal creativity and non-verbal creativity.

Non verbal creativity: The non-verbal parts of the test of creative thinking includes two activities, namely, parallel lines and picture completion. Both the activities were scored for fluency, flexibility and originality. The data is organised in the form of frequency distribution which is shown in Table II.

In case of activity I, fluency scores range from 1 to 23, flexibility from 0 to 21 and originality. But in case of activity II, these ranges are 0 to 10 for fluency and flexibility scores, and 0 to 15 for originality scores.

Verbal creativity: There are four activities in this part. These are, product improvement, unusual uses, unusual questions and just suppose. These activities were scored for fluency, flexibility and originality. Table III shows the frequency distributions and percentage of the sample in respect of each of these activities.

Table III clearly evinces that the range of fluency scores is slightly wider for activities III and V than for activities IV and VI.

TABLE NO. IV
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF SCORES
OBTAINED IN MATHEMATICS

RANGE	CONCRETE		TRANS- ITIONAL		FORMAL		TOTAL SAMPLE		URBAN		RURAL		BOYS		GIRLS	
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%
31-40	54	20.5	009	01.7	001	00.4	064	06.2	053	05.9	011	08.2	030	04.6	034	09.2
41-50	77	29.3	043	08.3	002	00.8	132	11.9	090	10.1	032	23.9	078	11.9	044	11.9
51-60	90	34.2	142	27.4	019	07.8	251	24.5	207	23.2	044	32.8	155	23.6	096	25.9
61-70	37	14.1	205	39.6	048	19.6	290	28.3	255	28.6	035	26.1	191	29.1	099	26.8
71-80	05	01.9	101	19.5	103	42.0	209	20.4	198	22.2	011	08.2	135	20.6	074	20.0
81-90	00	00.0	017	03.3	056	22.9	073	07.1	072	08.1	001	00.7	053	08.1	020	05.4
91-100	00	00.0	001	00.2	016	06.5	017	01.7	017	01.9	000	00.0	014	02.1	003	00.8
TOTAL	263	100	512	100	345	100	1026	100	892	100	134	656	370			

Achievement Scores

The achievement scores of the students in mathematics, science and aggregate marks of all subjects at the Boards examination at class X level collected for the following three classifications (i) levels of intellectual development, (ii) location of the sample (rural and urban) and (iii) sex(boys and girls).

There are presented subject-wise as below

Mathematics: The obtained data pertaining to the achievement in mathematics is provided as per the aforesaid classification in table IV. The mean scores for boys and girls are 64.10 and 62.09 respectively while that of rural and urban groups are 56.81 and 64.42 respectively. For concrete stage the mean score is 51.30 while for transitional and formal levels are 63.72 and 75.83 respectively. The graphical representation of the above data distribution has also been attempted in the form of frequency polygon as shown in figure IV. As evident from the graph, the nature of distributions of scores in mathematics for boys, girls, rural and urban samples seems to be normal. Distribution of scores for concrete level appears to be positively skewed while those for the transitional and formal levels of intellectual development the distributions tend to be negatively skewed.

TABLE - V
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGE OF SCORES
OBTAINED IN SCIENCE

CONCRETE	TRANSIST . ISUAL		FORMAL . SAMPLE		TOTAL .		URBAN .		RURAL .		BOYS .		GIRLS .	
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%
31-40	018	06.8	010	01.9	001	00.4	029	02.8	004	03.0	022	03.4	007	01.9
41-50	153	58.2	083	16.0	001	00.4	237	23.1	185	20.7	052	28.8	151	23.0
51-60	079	30.0	192	37.1	018	07.3	289	28.2	242	27.1	047	35.1	174	26.5
61-70	010	03.8	207	40.0	098	40.0	315	30.7	287	32.2	028	20.9	199	30.3
71-80	001	00.4	025	04.8	103	42.0	129	12.6	126	14.1	003	02.2	089	13.6
81-90	000	00.0	000	00.0	022	09.0	022	02.1	022	02.5	000	00.0	018	02.7
91-100	002	00.8	001	00.2	002	00.8	005	00.5	005	00.6	000	00.0	003	00.5
TOTAL	263	518	245	1026	892	134	656	370						

Science : Table V shows that the range of scores in science achievement is 31-100 and these distribution for all classifications are unimodal . The modes for both sexes total sample and also for urban students lie in the class interval 61-70 while for rural sample it falls in the class interval 51-60. The modes of science achievement scores for concrete, transitional and formal level students lie in class intervals 41-50, 61-70, 71-80 respectively. The mean scores for rural and urban groups are 60.74 and 54.54 respectively, while for boys and girls are 59.40 and 59.83 respectively. The mean score for formal stage is higher than the other two stages.

Graphical representation for the above mentioned distribution has also been attempted in the form of frequency polygon in figure No. The nature of distributions for urban, rural, boys and girls, as looks from the graph, is near normal. Distribution for concrete level is positively skewed while for the transitional and formal levels of intellectual development the distribution tends to be negatively skewed.

Aggregate achievement : Table VII represents the aggregate achievement scores ranged from 151 to 425. The nature of frequency distributions is unimodal.

TABLE - VI

FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION AND PERCENTAGES OF APPROPRIATE

CATEGORIES OF DATA

RANGE	CONCRETE		TRANS-		FORMAL		TOTAL		URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL		PERCENT		TOTAL	
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%
151-175	00	0.00	000	0.0	001	00.4	001	0.1	001	00.1	00	00.0	00	0.0	001	0.3		
176-200	026	09.9	002	0.1	011	00.4	029	02.8	024	02.7	005	03.7	019	2.9	010	2.7		
201-225	090	34.2	016	03.1	001	00.4	107	10.4	080	09.0	027	20.1	064	9.8	043	11.6		
226-250	059	22.4	047	09.1	001	00.4	107	10.4	088	09.9	019	14.2	062	9.5	045	12.2		
251-275	047	17.9	097	18.7	004	01.6	148	14.4	123	13.8	025	18.7	096	14.6	052	14.1		
276-300	030	11.4	160	30.9	014	05.7	204	19.9	168	18.8	036	26.9	131	20.0	073	19.7		
301-325	010	03.8	116	22.4	051	20.8	177	17.3	160	17.9	017	12.7	107	16.3	070	18.9		
326-350	001	00.4	046	08.9	042	17.1	89	08.7	056	09.6	003	04.2	062	9.5	027	7.3		
351-375	010	00	026	5.0	000	0.0	032	09.0	050	10.1	002	01.5	054	8.2	038	10.3		
376-400	000	00	008	01.5	053	21.6	061	05.9	061	06.8	000	0.0	050	7.5	011	3.0		
401-425	010	00	000	0.0	011	04.5	011	01.1	011	01.2	000	00.0	011	1.7	00	00		

The means scores for boys and girls are 295.24 and 286.81 while for rural and urban 263.94 and 296.44 respectively. The modes for total sample urban and rural, and for both sexes fall in the interval 276-300. The modes for concrete, transitional and formal levels thinkers fall in 201-225, 276-300 and 351-375 respectively.

The graphical representation for the above distribution has also been attempted in the form of frequency polygon in fig. No. . Graph shows normal distributions for total population, urban and rural sample, and also for both sexes. Distribution for concrete level is positively skewed while those for formal and transitional levels tend to be negatively skewed.

Socio-economic Status

Fathers' education, mothers' education, fathers' occupation, mothers' occupation, parents income and family size of total population comprising urban and rural students at different levels of intellectual development have been organized under the following headings;

Education : Education of parents of the students have been classified into seven categories with weightage mentioned against each category as given below

TABLE - VII
SHOWING THE EDUCATIONAL LEVEL OF FARMERS

EDUCATIONAL CATEGORY	URBAN										RURAL																							
	CONCRETE					TRANSITIONAL					TOTAL					CONCRETE					TRANSITIONAL					TOTAL								
	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%		Fq	Fq		%	
	Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%		Fq	%	Fq	%
1	13	01.3	03	0.3	01	0.1	00	00	04	0.1	03	2.2	06	4.5	00	0.0	09	6.7																
2	13	01.3	02	0.2	01	0.1	00	0.0	03	0.3	07	5.2	03	2.2	00	0.0	10	7.5																
3	32	03.1	07	0.8	06	0.7	01	0.1	14	1.6	09	6.7	09	6.7	00	0.0	18	13.4																
4	163	15.9	38	04.3	70	07.8	14	01.6	122	1.37	15	11.2	21	15.7	05	3.7	41	30.6																
5	111	10.8	29	03.3	51	05.7	10	01.1	90	10.1	06	4.5	11	10.4	01	0.7	21	15.7																
6	403	39.3	82	09.2	205	23.0	93	10.4	380	42.6	07	5.2	12	9.0	04	3.0	23	17.2																
7	291	28.4	49	05.5	115	12.9	115	12.9	279	31.3	06	4.5	04	3.0	02	1.5	12	09.0																
TOTAL	1026	100	210	23.5	449	50.3	233	26.1	1892	100	53	39.6	69	51.5	12	9.0	134	100																

TABLE - VIII
SHOWING THE EDUCATION LEVEL OF MOTHERS

EDUCA- TION CATEGO- RY	URBAN										RURAL									
	TOTAL SAMPLE		CONCRETE		TRANSI- TIONAL		FORMAL		TOTAL		CONCRETE		TRANSI- TIONAL		FORMAL		TOTAL			
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%		
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%		
1	218	21.2	053	5.9	069	7.7	12	1.3	134	15.5	30	22.4	49	56.6	05	3.7	64	62.7		
2	063	6.1	015	1.7	026	02.9	07	0.8	48	5.4	08	6.0	04	3.0	03	2.2	15	11.2		
3	089	8.7	021	2.4	040	04.5	11	1.2	72	8.1	07	5.2	08	6.0	02	1.5	17	12.7		
4	248	24.2	060	6.7	125	14.0	53	5.9	238	26.7	05	3.7	04	3.0	01	0.7	10	7.5		
5	099	9.6	020	2.2	050	05.6	25	2.8	095	10.7	01	0.7	02	1.5	01	0.7	04	3.0		
6	248	24.2	035	3.9	119	13.3	92	10.3	246	27.6	01	0.7	01	0.7	00	0.0	02	1.5		
7	61	5.9	006	0.7	020	02.2	33	3.7	059	06.7	01	0.7	01	0.7	00	0.0	02	1.5		
1026		100	210	23.5	445	50.3	233	26.1	892	100	53	39.6	62	51.5	12	9.0	134	100		

<u>S.No.</u>	<u>Categories</u>	<u>Weightage</u>
i.	Profession degree, master's degree and above.	7
ii.	B.A. or B.Sc. degree	6
iii.	Intermediate or Post High School diplomas	5
iv.	High School or its equivalent	4
v.	Completion of full course of elementary education or Middle school.	3
vi	Literacy or elementary schools for few years.	2
vii	Illiterate	1

Educational status of fathers of the students varied from illiterate to professional degree holders and above in the total sample and also in case of urban and rural students. The majority, fathers of urban students and of total sample subjects, as shown in Table VII were graduate then professional and then only possessed certificates. In case of rural students this order was as follows, high schools (I), graduates (II) and intermediate (III).

Educational status of mothers of students also varied from illiterate to having masters degree, professional degree and above in the total sample.

and urban and rural sample. As shown in Table VIII majority of mothers of the total sample were graduates and an equal number of mothers were high school passed. This is followed by those who possessed letterprofessional degrees or master's degrees and above in case of urban sample the order is more or less same as in the total sample. But it is interesting to note that majority of mothers in rural sample were having either professional degree or master's degree followed by intermediates post high school diploma holders.

Occupation : Occupation of the parents of the students have been classified into eight categories. Categories with weightage mentioned against each are as follows

<u>S. No.</u>	<u>Category</u>	<u>Weightage</u>
I	Higher professions like engineering medicine, law administration etc.	7
ii	Semi professional	6
iii	Clerk, shopkeeper, farm owner	5
iv	Skilled worker	4
v	Semi-skilled worker	3
vi	Un-skilled worker- domestic servant, farm labour, casual labourer.	2
vii	Unemployed, dependent, beggar, vagrant	1
viii	Dead (not alive)	0

TABLE - IX

SHOWING THE OCCUPATION STATUS OF FATHERS

EDUCATION CATEGORY	URBAN										RURAL									
	TOTAL					TRANS-LOCAL					CONCRETE					TRANS-LOCAL				
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%		
0	11	1.1	02	0.2	06	0.7	03	0.3	11	12	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0		
1	05	0.5	01	0.1	00	0.0	00	0.0	01	0.1	03	2.2	01	0.7	00	0.0	00	0.0		
2	33	3.2	04	0.4	06	0.7	02	0.2	12	1.3	09	6.7	12	11.0	00	0.0	00	0.0		
3	29	2.8	03	0.3	11	1.2	00	0.0	14	1.6	11	8.2	04	3.0	00	0.0	00	0.0		
4	42	4.1	09	1.0	19	2.1	01	0.1	29	3.3	05	3.7	06	4.5	02	1.5	00	0.0		
5	426	41.5	107	12.0	207	23.2	57	6.4	371	41.6	15	1.7	35	26.1	07	5.2	00	0.0		
6	276	26.9	60	6.7	125	14.0	66	7.4	251	26.1	12	1.0	10	7.5	03	2.2	00	0.0		
7	204	19.9	24	2.7	75	8.4	104	11.7	203	22.8	00	0.0	01	0.7	00	0.0	00	0.0		

TABLE - IX

SHOWING OCCUPATION STATUS OF AGERS

CATE- GORY	TOTAL		URBAN								RURAL					
	SAMPLE		CONCRETE		TRANST- IONAL		FOMAL		TOTAL		CONCRETE		TRANST- IONAL		FOMAL	
	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$	Fq	\$
0	06	0.6	0	0.0	03	0.3	01	0.1	04	0.4	02	3.8	00	0.0	00	0.0
1	855	83.3	193	21.6	387	43.9	151	16.9	731	82.0	046	86.8	66	95.7	12	100
2	03	0.3	00	0.0	00	0.0	01	0.1	01	0.1	001	01.9	01	01.4	00	0.0
3	04	0.4	00	0.0	03	0.3	01	0.1	04	0.4	-	-	-	-	00	0.0
4	13	1.3	01	0.1	03	0.3	07	0.8	11	1.2	0.01	01.9	01	01.4	00	0.0
5	30	2.9	04	0.4	17	1.9	07	0.8	28	3.1	002	03.8	00	0.0	00	0.0
6	112	10.9	12	1.3	35	3.9	63	7.3	110	12.3	001	01.9	01	01.4	00	0.0
7	03	0.3	00	0.0	01	0.1	02	0.2	03	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

Occupational status of fathers' of the students ranged from unemployed dependent, vagrant to higher professionals like engineers, doctors, administrators etc. The order of the fathers' occupation of total sample and urban sample as presented in Table IX was category 5,6,7 i.e. clerks, shopkeepers etc. at first place, Semi professions at the second place and higher professions at the third place. In case of rural students the order was slightly changed, farm owner/shopkeeper taking the first place semi-professions at second, and un-skilled workers farm labour etc at the third place.

Students mothers' occupation status varied from dependent to higher professions. The order in which the mothers' occupation of total population and urban students occurred is shown in Table X. It is evident from the table that most of the mothers were simply house wives followed by semi professional at clerks etc at the third place.

In case of rural students' mothers' majority of them were dependents followed by all others categories (3,4, 5, 6) at second place.

Parents' income

Per month income of students parents have been grouped into ranges (501-1000) - (9501-10,000) which is shown in table XI. The mean income for total sample, urban and rural samples are 2234.37, 2359.32 and 1402.61 respectively. The medians of income of parents belonging to concrete, transitional and formal operation levels are 1724.52, 2090.56 and 3085.71 respectively.

The graphical representation for the above mentioned distribution, as drawn in figure No. shows a negatively

TABLE - XII
SHOWING PARENTS' INCOME

RANGE	LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT						LOCATION			
	CONCRETE TRANS- ITIONAL			FORMAL			TOTAL		URBAN	RURAL
	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%
501-1000	51	19.4	43	8.3	05	02.0	099	9.6	055	06.2
1000-1500	86	32.7	118	22.8	34	09.8	227	22.2	186	20.9
1501-2000	66	25.1	150	29.0	39	15.9	255	24.9	220	24.7
2001-2500	28	10.6	097	18.7	31	12.7	156	15.2	149	16.7
2501-3000	15	05.7	049	09.5	35	14.3	099	09.6	095	10.7
3001-3500	12	04.6	032	06.2	49	20.0	093	09.1	092	10.3
3501-4000	03	01.1	014	02.7	23	09.4	040	03.9	039	04.4
4001-4500	02	00.8	06	01.2	16	06.5	024	02.3	024	02.7
4501-5000	00	0.0	06	01.2	07	02.9	013	01.3	013	01.5
5001-5500	00	0.0	02	0.4	05	02.0	007	00.7	007	00.8
5501-6000	00	0.0	00	00	04	01.6	004	00.4	004	00.4
6001-6500	00	0.0	01	0.2	01	00.4	002	00.2	002	00.2
6501-7000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7001-7500	00	0.0	00	0.0	03	01.2	003	00.3	003	00.3
7501-8000	00	0.0	00	0.0	01	00.4	001	00.1	001	00.1
8001-8500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8501-9000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9001-9500	00	0.0	00	0.0	02	00.8	002	00.2	002	00.2

showed distributions.

Size of the family: family size of the students varied from ten members to twelve members. In the total sample and the urban students sample the size of the family as shown in the Table XII was in the order of five members six members followed by four members. In case of rural students this order is six members, seven members followed by eight members family. Average family size of urban students at concrete, transitional and formal levels of intellectual development was found six, five and five respectively. In case of rural students at concrete, transitional and formal levels of intellectual development the size of family rests in order of seven, six, and six respectively, which is higher than the urban sample at the same level of intellectual development.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

In pursuance of the research hypotheses formulated under for this study, the data were analysed in order to study the relationship and significance of difference amongst the groups with reference to variables studied. The whole analysis and interpretation has been presented in three main parts namely:

- (i) Level of Intellectual Development
- (ii) Relationship
- (iii) Difference

The scheme of the analysis and interpretation is as mentioned below:

TOTAL SAMPLE

URBAN		RURAL	
GENERAL	SC/ST	GENERAL	SC/ST
STUDENTS	STUDENTS	STUDENTS	STUDENTS

TOTAL SAMPLE

URBAN				RURAL			
Government		Aided		Government		Aided	
Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls

Since there were no aided schools for girls in rural areas, therefore a comparative study of girls

of Government schools and aided schools could not be made. Before proceeding further it would be desirable to remind ourselves that the average age of the sample subjects is sixteen (16).

LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT.

As discussed earlier, Jean Piaget, the chief advocate of Geneva school has propounded four stages of the development of intellect begining from birth untill 15 years of age. According to this school of thought, all adolescents must attain the formal operational thinking stage. Therefore, it was considered necessary, before probing further, to assess, the actual levels of intellectual attainment of the sample.

In the present study Group Assessment of Logical Thinking (GALT) was used to classify the sample into three levels of intellectual development. The proportions of science adolescent students reaching at concrete, transitional and formal operational level of intellectual development have been computed in percent and are presented in Table No. to .

Levels of Intellectual Development of Students

Table No. present an overall view of the levels of intellectual development of boys and girls of Urban and Rural areas and total sample. The table shows that:

- (i) Only 23.9% students of the total sample were found at formal operational level, 50.5% at transitional level and remaining 25.6% still at concrete operational level.
- (ii) In urban group 26.1% , 50.3% and 23.5% and in rural group 09%, 51.5% and 39.6% were at formal, transitional and concrete operational level of intellectual development respectively.
- (iii) At formal and transitional levels percentage of girls was slightly higher than the percentage of boys. At formal and transitional levels 23.16% and 49.69% boys were found as against the percentage of girls 25.13% and 51.89% at formal and transitional level of intellectual development. At concrete level girls percentage was slightly less than that of boys i.e. 22.97% against 27.13%
- (iv) In urban areas percentage of boys at formal and concrete level were higher than that of

girls at the same level. Percentage of boys at formal and transitional level were 26.4% and 24.7% as against the percentage of girls 25.7% and 21.8% at the formal and concrete level of intellectual development. At transitional level percentage of girls was slightly higher against the percentage of boys (52.8% against 48.8%).

- (v) In rural group; out of eight girls not a single girl could reach at formal level of intellectual development. The percentage of boys at formal level was found to be 09.50% only. At concrete and transitional level of intellectual development percentage of boys were found 37.3% and 53.2% against the percentage of girls 75.0% and 25% respectively.

At a glance above observations shows following trends;

- Formal operational level was not attained by majority of the adolescent science students.
- Majority of the students are at transitional level of intellectual development.
- In urban group percentage of students at formal operational level was higher than

their counterparts in rural areas.

- Percentages of concrete operational thinker students was higher in rural areas than that of urban areas.

- In urban and rural groups percentage of boys at formal level was found slightly higher against their girls counterparts. However in total sample percentage of girls was slightly higher than that of boys.

- At transitional operational level of intellectual development percentage of girls was slightly higher against boys in urban and total sample. However, higher percentage of boys was found in case of rural sample.

Thus the hypotheses which states that;

"Majority of the science adolescent students are at formal operational level of intellectual development;" is rejected.

This finding gives strength to other findings where majority of students belong to concrete and transitional operational level and only few in formal operational level, that is, majority of students

do not reach at formal operational level of thinking (Elkind, 1962; Jackson, 1965; Allovell, 1966; Peterson, 1970; Dale, 1970; Karplus, 1970; Mackinnon and Renner, 1970; Dulit, 1972; Renner and Stafor, 1972; Nordland, 1974; Lawson et.al. 1974, 1975, 1977; Chiappetta, 1974; Sayer and Ball, 1975; Vaidya, 1975; 1978, 1981; Wollman et.al. 1976; Kanekar, 1979; Sandhu, 1980, Mathur, 1981; and Kumar, 1982).

Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools:

The proportions of students reaching at concrete transitional and formal levels of intellectual development in government and aided schools of both sexes (boys and girls) belonging to urban and rural areas have been presented in table No. XIV.

The table XIV, shows that: (i) percentage of students reaching at concrete operation level of both in government and aided schools were nearly the same. In aided schools the percentage of students at formal level was higher than that of students studying in government schools, 28.22% and 21.40% respectively. At transitional operational stage the percentage of students of government schools was higher than that of aided schools

看 大 地

TABLE : XIV

FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS AT DIFFERENT LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS

GROUPS	N	LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT					
		CONCRETE		TRANSITIONAL		FORMAL	
		Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%
GOVERNMENT SCHOOL	654	168	25.68	346	52.90	140	21.40
AIDED SCHOOLS	372	95	25.53	172	46.23	105	28.22
URBAN GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	543	125	23.02	286	52.66	132	24.30
URBAN AIDED SCHOOLS	249	85	34.13	163	65.87	101	40.56
RURAL GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS	111	43	38.73	60	54.05	8	7.20
RURAL AIDED SCHOOLS	23	10	43.47	9	39.13	4	17.39

(52.90% against 46.23%). (ii) In both urban and rural groups percentages of the students reaching at concrete and formal operation levels were higher in case of aided schools students than that of government school students. But at transitional operation level percentages were higher for government school students than their counterparts both in urban and rural groups

Table XIV, also provides frequency and percentages of boys and girls of government and aided schools of urban and rural areas. Table reveals that : (i) In urban and rural areas percentages of boys of aided schools reaching at formal and concrete levels were higher against boys of government schools. In urban areas the percentages of boys of aided and government schools at formal and concrete level were 31.3% and 23.1% and 28.5% and 22.2%, at concrete level respectively. At transitional operational level percentage of boys was higher in government schools than that of aided schools (ii) In case of girls of urban areas percentages of girls of government schools reaching at concrete and formal operational levels were found more 24.2% and 26.0% against aided schools, 25.2% and 17.8%, respectively. However, the trend was found to be reverse in case of girls reaching at transitional operational level i.e. percentage of girls of aided schools exceeded their

government schools counterparts, (57% against 49.8%) .

It may be concluded from the above observation that :

- learning environment of aided schools facilitates more to students to attain formal operational level of intellectual development than that of government schools.
- learning environment of government schools was facilitating more to girls to attain formal operational level than that of aided schools in urban areas.
- boys of aided schools were found in advantageous position to attain formal operational level against boys studying in government schools in urban and rural settings.
- percentages of boys reached at concrete operational level of intellectual development was higher in case of aided schools against government schools in both urban and rural areas. Reverse in trend was observed for girls of urban setting.
- percentage of boys at transitional operational level was higher in government schools than that aided schools. While reverse was true for girls in urban areas.

TABLE : XV

FREQUENCY AND PERCENTAGE OF GENERAL AND SC/ST STUDENT AT DIFFERENT LEVELS
OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

GROUPS	N	LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT				CONCEPTUAL TRANSITIONAL				FORMAL			
		Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%	Fq	%
GENERAL CATEGORY	942	236	25.05	470	49.89	236	25.05	236	25.05				
SC/ST CATEGORY	84	27	32.14	48	57.14	9	10.71						
URBAN GENERAL CATEGORY	832	190	22.80	417	50.10	225	27.00						
URBAN SC/ST CATEGORY	60	20	33.30	32	53.30	8	13.30						
RURAL GENERAL CATEGORY	110	46	41.80	53	48.20	11	10.00						
RURAL SC/ST CATEGORY	24	7	29.20	16	66.70	1	4.20						

So on the basis of above findings the hypotheses which states:

"Percentages of Both Sexes Different Levels of Intellectual Development Are Equal in Government and Aided Schools,"
is not accepted.

Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST Students

Table IV, shows level of intellectual development of general and SC/ST students of urban, rural and total sample. Following trends may be observed from the Table IV ; (i) percentage of general students at formal operation level was higher against SC/ST students in urban, rural and total sample. (ii) percentage of SC/ST students at concrete and transitional operation levels were higher than general students in urban area and total sample. (iii) percentage of general students at concrete operational level was higher than that of SC/ST students in rural sample.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypotheses which states that:

"Percentage of both General and SC/ST Categories Students are Equal at Different Levels of Intellectual Development",

is not accepted.

R E L A T I O N S H I P
OF
INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT WITH CREATIVITY

Relationship Between Intellectual Development

An assessment of level of intellectual development was made by scores on Group Assessment of Logical Thinking Test. The same test was also used for classifying the sample into concrete, transitional and formal operational level thinkers. Creativity was ascertained through Torrance Test of creative thinking. This test yielded separate scores for verbal and non-verbal fluency, flexibility and originality. Also provided by the test are non-verbal creative thinking scores, verbal creative thinking scores, total fluency (fluency scores of non-verbal + verbal tests) total flexibility, total originality and creative thinking scores. The raw scores of different components of non-verbal and verbal creative thinking were scaled into T-scores before subjecting them to addition. An attempt has been made to study the relationship between creativity and intellectual development. Pearson's product moment correlation method was employed for obtaining the coefficient of correlation for bivariate distributions.

The obtained results have been reported in Table XVI to X .

TABLE - XVI

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN VARIOUS
COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STUDENTS

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	TOTAL SAMPLE	INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT		
		CONCRETE LEVEL	TRANS- ITIONAL LEVEL	FORMAL LEVEL
	r	r	r	r
NV FI	0.377	0.177	0.194	0.227
NV Fx	** 0.445	** 0.254	** 0.168	** 0.258
NV Or	** 0.656	** 0.190	** 0.232	** 0.479
NVC Tot.	0.568	0.237	0.234	0.387
V FI	0.530	0.257	0.238	0.428
V Fx	0.548	0.238	0.164	0.447
V Or	0.734**	0.428	0.364	0.534
VC Tot.	0.672**	0.352	0.295	0.519
FI	0.538	0.265	0.259	0.418
Fx	0.577	0.264	0.266	0.447
Or	0.779**	0.433	0.307	0.583
Total Creative	0.697**	0.357**	0.320**	0.524**

* - .05, Level of significance
** - .01, Level of significance

Creativity And Intellectual Development of Students

A look at table XVI reveals that creativity and intellectual development were found to be positively correlated, Correlations for various components of creativity and levels of intellectual development ranged from a very low (0.160) to high (0.779) which were significant at .01 level of significance. The following may be inferred on the basis of the obtained results:

- (i) Correlations between creativity and levels of intellectual development seem to follow a pattern of being at a lowebb at concrete level, getting shrunked at transitional stage and showing a spurious growth at formal level. This holds good for both verbal and non-verbal creativity and their total and also for further components namely fluency, flexinility and originality.
- (ii) Creativity components namely, fluency, flexibility and originality were found to show higher relationship at formal level of intellectual development as compared to other two levels. The component of verbal creativity superceeded their non verbal counterparts in demonstrating the relationship at formal level. Rate of increase in correlation coefficients was maximum in case of fluency followed by flexibility with a minimum for originality;

when verbal and non-verbal creativity were taken together.

(iii) Components of verbal creativity and total creativity indicate almost similar trend of relationship with formal operational level of intellectual development and the components of non-verbal creativity appear to lag behind.

The above mentioned observations lead one to believe that intellectual development goes hand in hand with the development of creative thinking. At transitional stage, it gets a jerk that may be due to the development of thinking under internal conflict which perhaps does not get an expression for want of clarity. It ultimately reaches its peak at formal level and creative expression flows out. Rate of growth of fluency seems to be higher than flexibility. Besides this, growth of originality at formal level seems to take place at some what low pace. Non-verbal expressions lag behind verbal expression at formal level since non-verbal expression apparently requires relatively more imagination.

On the basis of above findings the hypothesis

which states that :

"There is no Significant Relationship
Between Levels of Intellectual
Development and Creativity;"

is rejected.

Creativity and Intellectual Development of Urban and Rural Students

Coefficient of correlation computed for components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity scores with intellectual development scores of urban and rural students have been presented in Table XVII. All coefficients of correlation were found to be positive and significant at 0.1 levels excepting one between intellectual development (of rural students) and non-verbal fluency scores, which indicated positive relationships significant at .05 level. The range of correlation coefficients has been from 0.156 to 0.780. The following may be inferred from table observations :

- (i) Intellectual development of urban students was relatively more positively associated with various component of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as against their rural counterparts.

TABLE -X VII

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF
CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF URBAN
AND RURAL STUDENTS

	URBAN	RURAL
	$\frac{N-892}{r^2}$	$\frac{N-134}{r^2}$
NVFI	0.382	0.156
NV FI	0.437	0.293
NV OF	0.653	0.576
NVC Tot.	0.526	0.344
V FI	0.546	0.283
V FI	0.544	0.385
V OF	0.732	0.685
VCN T	0.674	0.517
FI	0.547	0.274
FI	0.581	0.334
OF	0.786	0.728
Total		
Creative	0.764	0.569

** - .01 level of significance

(ii) Relatively closer relationship between intellectual development and components of verbal creativity may be seen as compared to relationships between intellectual development and components of non-verbal creativity for both rural and urban students.

(iii) Fluency, flexibility and originality components of non-verbal and verbal creativity were found to be related with intellectual development in an increasing order for both urban and rural students, i.e. minimum being with fluency and maximum with originality.

(iv) In case of urban students intellectual development was found to be highly related with total creativity. It was followed by the relationship with non-verbal creativity and with verbal creativity at minimum. However, in case of rural students this relationship was found to be maximum for verbal creativity followed by non-verbal creativity through total creativity.

The above mentioned observations lead us to believe that urban students grew better than their rural counterparts in non-verbal, verbal and total creativity vis-a-vis intellectual development. It shows that urban students possibly think better both at horizontal and longitudinal levels. Slightly less magnitude of relationship of intellectual development with components

non-verbal creativity than components of verbal creativity may be attributable to the requirement of imagination for figural activities as against verbal activities. So on the basis of above findings it seems fair to say that intellectual development of urban and rural students was significantly related with various components of creativity. Thus the hypothesis which state that :

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development and
Creativity in Urban and Rural Sample;"

is rejected.

Creativity and Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls

Table XVIII shows that all coefficients of correlation between scores of intellectual development and various components of creativity of boys and girls ranged between 0.314 to 0.782. These were significant and .01 level of significance and represented low to very high positive relationships.

The magnitude of relationship of verbal and creativity with intellectual development in case of both boys and girls were observed to be in increasing in the

TABLE XVIII

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS BETWEEN VARIOUS COMPONENTS OF
CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF BOYS
AND GIRLS

I.D.N. 1026			
BOYS (656)		GIRLS (370)	
r	Sign	r	Sign
NV FI	.314	.481	.001
NV Fx	.412	.506	-do-
NV Or	.645	.689	-do-
NV Tot.	.526	.628	-do-
V FI.	.512	.593	-do-
V. Fx.	.543	.543	-do-
V.Or.	.745	.727	-do-
V. Tot.	.665	.697	-do-
FI	.505	.617	-do-
Fx	.551	.533	-do-
Or.	.752	.780	-do-
TOTAL	.681	.732	-do-

following order : Non-verbal, verbal and total creativity.

Intellectual development of both boys and girls have been found to be associated with components of non-verbal creativity in order of fluency flexibility and originality. However, intellectual development of girls followed slightly changed pattern of relationship with components of verbal creativity and creativity, the order being flexibility, fluency followed by originality.

The Table XVIII further shows that the scores of intellectual development of girls were relatively better related to all the components of non-verbal and total creativity than that of boys.

The relationship of flexibility with intellectual development was found almost equal for both the sexes.

An examination of the extent of relationship of verbal components of creativity with intellectual development indicates that intellectual development appeared to be associated uniformly with the flexibility of boys and girls, but fluency in case of girls and originality in case of boys were found to be more associated with intellectual development as against their respective counterparts.

The above observations gives rise to the following conclusions:

- intellectual development significantly contributes to the development of creativity amongst boys and girls.
- the influence of intellectual development was relatively more on creativity of girls as compared to boys in general, Originality (verbal) in boys and fluency (verbal) in girls exceeded respective counterparts with intellectual development while flexibility proceeded uniformly for both the sexes.

Thus on the basis of above finding the hypothesis which states that :

"There is no Significant Relationship
Between Creativity and Intellectual
Development of Boys and Girls;"

is not accepted.

Creativity and Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls
of Urban and Rural Areas.

Table XIX presents the coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and scores of intellectual development of boys and girls of urban and rural areas. These were found to be ranging from 0.126 to 0.893. The range for urban and rural sample, was from 0.335 to 0.788 and 0.126 to 0.893 respectively. In urban sample all coefficients of correlation were found to show positive relationship, significant at .01 level of significance. In case of rural boys, all coefficient of correlations, excepting one (intellectual development V/S non-verbal fluency) were found to be positive and significant at .01 level. While for girls of rural area the significant correlation were existed for verbal flexibility, verbal creativity at .05 level and for verbal originality and total originality at .01 level of significance.

The table XIX further indicates that scores of intellectual development of both sexes in urban area were related with total verbal creativity, total non-verbal creativity and total creativity in increasing order from non-verbal to total creativity through verbal creativity. However, scores of intellectual

TABLE XIX

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN VARIOUS COMPONENTS
OF CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF BOYS
AND GIRLS: LOCATIONWISE

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	URBAN		RURAL	
	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS
NV Fl	.335**	.467**	.126	.059
NV Fx	.403**	.488**	.261**	.354
NV Or	.642**	.687**	.586**	.389
NV Tot.	.538**	.620**	.326**	.256
V. Fl.	.541**	.579**	.265**	.372
V. Fx.	.545**	.538**	.410**	.690**
V. Or.	.748	.717	.674**	.893**
V. Tot.	.674**	.687**	.512**	.700**
Fl.	.535	.604	.247	.266
Fx.	.575	.596	.387**	.612
Or.	.788	.772	.723**	.811**
Total Creative	.695	.722	.496	.579

development of rural sample for both sexes were found to related with scores of creativity in increasing order from non-verbal to verbal through total creativity,

In case of boys of both areas and girls of rural area, creativity components, namely, fluency, flexibility and originality were found to be related with intellectual development in an increasing order (i.e. minimum with fluency and maximum with originality). This also holds good for components of non-verbal creativity V/S intellectual development for girls of urban area. However, components of verbal creativity and total creativity for urban girls were found to be related to intellectual development in increasing order from originality to fluency to flexibility.

The table XIX further reveals that intellectual development of urban boys was slightly more associated to components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as against their rural counterparts. Similar type of relationship was observed for components of non-verbal creativity of girls.

From the above discussion the following conclusions may be drawn :

- verbal creativity of subjects was more closely associated with intellectual development than non-verbal creativity irrespective of

their being in rural or urban schools.

- urban boys exceeded rural boys in the relationship of intellectual development with verbal, non-verbal and creativity.

- non-verbal and creativity were more closely associated with intellectual development of urban girls as against rural girls. While reverse was the case with regards to verbal creativity.

Thus on the basis of above finding the hypothesis which states that:

"There is no Significant Relationship Between Components of Creativity And Intellectual Development of Boys And Girls of Urban and Rural Areas;"

is rejected.

Creativity And Intellectual Development In Government And Aided Schools

After studying the extent of relationship between intellectual development and different component of creativity scores, the investigator was interested to find out the effect of schooling i.e. government schools and government aided schools on intellectual development and creativity . Some of the recent studies(Lawson, 1975; Deluca, 1984) indicated the view that school environment has also contributed to the development of cognitive functioning of mind. But their findings regarding the effect of different types of schools on development and creativity were inconclusive. So, in the present study the types of schooling was found desirable to be studied. Correlation were computed between intellectual development and components of creativity...

Table IX indicates that all coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and intellectual development of students studying in government and aided schools ranged from 0.276 to 0.752 and 0.499 to 0.787; respectively. They represented low to high positive relationship, which were significant at .01 level of significance.

A close look on the table would reveal the

TABLE - XX

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATIONS BETWEEN COMPONENTS
OF CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF
STUDENTS OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	SCHOOLS	
	GOVT.	AIDED
NV Fl	.276	.459
NV Fx	.382	.533
NV Or.	.616	.704
NV Tot.	.488	.653
V Fl.	.434	.653
V. Fx.	.517	.589
V. Or.	.698	.787
V. Tot.	.615	.742
Fl.	.436	.680
Fx.	.540	.633
Or.	.752	.819
Total Creativity	.642	.761

following:

- (i) Intellectual development of students studying in aided schools was found to have relatively more positive associated with various components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity than their government school counterparts.
- (ii) Verbal components of creativity were more closely related with intellectual development of students as against non-verbal components in both government and aided schools.
- (iii) The extent of relationship between components of creativity and intellectual development of students was found to be maximum in case of originality in government and aided school. In government schools it was followed by flexibility and fluency. In aided schools the minimum association was seen with fluency of non-verbal and flexibility of total creativity and

It seems appropriate to draw the following conclusions on the basis of above mentioned observations:

-- learning environment of aided schools appears to provide relatively more facilities to students to grow intellectually and creatively, than the government institutions.

- the verbal components of creativity were found to be more associated with intellectual development as compared to non-verbal components of creativity in both kinds of schools (government as well as aided).

- increase in the level of intellectual development of students leads to a corresponding increase in originality component of creativity when compared to other two components.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is no-Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development of
Students of Government And Aided Schools";

is rejected.

Creativity and Intellectual Development In Government And Aided Schools In Urban And Rural Locations

Table XXI shows, coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and intellectual development of students studying in urban and rural area were found to be ranging from 0.278 to 0.745 and 0.499 to 0.822. In urban government and aided schools and 0.132 to 0.745 and -0.052 to 0.757 in rural

TABLE XXI

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN VARIOUS
COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL
DEVELOPMENT OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS
LOCATION WISE

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	URBAN		RURAL	
	GOVT.	AIDED	GOVT.	AIDED
NV Fl.	.278	.433	.132	.352
NV Fx.	.377	.534	.233	.437
NV Or.	.604	.708	.537	.737
NV Tot.	.487	.633	.338	.583
V. Fl.	.438	.677	.334	(-).052
V. Fx.	.502	.598	.438	.487
V. Or.	.684	.784	.788	.637
Vr. Tot.	.683	.737	.572	.372
Fl.	.433	.673	.383	.135
Fx.	.523	.648	.433	.547
Or.	.745	.822	.747	.774
Total Creativity	.637	.774	.543	.437

* - .05

** - .01 level of significance.

government and aided schools. Reported coefficients of correlation for urban schools were found to represent low to very high positive relationships, significant at .01 level of significance.

The coefficients of correlation of rural schools represented very low to very high positive relationships significant at .05 level and .01 levels excepting for non-verbal fluency in government schools and total fluency in aided schools.

The following may be inferred from the obtained results:

- (i) Components of creativity were found to be more related with intellectual development of students studying in aided schools of urban area than their counterparts in aided schools of rural area.
- (ii) Components of creativity indicated slightly higher association with intellectual development of students studying in government schools of urban area than their counterparts in rural schools. However, verbal originality was found to be slightly more associated by intellectual development in rural government schools.

- (iii) In urban area, intellectual development of students studying in aided schools was found to be slightly more associated with components of creativity as against the students of government schools.
- (iv) In rural area, mostly coefficients of correlation between intellectual development of the students of government schools and components of creativity were found slightly higher than that of aided schools. However, in rural area relationship between intellectual development and flexibility (verbal and total) were found favoring students of studying in aided schools rather than the government ones.
- (v) Increasing order of relationship of intellectual development with fluency, flexibility and originality in both verbal and non-verbal creativity observable amongst government schools of both urban and rural areas. Similar order of relationship could be seen for non-verbal creativity components in aided schools of rural and urban areas. Creativity did also follow the suit in rural area.
- Thus we may conclude that both aided and government schools of urban areas seem to provide an atmosphere

congenial for the growth of creativity alongwith intellectual development, while rural schools seem to lag behind in this respect. However, rural schools have more to contribute towards originality.

- while comparing the growth of non-verbal creativity and verbal creativity vis-a-vis intellectual development one may observe that aided schools are more helpful for non-verbal creativity compared with government ones. With respect to location (rural/urban) as far as verbal creativity is concerned, it gets better nurtured in rural aided and rural government schools as against rural aided and urban government schools respectively.

- it seems appropriate to say that aided schools lay more stress in development of abstract thinking leading to development of non-verbal creativity relatively at a faster rate than government schools irrespective of their locations. Verbal creativity gets due attention in urban aided schools may be due to the availability of better environmental conditions than the government schools. Rural government schools appear to be favourable for growth of verbal creativity as against their aided school

counterparts. This may be due to the academic leadership exceeding in government schools.

Thus on the basis above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is no-Significant Relationship Between Creativity and Intellectual Development of Students of Government And Aided Schools in Urban And Rural Areas";

is not accepted.

Creativity And Intellectual Development
Location-Wise, Sex- Wise And School-Wise

Table XXII shows that coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls studying in government and aided schools of urban and rural areas. The range of coefficients of correlations for various groups were found as follows:

TABLE XXII

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATIONS BETWEEN COMPONENTS OF
CREATIVITY AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS :
LOCATION WISE, SEX WISE AND SCHOOL WISE.

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	URBAN				RURAL			
	BOYS		GIRLS		BOYS		GIRLS	
	GOVT.	AILED	GOVT.	AILED	GOVT.	AILED	GOVT.	AILED
NV Fl.	.100	.557*	.556*	.356*	.092	.352	.092	.352
NV Fx.	.228*	.533	.528	.424	.254	.457	.254	.457
NV Or.	.568	.757	.742	.597	.605	.757	.605	.757
NV Tot.	.331	.703	.682	.519	.311	.569	.311	.569
V. Fl.	.305	.740	.643	.478	.336	(-)	.336	.052
V. Fx.	.390	.694	.632	.353	.474	.467	.474	.467
V. Or.	.662	.838	.752	.710	.688*	.637	.688*	.637
V. Tot.	.521	.806	.740	.617	.565	.372	.565	.372
Fl.	.275*	.737	.673	.458	.279	.135	.279	.135
Fx.	.404*	.717	.663	.458	.424	.541	.424	.541
Or.	.706	.867	.818	.481	.744	.714	.744	.714
Total Creativity	.531*	.819	.773	.736	.529	.497	.529	.497

* - .05, **-.01 Level of Significance.

Range of Coefficients of Correlation

Sex	Type of schools			
	Urban		Rural	
	Government	Aided	Government	Aided
Boys	0.100	0.557	0.092	0.052
	to 0.706	to 0.867	to 0.744	to 0.757
Girls	0.526	0.355	0.059	—
	to 0.818	to 0.736	to 0.757	to —

Since there were no aided girls schools in rural areas available, therefore, the correlation coefficients for this group could not be shown in the above Table. The table XIII indicates that all coefficients of correlation for urban sample represented significant relationships. In case of boys of government and aided schools of rural area most of the coefficients of correlation indicated significant positive relationship of intellectual development with component of creativity. In case of girls of government schools of rural area, the relationship between intellectual development and components of verbal creativity excepting fluency were found to be significant. It may also be observed that the intellectual development and originality were very associated significantly. However, all other coefficients of correlation for creativity for rural girls of government

schools were found not significant even at .05 level of significances. A comparative view of the Table XXII would reveal the following:

- (i) Intellectual development of boys studying in aided schools of urban area was found to have strong linkage with various components of creativity excepting non-verbal fluency as against their government school counterparts.
- (ii) In urban area, intellectual development of girls studying in government schools exceeded their aided school counterparts in its relationship with various components of creativity.
In rural area divergent trends could be seen:
 - (i) Intellectual development of boys studying in aided schools found to be slightly more positively related with non-verbal creativity as against the boys of government schools.
 - (ii) Intellectual development of boys of government schools were found to be more associated with verbal and total creativity as compared with boys of aided schools. However, the relationship of flexibility with intellectual development of boys of aided schools was higher than that

of boys of government schools.

In case of boys studying in urban and rural areas the following may be inferred;

- (i) Boys of aided schools of urban area superceeded their aided rural schools counterparts in relationship between intellectual development and components of creativity.
- (ii) Boys of government schools of rural area were found to lag behind to the boys of government schools of urban area in positive association of intellectual development with total non-verbal and total creativity. However, reverse in trend may be observed in relationship of verbal creativity with intellectual development of boys of urban area was observed to be higher than that of rural ones in government schools.
- (iii) The relationship of intellectual development of girls of government schools of urban area with non-verbal, verbal and creativity was slightly higher than that of girls of government schools of rural area. However, the relationship of flexibility, Verbal and originality with intellectual development were found to be higher as compared to girls of urban area in

government institutions. All other component of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity were observed to be more in relation with intellectual development of girls of government schools in urban area than their rural counterparts.

On the basis of above mentioned observations the following conclusions may be drawn :

- in urban area, aided schools of boys appear to provide slightly more facilities to their students for development of creativity vis-a-vis their intellectual growth than government schools. However the impact seems to be reverse in case of girls.
- it appears that the environment of aided rural schools was favourable for non-verbal creativity to grow with intellectual development while the government schools seem to contribute relatively more towards the development of verbal creativity alongwith intellectual development of boys.
- boys and girls of urban area belonging to government, and aided schools were at

advantage with regards to both creativity and intellectual development than their rural counterparts.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Creativity And Intellectual
Development of Boys And Girls Studying
in Government And Aided Schools in
Urban And Rural Areas;"

is partially accepted in favour of girls studying in government schools in rural areas.

Creativity and Intellectual Development Of General and SC/ST Students

In order to study the extent of relationship between components of creativity and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students, coefficients of correlation were computed and have been presented in table XXIII, obtained coefficients of correlation ranged from 0.131 to 0.850 for urban rural and total sample. Mostly coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and intellectual development of



students were found to be significant at 0.01 level and 0.05 level of significance. Relationship between non-verbal fluency and intellectual development of SC/ST students was significant at .05 level. In case of rural sample significant positive relationships were obtained for flexibility and originality with intellectual development whereas fluency and intellectual development of SC/ST students were found to have positive relationships which could not be found to be significant.

Following may be inferred from the above mentioned observations:

- verbal creativity was found to be relatively more associated with intellectual development of both general and SC/ST students as compared with non-verbal creativity.
- components of verbal, non-verbal and creativity were found to be related with intellectual development in an increasing order from fluency to originality through flexibility.
- Association of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity with intellectual development was found to be relating greater in case of general students excepting one (verbal creativity v/s intellectual development of SC/ST students of rural area) as compared to their SC/ST

counterparts. Irrespective of their placement in rural or urban school.

Thus it may be concluded that the general category students excelled then SC/ST counterparts both on non-verbal and verbal creativity vis-a-vis their intellectual development in rural as well as urban schools.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between creativity And Intellectual
Development of General and SC/ST Students";

is not accepted.

Creativity And Levels of Intellectual Development Of Students of Urban and Rural Areas

In order to study the extent of relationship between levels of intellectual development and components of creativity of urban and rural samples, the coefficients of correlation were computed and have been presented in Table XXIV . Coefficients of correlation for urban and rural samples ranged from 0.156 to 0.571 and -0.539 to 0.510 respectively. In case of urban sample, all the coefficients were very low to moderate positive

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY AND LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT
OF STUDENTS OF URBAN AND RURAL AREAS.

U R B A N

R U R A L

COMP. OF CREAT.	CONC. (r)	TEAMS. (r)	FORMAL (r)	CONC. (r)	TEAMS. (r)	FORMAL (r)
UV P1	0.215*	0.218*	0.217*	-0.027	0.097	0.539
UV Px	0.231*	0.199*	0.239*	0.237*	-0.009	-0.345
UV Or	0.172	0.243*	0.464*	0.156	0.192	0.236
Tot. UV	0.242*	0.266*	0.369*	0.116	0.082	-0.288
U P1	0.273*	0.227*	0.415*	0.190	0.376*	0.187
U Px	0.228*	0.156*	0.424*	0.171	0.270*	0.171
U Or	0.397*	0.370*	0.521*	0.510*	0.470*	0.251
Tot. U	0.344*	0.294*	0.506*	0.327*	0.423*	0.216
P1	0.294*	0.262*	0.398*	0.113	0.297*	-0.112
Px	0.274*	0.218*	0.430*	0.226	0.170	-0.105
Or	0.409*	0.412*	0.571*	0.490*	0.447*	0.289
Tot. C	0.357*	0.337*	0.514*	0.273*	0.330*	0.002

* = .05

** = .01

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

significant at .01 level and presented relationships. For rural sample significant positive relationship were observed for : (i) concrete operational level of intellectual development with non-verbal flexibility, verbal creativity, total creativity, verbal and total originality, (ii) transitional operational level with fluency, originality and verbal creativity and total creativity and verbal flexibility. (iii) formal operational level of intellectual development with non-verbal fluency representing moderate negative relationship.

It may also be observed from the table XXIV that in urban sample concrete operation level was slightly more associated with (i) fluency (non-verbal, verbal and total) (ii) flexibility (verbal and total). (iii) originality (non-verbal) and (iv) creativity as compared to rural sample. However, originality of verbal creativity and total originality was found to be more favourable to concrete level of intellectual development of rural students as against their urban counterparts.

Transitional operational level of urban sample was slightly more associated with components of non-verbal creativity than that of the rural sample. Opposite was the case with verbal creativity components where rural students were in an advantageous position as compared to urban students. The relationship of two

level with total flexibility, total originality and total creativity were more prominent in urban sample. Total fluency was higher in rural sample but the magnitude of its relationship with transitional level was not significant.

All coefficients of correlation, excepting one between formal operational level of intellectual development and components of creativity were found to be slightly higher in case of urban sample than rural sample. Coefficients of correlation between formal operational level and non-verbal fluency was found to be negative and significant at 0.05 level of significance. However, the rural sample witnessed positive and negative relationships between formal operational level and components of creativity which were not be found significant. The negative relationships between formal operational level and components of creativity may be attributed to non availability of healthy environment in rural area. Lack of opportunities of frequent dialogue and less developed environment may the cause of rural sample lagging behind their urban counterparts in this respect. In case of rural sample, transitional level of intellectual development was slightly more associated with total verbal creativity than urban sample.

In case of urban sample, correlations between

creativity and levels of intellectual development seem to follow a pattern of being at a low ebb at concrete level, getting shrunked at transitional level and a spurious growth at formal level with regard to non-verbal creativity, verbal creativity and total creativity.

In case of rural sample, relationship between levels of intellectual development with total verbal creativity and total creativity was observed to be at peak at transitional level and relatively lower at both concrete and formal levels.

On the basis of above observations it may be concluded that:

- levels of intellectual development and components of creativity were progressing unidirectionally - case of urban sample.
- the association of intellectual development with creativity greater for urban students as compared to their rural counterparts.
- in rural sample, formal operational level was found independent of total creativity and universally related with non-verbal creativity, verbal creativity appeared to show slightly positive association with formal operational level of thinking.

- the maximum association of creativity was with formal operational level of urban students and transitional level of rural ones.

On the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that;

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Creativity And Levels of Intellectual Development of Students of Urban And Rural Areas;"

is partially accepted in favour of rural students.

Creativity And Levels of Intellectual Development Of Boys and Girls

The coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and levels of intellectual development of boys and girls ranged between 0.074 to 0.648. Table IXV shows that most of the coefficients of correlation were significant at .01 and .05 levels of significance. At transitional stage significant relationship existed between intellectual development of boys with non-verbal and verbal flexibility, and at concrete level amongst girls with non-verbal originality, verbal flexibility and total flexibility.

COMPLEMENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY AND LEVELS OF
INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF BOYS AND GIRLS.

COMP. OF CREAT.	CONC.		TRANS.		NORMAL	
	BOYS (r)	GIRLS (r)	BOYS (r)	GIRLS (r)	BOYS (r)	GIRLS (r)
AV FI	.150 [*]	.244 [*]	.128 [*]	.276 [*]	.240 [*]	.208 [*]
AV FX	.263 [*]	.229 [*]	.081	.294 [*]	.271 [*]	.226 [*]
Tot. OF	.208 [*]	.137	.219 [*]	.289 [*]	.524 [*]	.366 [*]
Bot. AV	.232 [*]	.247 [*]	.169 [*]	.330 [*]	.407 [*]	.319 [*]
V FI	.260 ^{**}	.231 [*]	.163 [*]	.248 ^{**}	.521 [*]	.252 [*]
V FX	.305 [*]	.074	.087	.256 [*]	.494 [*]	.318 ^{**}
V OF	.434 [*]	.408 ^{**}	.318 ^{**}	.366 [*]	.613 [*]	.382 [*]
Tot. V	.373 [*]	.288 ^{**}	.222 [*]	.341 [*]	.599 [*]	.361 [*]
FI	.254 [*]	.277 ^{**}	.180 ^{**}	.296 [*]	.484 [*]	.276 [*]
FX	.327 [*]	.171	.105 [*]	.327 [*]	.474 [*]	.360 [*]
OF	.445 [*]	.399 ^{**}	.358 [*]	.404 [*]	.648 [*]	.431 [*]
Tot. Creat.	.369 [*]	.312 ^{**}	.239 [*]	.390 ^{**}	.585 [*]	.402 [*]

* = .05

** = .01

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

Concrete and formal operational levels of thinking were found to be slightly more related with non-verbal, verbal and total creativity of boys than their girl counterparts, while the girls at transitional level exceeded boys in these aspects of creativity.

Intellectual development of girls at concrete operational level was found to be slightly more associated with non-verbal fluency and total flexibility as compared to boys, for remaining components of creativity the order of relationship got reversed.

Transitional operational level of intellectual development of girls was found to be relatively more associated with components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity than that boys counterparts.

Formal level of intellectual development and components of creativity show higher association in case of boys than girls.

The Table XXV further reveals that the formal operational level of intellectual development of both sexes (excepting formal operational level of intellectual development w/s non-verbal creativity of girls) was slightly highly related with non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as compared to concrete and transitional operational levels.

In case of girls (excepting non-verbal) the extent of relationship between levels of intellectual

development and non-verbal, verbal and total creativity was in correspondence with increasing levels of intellectual development (maximum in case of formal level and minimum for concrete level). However, in case of boys the concrete operational level of intellectual development superseded the transitional level in relation ship with non-verbal, verbal and total creativity.

On the basis of above observation, it may be concluded that;

- levels of intellectual development of both sexes were found related with non-verbal verbal and total creativity.
- formal operational level of intellectual development was found more associated with the verbal and total creativity in both sexes and non-verbal in boys.
- boys at concrete and formal level of intellectual development were found to be more creative than girls.
- transitional level girls were found to be more creative as compared to boys.

.. the hypoth...

Thus in the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Creativity And Intellectual
Development of Boys And Girls;"

is rejected.

Creativity And Levels of Intellectual Development Of Students of Government And Aided Schools.

In order to study the extent of relationship between levels of intellectual development and compents of creativity of students studying in government and aided school, the coefferents of correlation were computed and have been presented in Table XXVI. Coefficients of correlation ranged from .087 to 0.657. All these coefficients represented very low to high positive relationships. For students of government schools, all coefficients of correlation between level of intellectual development and components of creativity excepting one with non-verbal flexibility, were observed to be significant. In case of aided schools intellectual development demonstrated significant positive relationship with components of creativity excepting for: (a) concrete operation level

TABLE XXVI

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN COMPONENTS OF
CREATIVITY AND LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT
OF STUDENTS OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS.

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	GOVERNMENT AIDED		TRANSITIONAL GOVT.		FURNAL GOVT. AIDED	
	r	r	r	r	r	r
NV FL.	.184	.184	.181	.207	.165	.231
NV FX.	.303	.173	.154	.115	.127	.361
NV OF.	.173	.212	.240	.225	.345	.503
NV Tot.	.253	.213	.233	.234	.210	.178
V. FL.	.313	.150	.222	.251	.332	.366
V. FX.	.328	.087	.138	.104	.357	.513
V. OF.	.478	.338	.410	.247	.450	.597
V. Tot.	.433	.244	.321	.244	.452	.554
FL.	.316	.134	.243	.268	.360	.391
FX.	.367	.146	.221	.166	.332	.516
OF.	.178	.358	.447	.287	.479	.657
Total Creativity	.421	.251	.343	.279	.433	.580

of intellectual development with fluency, flexibility and verbal creativity. (b) transitional operation level with verbal flexibility.

The following may be inferred from the obtained results:

- (i) Association of verbal creativity and non-verbal creativity and total creativity with concrete operation level of intellectual development was relatively greater in government schools than the aided ones.
- (ii) Transitional operational level of intellectual development of students of government schools was found to be more related with verbal and total creativity as compared to students of aided schools. However, the association of non-verbal creativity was observed to be greater for students of aided schools.
- (iii) Formal operational level thinkers of aided schools were found to be slightly more in relation with both non-verbal and verbal creativity than their government school counterparts.

In case of students of aided schools the order of relationship between levels of intellectual development and components of creativity and total creativity was

found to be in increasing order i.e. maximum for formal operational level and minimum for concrete operational level.

In case of students of government schools, no clear trend could be observed for all components of creativity at different levels of intellectual development

The following patterns may however, be derived various components of creativity at different levels of intellectual development.

- (i) Transitional operational level was found to be less associated with components of verbal and total creativity as compared to concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development.
- (ii) The association of total originality, total fluency and creativity with formal operational level were found to be greater than that of other two levels of intellectual development.
- (iii) Flexibility (verbal and total), originality (verbal) were found slightly more in relation with concrete operational level of intellectual development as compared to transitional and formal operational levels of intellectual development.

- (iv) Non-verbal originality was slightly more associated with formal operational level as compared to concrete and transitional levels of intellectual development.

It may be concluded from the above observations that:

- government schools appeared to be relatively more helpful than aided schools, in developing verbal and non-verbal and total creativity at concrete operational level of intellectual development.
- environment of aided schools appeared to be more suiting to transitional level students with regard to their growth of non-verbal creativity while government schools proved to be favourable for growth of verbal creativity.
- learning facilities of aided schools were more favourable to formal operational level students with regard to the development of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as against government schools.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypotheses

stat

which states that;

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Creativity And Levels of
Intellectual Development of Students
of Government And Aided Schools;"

is tenable.

Creativity with Level of Intellectual Development Of General And SC/ST Students

Coefficients of correlation between components of creativity and level of intellectual development viz, concrete, transitional and formal operational level, for both general and SC/ST students are presented in Table XXVII. All coefficients of correlation for general students were indicated positive relationships significant at .01 level of significance. In case of SC/ST students only two coefficients of correlation, for verbal originality versus concrete level and total fluency versus formal operational level, were found to be significant at .05 level, other coefficients of correlation were not significant represents the above observations give rise to the following references:

TABLE XXVII
 COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN COMPONENTS OF
 CREATIVITY AND LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT
 OF GENERAL AND SC SR STUDENTS.

COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY	CONCRETE			TRANSITIONAL			FORMAL		
	GEN	SC	ST	GEN.	SC	ST	GEN.	SC	ST
	r	r	r	r	r	r	r	r	r
NV Fl.	.224	.125(-)	.229	.229	.076(-)	.227	.057(-)		
NV Fx.	.302	.105(-)	.194	.194	.113(-)	.252	.447		
NV Or.	.222	.070(-)	.247	.247	.124	.474	.534		
NV Tot.	.288	.125(-)	.266	.266	.053(-)	.375	.543		
V Fl.	.275	.133	.260	.260	.021(-)	.429*	.126		
V Fx.	.248	.177	.198	.198	.202(-)	.433	.572		
V Or.	.459	.395	.377	.377	.196	.534	.378		
V Tot.	.372	.245	.322	.322	.021(-)	.518	.378		
Fl.	.304	.015	.290*	.290*	.055(-)	.411	.095		
Fx.	.321	.065	.242	.242	.191(-)	.431	.656		
Or.	.454*	.299	.412	.412	.214	.582	.546		
Total Creativity	.399	.098	.353	.353	.044(-)	.521	.481		

In case of general students, verbal creativity was found to be more associated with levels of intellectual development (concrete, transitional formal) as compared to non-verbal creativity. For SC/ST students non-verbal creativity exceeded verbal creativity with transitional and formal operational level of thinking. Reverse in trend was found with concrete operational level where verbal creativity exceeded non-verbal creativity. However, transitional operational level was found to be independent of both non-verbal and verbal creativity. Following conclusions could be drawn:

- non-verbal and verbal creativity were found to be slightly more associated with different levels of intellectual development of general students as against their SC/ST counterparts excepting for relationship between non-verbal creativity and formal operational level of intellectual development.
- non-verbal creativity and intellectual development relationship was found to be in an increasing order of, transitional to formal through concrete level. for general students. Similar trend may also be observed for verbal creativity. However, in case of ST/SC students creativity had a varying

association with levels of intellectual development. The affected levels were formal and concrete where non-verbal and verbal creativity were respectively predominant.

To sum up, we may say that the association of verbal and non-verbal creativity with levels of intellectual development was greater in general students than their SC/SC counterparts excepting for non-verbal creativity at formal operational level of thinking. It was also noted that the extent of relationship was maximum at formal level and minimum at transitional level of intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Creativity And Intellectual
Development of General And SC/ST Students;"

is partially accepted in favour of SC/ST students.

R E L A T I O N S H I P
O F
INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT WITH ACHIEVEMENT IN
MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND AGGREGATE

One of the domain in which Piaget's work is likely to have its great impact on is the domain of science and mathematics teaching.

The investigator was also instructed in studying the extent of relationships between intellectual development and achievement in science (Physical and biological), mathematics and aggregate of all school subjects. So the investigator attempted to study the relationships of aggregate achievement and achievement in science and mathematics with the intellectual development and also at different stages of intellectual development viz. concrete, transitional and formal operation levels. These relationships were studied for boys and girls of rural and urban samples, for students in government and aided schools and lastly for general and SC/ST students. The obtained coefficients of correlation are presented in Table XXVIII to XXXVII. The marks secured by students at their class X board examination were taken as the index of their achievement. Interpretation of the coefficients of correlation between intellectual development and achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate are

TABLE LIVIII
 COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT
 AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS.

		RURAL SCHOOL				TOTAL SAMPLE	
		URBAN SCHOOL					
		BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL	BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL
MATHS	BOYS	**	**	**	**	**	**
		0.696	0.730	0.695	0.710	0.637	0.696
SCIENCE	BOYS	**	**	**	**	**	**
		0.760	0.665	0.734	0.668	0.530	0.659
AGGREGATE	BOYS	**	**	**	**	**	**
		0.822	0.706	0.764	0.714	0.795	0.747

presented in the following sections of this chapter under various headings

Achievement And Intellectual Development of Students

Table XXVIII shows the scores of intellectual development with achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement in school subjects for boys and girls and for urban and rural students and for the total sample. The coefficients of correlation between intellectual development and scores in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement scores were found to vary from 0.637 to 0.707, 0.330 to 0.780, and 0.706 to 0.822 respectively. At a cursory glance we find that all the correlations excepting one for rural girls (achievement in science v/s intellectual development: $r=0.330$) represented positive and significant relationships. Coefficient of correlation for rural girls with regard to aggregate achievement and intellectual development was significant at 0.05 level. All other coefficients were significant at 0.01 level of significance.

The extent of relationship with achievement in mathematics and in aggregate were found approximately same in magnitude for urban for rural and total sample. In case of rural sample, the extent of relationship of science with intellectual development was found little

less in magnitude than that of their urban counterparts (0.659 against 0.734) It may be accounted for by the lack of adequate environment for learning of science available in rural areas. In fact, the achievement in science is attributable to number of factors including congenial physical facilities and mental health of the children beside intellectual abilities.

With regard to sex, magnitude of relationship of intellectual development with achievement in science and aggregate achievement observed to be in favour of boys than that of girls. In case of rural sample no clear trend could be traced, may be due to sampling fluctuations.

On the basis of above trend, the following conclusions seem to be appropriate:

- higher the intellectual development of the students, higher would be achievement in school subjects.
- location does not effect the students' achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development .
- degree of abstractness at high school science increases with the study of mathematics to science,

- boys were found gainers with regard to achievement in science and aggregate as compared with their girls counterparts at the same level of intellectual development
- the girls were at advantageous position than boys in mathematics achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of these findings the Null hypothesis which states that;

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Achievement in Mathematics,
Science And Aggregate Achievement;

With Intellectual Development of Students";
is rejected.

Lawson (1975) also reported the positive correlations (.01 level) between the achievement in science subjects and Piagetian scores. The present study also shows a high significant relationships between intellectual development and academic achievement in science, mathematics and aggregate achievement. This finding give strength to other findings where intellectual development and achievement in science were found to have positive and significant relationship (Chiappetta, 1974; Sayre and Ball, 1975; Lawson, 1975; Lawson and Blake, 1975; Kolodiy, 1977; Wheeler, 1977;

Upadhaya, 1978 and Kumar, 1982) but contrary to the findings of Subhadha, 1977 and Das Gupta, 1977 who could not find any significant relationship between the two variables.

Achievement And Intellectual Development of Students of Government And Aided School

Table XXIX shows coefficients of correlation between achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement and intellectual development of students studying in government and aided schools. In urban and rural and total sample coefficients of correlation were found to be ranging from 0.651 to 0.792, all significant at .01 level of significance.

Table XXIX shows that intellectual development has a significant positive contributing towards the aggregate achievement. It was relatively higher in government schools than the aided ones. While comparing government and aided schools of urban and rural and total sample, one would find that for urban and total sample the influence of intellectual development was more towards science achievement in aided schools than the government schools. On the contrary intellectual development had greater impact on achievement in mathematics in government schools as against aided schools.

TABLE : XXXI
 CORRELANTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS' SCIENCE
 AND AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS OF
 GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS

	URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL SAMPLE	
	GOVERN. MENT	AIDED	GOVERN. MENT	AIDED	GOVERN. MENT	AIDED
MATHS	0.702 ^{**}	0.695 ^{**}	0.687 ^{**}	0.769 ^{**}	0.710 ^{**}	0.700 ^{**}
SCIENCE	0.734 ^{**}	0.745 ^{**}	0.657 ^{**}	0.651 ^{**}	0.732 ^{**}	0.721 ^{**}
AGGREGATE	0.792 ^{**}	0.735 ^{**}	0.729 ^{**}	0.655 ^{**}	0.792 ^{**}	0.734 ^{**}

* = .05; ** = .01; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

In rural setting the achievement in science was more positively affected with intellectual development in government schools, while in aided schools intellectual development appeared to be related with achievement on mathematics to a greater degree.

Students of government schools of urban area exceeded their government schools in achievement in mathematics, science and in aggregate vis-a-vis intellectual development.

Urban aided schools appeared to be relatively more favourable for achievement science and aggregate vis-a-vis intellectual development than rural aided schools. However, achievement in mathematics was associated with intellectual development in rural aided schools than urban aided schools.

The above mentioned results appear to be because of the following : (i) government schools are better equipped with well qualified staff as compared to aided schools (ii) optimum utilization of facilities and resources is done in aided schools and government schools take things easy.

On the basis of above observation the following may be concluded:

-intellectual development is an important
important determinant of achievement.

- government schools seems to provide better ground for learning of mathematics, while aided ones for science in urban areas.
- in rural settings mathematics achievement was relatively more influenced by intellectual development.
- government schools have over all supremacy over aided schools so far as intellectual development and aggregate achievement relationship is concerned.

At a glance on the basis of above findings it may be said that for total environment of government schools was better for achievement in mathematics and aggregate achievement, while environment of aided school was better for achievement in science against government schools.

Thus on the basis of the null hypothesis which state that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Achievement in Mathematics,
Science and Aggregate Achievement And
Intellectual Development of Students;"

Achievement And Intellectual Development

Sex Wise, School Wise And Location Wise

Table XXX provides a comprehensive view of relationships of intellectual development with achievement in mathematics and science and aggregate achievement for boys and girls studying in government and aided schools of urban and rural areas. The correlations have been found to range from 0.330 to 0.838, these represent low to very high positive relationships, significant at .01 level of significance in most of the cases. The only exception is the girls of government schools of rural area, where a low positive relationship has been observed. Paired comparisons would reveal the following:

- (i) Achievement in mathematics of boys in urban government schools was more associated with levels of intellectual development as compared to their counterparts in aided schools.
- (ii) Achievement in mathematics and aggregate achievement was found to be related relatively more by intellectual development of urban girls and rural boys than their counterparts in respective settings.
- (iii) Urban boys are achievement in science and aggregate achievement with regard to their intellectual development in government schools.

Achievement in mathematics of boys in government school of urban area and rural boys in aided schools were found to be relatively more positively

TABLE : XII

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT AND ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS SCIENCE AND AGRICULTURE ACHIEVEMENT AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF BOYS AND GIRLS IN GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS OF URBAN AND RURAL SETTINGS.

	URBAN				RURAL			
	GOVERNMENT		AIDED		GOVERNMENT		AIDED	
	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS
MAPES	0.728 ^{**}	0.703 ^{**}	0.583 ^{**}	0.785 ^{**}	0.702 ^{**}	0.632 ^{**}	0.769 ^{**}	NIL
SCIENCE	0.782 ^{**}	0.671 ^{**}	0.794 ^{**}	0.651 ^{**}	0.671 ^{**}	0.330	0.651 ^{**}	NIL
AGRICULTURE	0.838 ^{**}	0.749 ^{**}	0.833 ^{**}	0.646 ^{**}	0.730 ^{**}	0.793 ^{**}	0.655 ^{**}	NIL

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

related with their intellectual development as compared with rural and urban counterparts respectively. (iv) Urban girls of government schools were found to be in advantageous position as compared with rural girls of government schools with regards to achievement in mathematics and science viz-a-vis their intellectual development.

From the above observations it may be concluded that :

- achievement of students were related with their intellectual development in both type of schools in urban and rural areas. However achievement in science of rural girls of government schools was related not significantly with their intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of above finding the hypothesis which states that :

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Achievement (in Science, Mathematics and Aggregate) And Intellectual Development of Boys And Girls in Government And Aided Schools Of Urban And Rural Areas ;"

is partially accepted in favour of girls of rural areas in government schools.

TABLE : XXXI

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS, SCIENCE AND AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT AND INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF GENERAL AND SC/ST STUDENTS.

SC/ST STUDENTS.

	URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL SAMPLE	
	GENERAL	SC/ST	GENERAL	SC/ST	GENERAL	SC/ST
MATHS	0.696 ^{**}	0.663 ^{**}	0.717 ^{**}	0.573 ^{**}	0.658 ^{**}	0.572 ^{**}
SCIENCE	0.729 ^{**}	0.790 ^{**}	0.682 ^{**}	0.519 ^{**}	0.690 ^{**}	0.606 ^{**}
AGGREGATE	0.765 ^{**}	0.724 ^{**}	0.728 ^{**}	0.641 ^{**}	0.732 ^{**}	0.593 ^{**}

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

Achievement and Intellectual Development of
General and SC/ST Students

An inspection of the table XXXI reveals that the coefficients of correlation between intellectual development of both categories of students namely general and SC/ST and achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement were found to represent positive and significant (at .01 level) relationships varying from high to very high in magnitudes.

It can also be observed from the table XXXI that the relationship of intellectual development and achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate was of higher degree in case of general candidates as compared to their SC/ST counterparts, excepting for urban SC/ST students where this association in case of science achievement and intellectual development exceeded in favour of SC/ST students.

From the above observation it may be concluded that

- the intellectual development has positive and significant contribution towards achievement in science, mathematics and in aggregate irrespective of the category (General, SC/ST) and the location of the sample.

- general category candidates appear to be advantageous position as against SC/ST candidates with regard to achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.
- SC/ST candidates of urban area seems to utilize their intellectual abilities more for achieving higher in science.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Achievement(in Mathematics,
Science and Aggregate) And Intellectual
Development of General And SC/ST Students";

is rejected.

Achievement in Mathematics And Levels of Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls Location Wise

Table XXXII reveals relationship between achievement in mathematics and levels of intellectual development viz. concrete, transitional and formal operational levels of students of urban, rural and total sample.

The coefficients of correlation ranged from 0.111 to 0.804, which represented very low to very high correlation for various groups. All correlations were

TABLE : XXIII .

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS AND LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT
OF BOYS AND GIRLS

	URBAN SAMPLE				RURAL SAMPLE				TOTAL SAMPLE			
	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS	BOYS	GIRLS
CONCRETE	0.255 [†]	0.190 [†]	0.188 [†]	0.402 [†]	0.402 [†]	0.804 [†]	0.381 [†]	0.273 [†]	0.170 [†]	0.228 [†]	0.365 [†]	0.365 [†]
TRANSIT IONAL	0.388 [†]	0.486 [†]	0.374 [†]	0.501 [†]	0.501 [†]	0.501 [†]	0.501 [†]	0.368 [†]	0.469 [†]	0.381 [†]	0.381 [†]	0.381 [†]
FORMAL	0.461 [†]	0.111	0.352 [†]	0.674 [†]	0.674 [†]	0.674 [†]	0.674 [†]	0.477 [†]	0.111	0.365 [†]	0.365 [†]	0.365 [†]

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE .

found significant at .01 level excepting one for girls of urban area at formal stage. Transitional operational level of intellectual development was found to contribute relatively more towards achievement in mathematics than other stages of intellectual development, namely formal and concrete operational levels, in case of urban and total sample. However, in rural area achievement in mathematics was found to be related with the levels of intellectual development in increasing order from concrete to transitional to formal level.

Formal level of intellectual development was found to have relatively higher impact on the mathematics achievement of boys than of girls of urban area and the total sample .

Transitional operational level contributes more towards mathematics achievement in case of girls irrespective of their being rural/urban. In case of girls of rural area very close association was observable between concrete level of intellectual development and achievement in mathematics.

On the basis of above observations following findings emerge:

- achievement in mathematics girls enhanced with the increased levels of intellectual development of the students.

- achievement of boys in mathematics gets relatively more influence with the intellectual development than girls in general , especially in urban settings.
- girls achievement in mathematics is favoured much by transitions level of their intellectual development.

Thus the hypotheses which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Achievement in Mathematics And Levels of Intellectual Development of Science Students;"

is rejected.

Mathematics And Levels of Intellectual Development Of Students in Government And Aided Schools

Coefficients of correlation between achievement in mathematics and levels of intellectual development of students studying in government and aided schools located in urban, rural and total sample have been presented in table XXXIII .

For total sample the table XXXIII shows that all coefficients of correlation for various levels of intellectual development of students in government

TABLE : XIII
 COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS AND
 INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS

	URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL SAMPLE	
	GOVERN MENT	AIDED	GOVERN MENT	AIDED	GOVERN MENT	AIDED
CONCRETE	0.136	0.312	0.281	0.814	0.171	0.531
TRANSIT IONAL	0.357	0.352	0.516	0.433	0.411	0.318
FORMAL	0.211	0.473	0.713		0.221	0.453

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

and aided schools with achievement in mathematics were found to be varying from very low to moderate (0.171 to 0.493) and these indicated significant positive relationship. The extent of relationship for achievement in mathematics with concrete and formal levels of intellectual development, for students of aided schools have been found slightly higher than that of students of government schools. However, inverse in trend may be seen with regards to transitional level of intellectual development versus achievement in mathematics. Similar trend may be observed in urban school with regards to relationship between achievement in mathematics and levels of intellectual development of the students.

In rural aided schools intellectual development of students was found to higher related with achievement in mathematics at concrete level, while at transitional stage it was in tune with urban and total sample where students of government schools appeared to related relatively more with level of intellectual development than that of students of aided schools.

So on the basis of the above observations following conclusions may be drawn:

- levels of intellectual development of students was an important aspect for their achievement in mathematics irrespective of their being

in government or aided schools.

- environment of government schools seems to render more help to transitional level students for their higher achievement in mathematics against aided school students.

- environment of aided schools was found more favorable for students at concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development for their achievement in mathematics than that of government school students.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that :

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Achievement in Mathematics
And Intellectual Development of Students
in Government And Aided Schools of Urban
and Rural Areas."

is tenable.

**Achievement In Science And Levels of Intellectual
Development of Boys and Girls**

Coefficients of correlation between achievement in science and levels of intellectual development of students, presented in table XXXIV show very low to moderate (0.150 to 0.459), positive and significant relationship

Table XXXIV further indicates that in urban and total sample, levels of intellectual development of boys were significantly related with achievement in science. However, in rural areas only the transitional operational level of intellectual development was found to be significantly related with achievement in science.

The extent of relationship was found in increasing order from concrete to formal through transitional level of intellectual development for urban and for the total sample. However, in case of boys of rural areas transitional level of intellectual development was found to have a dominant role in the achievement in science.

In total and urban sample transitional and formal levels of intellectual development of girls were found to be significantly (at .01 level of significance) related with achievement in science. However, achievement in science appeared to be not related the concrete level of intellectual development of girls .

Girls at concrete level of rural area showed negative and very low relationship with intellectual development and achievement in science may be because of sampling fluctuations ($N=8$) .

The following main inferences seem appropriate to be drawn on the basis of above observations:

- intellectual development has substantial influence over the achievement of students in general .
- rural boys and urban girls follow a slightly different pattern at transitional operational level than that of boys at concrete and formal levels of intellectual development.

So on the basis of these results we reject the null hypothesis which states that :

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Achievement in Science and
Intellectual Development of Boys and Girls".

Pandey (1979) also reported that achievement in science subjects increases with the advancement of levels of intellectual development. This study gives strength parrally to findings of above study.

Achievement in Science and Levels of Intellectual
Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools

Table XXXIV shows relationship between achievement in science and levels of intellectual development of students studying in government and aided schools, urban and rural area and the total sample.

For total sample, it may be observed that most of the correlations have been founded to be positive and Significant at .01 level of significance. The relationship between achievement in science and intellectual development at concrete level of students studying in government schools was nearly zero.

It may be noted that the maximum influence of intellectual development on achievement in science in government schools was exerted by transitional level students while aided schools formal level of intellectual development has more contribution towards achievement in science as against in two other stages . It may be due to the shift of emphasis to formal level of thinking in aided schools, where concrete level students were relatively more disadvantaged in government schools as against their counterparts in aided schools. Urban area students were found to show similar trend of relationship between intellectual development and achievement in science.

TABLE : XXV

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN ACHIEVEMENT IN SCIENCE AND LEVELS
OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS STUDYING IN GOVERNMENT AND
AIDED SCHOOLS OF DIFFERENT SETTINGS

	URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL SAMPLE	
	GOVERN MENT	AIDED	GOVERN MENT	AIDED	GOVERN MENT	AIDED
CONCRETE	0.066	0.279	0.076	0.232	0.066	0.273
TRANSIT IONAL	0.584	0.288	0.684	0.525	0.523	0.257
FORMAL	0.397	0.543	0.615		0.482	0.547

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE

For rural area the correlation varied from 0.076 to 0.684. The only significant correlation was at transitional level of government school students. In rural settings both government and aided schools have been appeared to give due emphasis on transitional level. Government schools superceded the aided ones in this respect.

Following conclusions may be drawn.

- in aided schools of urban area and total sample concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development was higher related with achievement in science than their government schools counterparts.
- transitional operational level was more associated with achievement in science for students of government schools against students of aided schools. It was true for schools irrespective of their locations.

Thus on the basis of above finding the hypothesis which states that,
 "There is No Significant Relationship Between Achievement in Science And Levels of Intellectual Development of Students of Government and Aided Schools;"
 is partially accepted.

Aggregate Achievement and Levels of Intellectual Development

The correlations computed for the relationship between aggregate achievement scores and different levels of intellectual development viz. formal, transitional and concrete operational levels have been presented in table XXXVI.

Table XXXVI shows that aggregate achievement scores and levels of intellectual development of students of urban, rural and total sample were significantly related the relationship was higher in case of transitional level students than their concrete and formal operational levels counterparts in urban and total sample. However in case of rural students at formal level the correlation was found higher than either concrete or transitional level students.

With regard to sex, the level of intellectual development of both boys and girls were found to contribute significantly towards aggregate achievement in total sample, relatively weaker relationship was observed in case of girls than boys for aggregate achievement with levels of intellectual development

In urban area the aggregate achievement of girls was not related to intellectual development at formal operational level. The relationship of aggregate

TABLE : XXVI

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN LEVELS OF AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT AND LEVEL OF
INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF BOYS AND GIRLS

	URBAN			RURAL			TOTAL	
	BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL	BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL	BOYS	GIRLS
CONCRETE	0.392	0.241	0.330	0.384	0.281	0.366	0.393	0.228
TRANSIT	0.500	0.510	0.437	0.579		0.586	0.563	0.507
FORMAL	0.513	0.074	0.304	0.583		0.583	0.542	0.073
TOTAL							0.357	0.453

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

achievement of girls with intellectual development was higher at transitional level as against concrete level of intellectual development .

In rural area, relationship between aggregate achievement and concrete operational level of girls was not significant.

On the basis of the above observation following main findings may be drawn;

- intellectual development was related relatively more in case of boys than girls.
- higher the intellectual development of the students most likelihood would be of higher being the aggregate achievement.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis states that;

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Levels of Intellectual Development of The Students With Their Aggregate Achievement Scores."

is rejected.

**Aggregate Achievement And Intellectual Development
Of Students of Government and Aided Schools**

It is evident from the table XXXVII the coefficients of correlation between aggregate achievement and levels of intellectual development of students studying in government and aided schools of urban and rural areas and of total sample were positive and significant at 0.01 level of significance, which represented low to moderate. However, in rural area transitional and formal levels of intellectual development of students of government schools was found significantly related with their aggregate achievement. In aided schools concrete level of intellectual development of the students was found significantly related with their aggregate achievement. Remaining all other coefficients of correlation between aggregate achievement and intellectual development of students were not significant.

For urban and total sample, it may therefore be inferred that concrete and formal operational students studying in aided schools were gainer in aggregate achievement as against students of government schools at their same levels of intellectual development. It seems appropriate to say, (as has already be mentioned earlier) that environment of aided schools provide

TABLE : XXVII

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT AND LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS OF GOVERNMENT AND AIDED SCHOOLS

	URBAN		RURAL		TOTAL SAMPLE	
	GOVERNMENT	AIDED	GOVERNMENT	AIDED	GOVERNMENT	AIDED
CONCRETE	** 0.256	** 0.462	0.232	* 0.700	** 0.254	** 0.479
TRANSITIONAL	** 0.569	** 0.263	** 0.590	0.439	** 0.564	** 0.247
FORMAL	** 0.237	** 0.333	* 0.625		** 0.260	** 0.369

* = .05; ** = .01; LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

slightly better learning environment to students at concrete and formal levels of intellectual development facilitating higher aggregate achievement than that of government school students at the respective levels of intellectual development. However, environment for learning in government schools was found favourable for students at transitional level of intellectual development with regard to their aggregate achievement as compared to aided schools.

A close scrutiny of table XXIVII reveals that in rural area environment of aided school was found suiting to the needs of students at concrete level of intellectual development leading to their higher scores in aggregate achievement. Similarly environment of government schools was found in favour of students at transitional and formal levels of intellectual development to secure higher scores in aggregate achievement. However, concrete level students of aided schools showed relationships with aggregate achievement scores. On the basis of above observation it may be concluded that;

- environment of aided schools is helpful in promoting higher achievement of students at concrete and formal levels of intellectual development as compared with government schools.

- environment of government schools favour students at transitional level of intellectual development to achieve higher scores in aggregate achievement as against aided schools.
- in rural areas government schools provide relatively better means of higher achievement to students at transitional levels of intellectual development, while aided schools appear to suit concrete level students so far as achievement is concerned.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Aggregate Achievement And Levels of Intellectual Development of Students of Government And Aided Schools."

is rejected.

R E L A T I O N S H I P BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE STUDENTS AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF PARENTS

The extent of relationship between intellectual development of the students with components of socio-economic back ground have been studied and presented as follows:

Intellectual Development of Students and Education of Fathers' and Mothers'

Table XXXVIII reveals the relationship between fathers'/mothers' education and intellectual development of their children.

For the total sample, the coefficients of correlation were found to be ranging from 0.239 to 0.400. All representing low positive correlations, but significant at .01 level of significance.

The contribution of fathers' education towards intellectual development of boys, and the contribution of mother's education towards intellectual development of girls, was higher than the sex opposite to them. Besides, the contribution of mothers' education was found to be higher than that of fathers' education for both boys and girls. It may, therefore, be interpreted that mothers' education play key role in the intellectual development of the children and that

TABLE : XXVIII

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATIONS BETWEEN
INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS
AND EDUCATION OF PARENTS

INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT	FATHERS' EDUCATION r	MOTHERS' EDUCATION r
<u>URBAN</u>		
BOYS	0.303 ^{**}	0.354 ^{**}
GIRLS	0.240 [*]	0.345 [*]
GOVERNMENT	0.271 [*]	0.315 [*]
AIDED	0.374 [*]	0.403 ^{**}
TOTAL	0.284 [*]	0.352 [*]
<u>RURAL</u>		
BOYS	0.142	-0.052
GIRLS	-0.147	0.706 [*]
GOVERNMENT	0.038	-0.045
AIDED	0.411 [*]	0.057
TOTAL	0.101	-0.041
<u>TOTAL SAMPLE</u>		
BOYS	0.302 ^{**}	0.339 ^{**}
GIRLS	0.261 ^{**}	0.377 [*]
GOVERNMENT	0.239 [*]	0.320 [*]
AIDED	0.381 ^{**}	0.400 ^{**}
TOTAL	0.294 [*]	0.354 [*]

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE : * = .05 ; ** = .01 ;

the education of father/mother contribute relatively more for the children of their own sex. It may be considered safe to say that higher the education of the parents more likelihood of attaining formal operational level by the children and vice-versa. The chances get further increased with the increase in the education level of mothers.

Table XXXVIII further indicates that the extent of the relationship between father/mothers' education and intellectual development of their children was found to be relatively higher for the children studying in aided schools as compared with their counterparts in government schools. It may be due to the fact that parents higher in educational status prefer to send their children to aided schools rather than government ones. Here it will not be out of context to mention that aided schools seem to contribute more than government schools towards the intellectual development of students i.e. the chances of students reaching the formal stage increases with the entry of a child into aided school as against a government one.

It is also evident from the table that the coefficients of correlation for urban sample range from .240 to .403. All these values were significant at .01 level of significance and represented low position

correlation between the education of father/mothers' and the intellectual development of their children. It indicated the same trend as in case of total sample. Main findings were as follows:

- contribution of mothers' education was higher than that of fathers' education towards intellectual development of children and that it had relatively more influence on the children of the same sex as the parents.
- aided institutions contributed more than government institutions towards the intellectual growth of the students. A look at table XXXVIII with regard to rural sample, reveals that the coefficients of correlation range from -0.147 to 0.706 for various groups of students. It may be noticed that mothers' education was found to have no correlation with the intellectual development of boys and students neither government or aided schools. However in case of rural girls a high positive correlation to the tune of 0.706 was observable significant at .05 level. It gives rise to the inference that the higher the level of mothers' education in rural area higher would be the chances of girls reaching

at the formal operational level of thinking and vice-versa. Mothers' education was found to have nothing to do with the education of boys and indicated indifference to type of schools. - fathers' education on the contrary was found to have some impact on the intellectual development of the boys, while there was a negative influence in similar weightages on the education of girls. It may be due to the fact that even the educated fathers' in the rural area do not encourage girls' education to an equal level as that of boys. Significant influence of fathers' education on intellectual development of children was seen in case of aided schools. It appears that perhaps aided schools provide relatively more opportunities for the intellect to blossom even in rural setting.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development of Students
And Education of Parents;"

is rejected.

Intellectual Development Of Student And
Occupations Of Fathers'/Mothers'

In table XXXIX presents coefficients of correlation between intellectual development of student and occupation of fathers'/mothers' .

For total sample all coefficients of correlation have been found to indicate relationship significant at .01 level of significance which range 0.223 to 0.354. It gives rise to the inference that the higher the occupation of parents higher would be chances of their wards attaining the formal operation level of intellectual development and vice-versa. It seems that the higher level of occupational status of parents acts as a motivating factor for children to reach higher intellectual development, perhaps greater facilities are being provided by such parents, generating better educational environment. Thus the parent (father and mother together) occupation seems to have positive contribution towards intellectual development of the children.

The contribution of mothers' occupation appears to be higher than that of fathers' towards intellectual development of various groups of students, viz, girls and students of government and aided schools.

TABLE : XXXIX

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STUDENTS AND PARENTS' OCCUPATION

INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT	FATHERS' OCCUPATION r	MOTHERS' OCCUPATION r
<u>URBAN</u>		
BOYS	0.289 ^{**}	0.313 ^{**}
GIRLS	0.193 ^{**}	0.303 ^{**}
GOVERNMENT	0.202 ^{**}	0.292 ^{**}
AIDED	0.339 ^{**}	0.340 ^{**}
TOTAL	0.257 ^{**}	0.309 ^{**}
<u>RURAL</u>		
BOYS	0.299	0.078(-)
GIRLS	0.310 ₊	0.251(-)
GOVERNMENT	0.159	0.102
AIDED	0.495 [*]	0.000
TOTAL	0.206 ^{**}	0.099(-)
<u>TOTAL SAMPLE</u>		
BOYS	0.306 ^{**}	0.292 ^{**}
GIRLS	0.223 ^{**}	0.300 ^{**}
GOVERNMENT	0.247 [*]	0.274 [*]
AIDED	0.354 ^{**}	0.339 ^{**}
TOTAL	0.286 ^{**}	0.298 ^{**}

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE : * = .05 ; ** = .01 ;

The table I~~XXXX~~^{XXIV} also shows that the children from high occupation group parents derived more advantage if placed in aided schools than in government schools and perhaps low occupation group parents children seem to be slightly lesser when intellectual development is considered.

It is also evident from table I~~XXXX~~^{XXIV} that coefficients of correlation for urban sample ranged from 0.193 to 0.340. All these correlations were found significant at .01 level of significance and these represented low positive correlations. Correlation for urban sample indicates the trend similar to the total sample. Thus main finding may be concluded as follows:

- higher occupation of parents leads to the likelihood of attaining formal operation level by the children and vice-versa.
- higher occupations of mothers contribute more in intellectual development of the children as compared with fathers' contribution in case of urban children.
- wards of parents with higher occupational status seem to derive more advantage from aided schools when compared to government schools. While opposite is true in the case of low occupational status parents' wards.

Further examination of the table shows that coefficients of correlation between occupation of fathers'/mothers' and intellectual development of students of rural schools were found to be ranged between 0.045 to 0.411. These represented no relationship for same group and very low to moderate relationship for other groups.

The table further reveals that the correlations between fathers' occupations and intellectual development of the children were found to range between 0.155 and 0.495 representing very low to moderate positive relationship for various groups. The contribution of fathers' occupational status was relatively more in case of girls as against boys and aided school as against government schools. It may, therefore, be interpreted that higher occupational status of the father contributes positively towards intellectual development of children even in rural area. Girls and students of aided schools were the beneficiaries with regards to intellectual development vis-a-vis fathers' occupation.

The range of correlations with regard to mothers' occupation in rural area was -0.251 to 0.102 representing low relationship. Independence of mothers occupational status . Intellectual development as in case of boys , students of aided schools and total rural sample.

However, low positive relationship was observable with regards to student of governments institution where increase in mothers' occupational status appears to help increase the intellectual development of the students. Though not significant low negative correlation between mothers' occupational status and intellectual development of girls signifies an inverse relationship between the two. It appears to indicate an anomolous situation, may be due to a very small sample ($N = 8$).

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development of
The Students And Occupation of Parents;"

is partially accepted in favour of rural girls.

Intellectual Development of Students And Their Parents' Income

Table XI shows correlations between parents' income and intellectual development of the science students. For the total sample, correlation were found to be ranging from 0.432 to 0.484, all representing moderate positive correlations significant at .01 level of significant.

TABLE : XL

COEFFICIENTS OF CORRELATIONS BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STUDENTS AND THE INCOME OF
THEIR PARENTS

INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT	N	PARENTS INCOME r
<u>URBAN</u>		
BOYS	530	0.502 ^{**}
GIRLS	362	0.447 ^{**}
GOVERNMENT	543	0.440 ^{**}
AIDED	349	0.541 ^{**}
TOTAL	892	0.485 ^{**}
<u>RURAL</u>		
BOYS	126	0.108
GIRLS	008	0.112
GOVERNMENT	111	0.036
AIDED	023	0.484 ^{**}
TOTAL	134	0.100
<u>TOTAL SAMPLE</u>		
BOYS	656	0.484 ^{**}
GIRLS	370	0.460 ^{**}
GOVERNMENT	654	0.432 ^{**}
AIDED	372	0.544 ^{**}
TOTAL	1026	0.480 ^{**}

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE; * = .05 ; ** = .01 ;

The total sample correlations show that parents' income were found to be significantly associated with the intellectual development of their wards. The same appears to be true for groups of boys, girls, students in government and aided schools. It may be considered safe to say that higher the income of the parents more likelihood of children attaining formal operational level. Parents' income seem to influence the intellectual development of students relatively more in case of boys than girls. Also the children of higher income group parents' appear to derive more advantages if placed in aided school than their counterparts in government school and perhaps low income group children seem to be somewhat looser so far as intellectual development in concerned.

It is also evident from table XL that the coefficients of correlation for urban sample ranged from 0.440 to 0.502. All of these correlations were found significant at .01 level of significance and these represented moderate positive correlations between the education of parents' and intellectual development of the science students. It indicates a trend similar to the total sample. Thus the main finding may be summarized as below:

-contribution of parents' income was higher towards intellectual development of the boys than that of girls(0.502 against 0.447).

- children of parents' having higher incomes group derived more advantages with regards to their intellectual development in aided school while lower income group children seem to be disadvantaged.

A close examination of the table reveals that the coefficients of correlation between parents income and intellectual development of the students, of rural area were found to range from 0.036 to 0.486. Correlations between parents' income and intellectual development of the students of aided school of rural area was found to be moderate and positive significant at 0.01 level of significance. The remaining correlations were found to be of very low in case of boys, girls and aided schools. There was no such relationship found in government schools. These correlations seem to give rise to a conclusion that in rural area, the intellectual development of children in government schools is independent of the income of their parents. However, in the case of students of aided schools parents' income has been found to be related with the intellectual development of the science students.

The relationship is almost of the same magnitude for boys and girls.

It may therefore be inferred that parents income positively contribute towards intellectual development of children to some extent in rural area as well and its influence is uniform for both boys and girls. Besides this aided schools appear to be favourable for the intellectual development of the children belonging to high income group families.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development of
Students And Their Parents' Income;"

is rejected.

Intellectual Development Of The Students And Size Of The Family

In order to ascertain the extent of the relationship between intellectual development of the students and size of the family, correlations have been computed. The obtained correlations are reported in the table XLI .

For the total sample coefficients of correlation

TABLE XII

CORRELATIONS BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL
DEVELOPMENT OF THE STUDENTS AND
SIZE OF THE FAMILY

INTELLECT- UAL DEVEL- OPMENT.	N	FAMILY SIZE
<u>URBAN:</u>		
BOYS	530	-0.147**
GIRLS	362	-0.150**
GOVERNMENT	543	-0.148*
AIDED	349	-0.155**
TOTAL	892	-0.147**
<u>RURAL:</u>		
BOYS	126	-0.032
GIRLS	008	-0.492
GOVERNMENT	111	-0.095
AIDED	023	0.187
TOTAL	134	-0.062
<u>TOTAL SAMPLE</u>		
BOYS	656	-0.169**
GIRLS	370	-0.183**
GOVERNMENT	654	-0.193**
AIDED	372	-0.153**
TOTAL	1026	-0.176**

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE: * = .05 ; ** = .01 ;

were found to be ranging from -0.193 to -0.153 , all representing low negative correlations significant at .01 level of significant for various group viz, boys girls students of government and aided schools.

On the basis of above correlations it may be said that large size of family hampers the intellectual development of the students. This may be because of the fact that in the large sized family available facilities are shared by more members as compared to small sized family, inturn lowering the quality of environmental disposal of the students it may be interpreted, that student belonging to a small family were in advantageous position so far as intellectual development in concerned. ✓

Coefficients of correlation between intellectual development of girls with size of family has been found slightly higher than that of boys (-0.183 against -0.169) It may be infered from such correlations that in case of girls the bigger size of the family exerts more detrimental influence on intellectual development as compared to boy. This relationship is not surprising because in a large sized family, girls have to share the domestic work getting lesser time for herself than that of a boy belonging to a similar sized family. So it may be fairly to conclude that in a family of large size, girls are more deprived with regards ot intellectual

development than boys.

Family size seems to influence the intellectual development relatively more in case of students of government school. It may be considered safe to say that the children of large family size derive relatively more advantage in placed in aided school than in the government school.

Coefficients of correlation between the size of family and intellectual development of the students of urban area are presented in the table XII which shows low negative relationships ranging from -0.147 to -0.155 significant at .01 level of significance.

In case of the urban students and for both sexes trends similar to the total sample have been found. However in the case of type of schools trend was found to be of reverse nature.

Table XII further reversed the relationship obtained for rural sample which range from -0.492 to 0.187 . Intellectual development seems to be almost independent of family size in case of boys, students of government schools and total rural sample. Moderate negative relationship may be observed in case of girls where the increase in family size appears to severely hamper their intellectual development, this may be because of both, the attention granted to girls in rural

areas and availability of opportunities conducive to proper intellectual growth. On the contrary a very low positive relationship between family size and intellectual development, in aided schools has been observable which is not significant. It also represents an anomalous situation that may be due to sampling fluctuations.

Thus main findings may be concluded as below:

- children belonging to small sized family appear to derive more advantage with regards to their intellectual development, while children of large sized family seems to be disadvantageous. It holds good for the total, urban sample and groups of boys and girls and students in government and aided schools.
- girls from bigger families seem to be relatively more disadvantageous than boys with regards to their intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship
Between Intellectual Development Of Student
And The Size Of Family ;"

is rejected.

**Intellectual Development of General And SC/ST
Students With Their Socio-Economic Back Ground**

Table XLIII reveals relationships of intellectual development of student of (i) general category and (ii) scheduled caste and scheduled tribes with (a) education of fathers'/mothers' (b) occupations of fathers'/mothers' (c) parents' income and (d) family size. These are discussed in the following paragraphs;

Education of fathers'/mothers' :

The coefficients of correlation between intellectual development and educational status of parents' have been found from -0.055 to 0.349 for general/SC/ST students. For urban and total sample, parents' educational status contribute relatively more towards intellectual development of the students of general category as against their SC/ST counterparts.

In rural settings the mothers' education in general category and fathers' education in SC/ST category appeared to be more associated to the intellectual development of their children. Slight positive trend has been observed with regards to intellectual development of rural children vis-a-vis fathers' education in general category and mothers' education in SC/ST category.

TABLE : XIII

CORRELANTS OF CORRELATION BETWEEN INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT OF
GENERAL AND SC/ST STUDENTS AND THEIR SOCIO ECONOMIC BACK GROUND

GENERAL STUDENTS	EDUCATION		OCCUPATION		PARENTS INCOME	FAMILY SIZE
	FATHER	MOTHER	FATHER	MOTHER		
URBAN	0.284 **	0.349 **	0.254 *	0.308 **	0.485 **	-0.151 **
RURAL	0.112 **	-0.065 **	0.180 **	-0.068 **	0.075 **	-0.068 **
TOTAL	0.273	0.306	0.236	0.242	0.420 **	-0.175
<u>SC/ST STUDENTS</u>						
URBAN	0.169	0.224 *	0.175 *	0.203	0.328 **	0.89
RURAL	0.091 *	0.115	0.432	-0.325	0.342 **	0.001
TOTAL	0.185	0.138	0.227	0.086	0.292	0.129

* = .05 ; ** = .01 ; LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE.

The relationships were not significant even at .05 level of significance. Mothers' education in cases of SC/ST students in rural area seems to contribute relatively more than fathers' education towards the intellectual development of children, contrary to this in case of general category fathers' education play a dominant role in the intellectual development of their children.

Parents' occupation

Table XLII indicates that coefficient of correlation between parents (fathers'/mothers' occupation and intellectual development of the students range between -0.068 and 0.432.

A close scrutiny of the table XLII reveals, that the contribution of the mothers' occupation in urban area and fathers' in rural area was relatively higher towards the intellectual development of their children belonging to either general or SC/ST .

From the above observation it may be concluded that :

- parents' occupation was slightly more associated with intellectual development of the general students as compared to SC/ST students in total sample

Parents' income

It may be noticed from table XLII that coefficients of correlation between parents income and intellectual development of students were found to range between 0.075 to 0.485. It indicates that parents' income was positively related with intellectual development of students except in case of general students of rural area where parents income and intellectual development of the students appeared to be independent .

So it may be concluded from the above observation that higher the income of the parents more likelihood of attaining formal level of intellectual development. The table XLII also shows that the relationship of income with intellectual development was higher in case of general students than that of their SC/ST counterparts with regards to urban sample and total sample.

Size of the Family:

Table XLII further indicates coefficients of correlation between parents' income and intellectual development of the student, which range from -0.175 to 0.129. Intellectual development seems to be almost independent of family size in case of rural sample and also for SC/ST students of urban area. Very low negative correlations may be observed in case of general

candidates of urban and total sample, . the increase in family size appears to hamper the intellectual development of the students of general category. On the contrary a very low positive relationship has been found between family size and intellectual development of SC/ST students, of total sample, which was not significant.

The main findings emerging out of the above discussions may be summarized as below:

-bigger family size hampers the intellectual development of students of general category in relatively greater magnitude than SC/ST students. It may be due to the fact that their (general-category) consciousness with regard to implication of bigger family size was relatively higher as compared to their SC/ST counterparts.

On the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Relationship Between Intellectual Development of General and SC/ST Students And Their Socio-Economic Back Ground;"

is rejected.

DIFFERENCE IN THE COMPONENTS OF CREATIVITY OF VARIOUS GROUPS AT DIFFERENT LEVELS OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT

In order to test the significance of difference in creativity at different levels of intellectual development achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement, 't' test for pair have been employed and the same is presented under the following headings:

- (i) significance of difference in components of creativity of various groups.
- (ii) significance of difference in achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement in all school subjects.

The differences have been studied² for the urban rural and total sample have been presented as follows:

Various Groups Compared on Components of Creativity

In order to assess the significance of difference in components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity between different groups of students at various levels of intellectual development with in urban, rural and total sample, 't' test of significance of differences

TABLE: XIII
SHOWING SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE ON CREATIVITY AMONG STUDENTS AT CONCRETE, TRANSITIONAL AND FORMAL LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT.

TOTAL SAMPLE				URBAN				RURAL			
COMP. OF CREAT.	TRANS. V _c CONCRETE	FORMAL V _c TRANS.	FORMAL V _c CONCRETE	TRANS. VS CONC.	FORMAL VS CONC.	TRANS. VS CONC.	FORMAL VS CONC.	TRANS. V _c TRANS.	FORMAL V _c TRANS.	TRANS. V _c TRANS.	FORMAL V _c TRANS.
IV FI	4.83	11.01**	8.27	4.83	10.87	8.28	2.06	1.17	0.05		
IV Px	6.28	13.88	10.87	4.84	12.22	9.83	3.58	1.83	0.10		
IV Or	13.40	23.57	14.86	11.37	22.83	14.73	7.33	3.77	1.00		
Total IV	28.56	48.44	32.96	27.12	47.23	33.00	4.25	2.44	0.34		
V FI	07.80	16.22	10.87	07.88	15.78	10.44	1.42	1.85	1.16		
V Px	08.87	18.40	13.85	06.88	16.33	12.97	4.82	2.78	0.47		
V Or	14.83	27.27	17.34	13.37	25.87	16.33	6.73	7.82	4.27		
Total V	31.78	53.79	35.30	30.81	49.04	34.88	4.27	4.88	1.96		
FI	07.88	16.56	11.33	07.33	15.84	11.87	2.17	1.94	0.84		
Px	08.57	19.53	14.23	07.83	18.77	14.48	4.38	2.69	0.34		
Or	17.83	29.88	18.97	18.87	28.73	18.33	8.13	7.38	3.37		
Total Creat.	12.33	24.33	16.33	10.94	25.23	16.22	4.99	4.87	1.51		

* = .05 ** = .01 LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

between means were applied. The obtain 't' values for groups have been presented under the following sub-headings.

Table XLIII indicates comparisons between three sets of intellectual development viz. transitional versus concrete, formal versus concrete and formal versus transitional for rural, urban and total samples in respect of various components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity.

Careful examination of Table XLIII reveals that the 't' values ranged from 4.09 to 28.79, 0.10 to 08.13 and 4.83 to 29.86, for urban, rural and total sample, respectively for paired comparisons stated above.

For urban and total sample all 't' values were found to be significant at .01 level of significance. It may be interpreted that students at transitional level of intellectual development differed significantly with their counterparts at concrete level of thinking. The students at formal level also differed significantly with concrete level students. At formal level, students were significantly different with transitional level students of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity. It seems fair to assert that

students at formal level of intellectual development were significantly superior to students at transitional and concrete levels and also that transitional level students were significantly superior than concrete level students with regard to verbal, non-verbal and total fluency total flexibility, total originality and total creativity.

In case of rural students slightly different pattern was observable. Transitional level students excelled their concrete level counterparts in almost all components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity excepting for verbal fluency. Formal level students appeared to be better than transitional level counterparts with regard to non-verbal and total creativity in general and also in verbal flexibility and verbal originality and total verbal creativity. It may also be noticed that formal level students were found to be at a higher level with regard to verbal, non-verbal and total originality and total creativity along with verbal and total flexibility. While comparing formal with transitional level students one may find that there were non significant differences among them excepting verbal and total originality where transitional level students were seen lagging behind.

On the basis of the foregoing discussion of results following, general conclusions seem to be evident.

- in urban and total samples formal level students were superior to transitional level students who were in turn superior to concrete level students when compared on various components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity. Thus higher amount of creativity could be expected from formal level urban students and students in general.
 - - among rural students verbal, non-verbal and total originality was found to be highest among formal level students, seconded by transitional level students, followed by concrete level ones. Formal and transitional level students were found to be superior to concrete level students in almost all aspects of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity.
- but differences between transitional and formal level students existed only with regard to originality.
- It seems appropriate to say that urban

environment appears to be favourable for the growth of creativity vis-a-vis intellectual development. In rural atmosphere there appear to be a little opportunities for fluency and flexibility to grow along with intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that :

"There is No Significant Difference
Of Creativity Among The Students At
Concrete, Transitional and Formal Level
of Intellectual Development";

is rejected.

Boys and Girls :

Table XLIV shows 't' values of various components of creativity pertaining to boys and girls of urban, rural and total sample at different levels of intellectual development. The 't' values represented in the table ranged from .03 to 10.01, .09, 59 1.93 and 0.11 to 10.92 for urban, rural and total sample respectively.

A close scrutiny of the table would reveal

that there were significant differences between boys and girls with regard to components of verbal and total creativity. For urban and total samples, the girls appeared to be significantly better than boys so as verbal and total creativity were concerned. Girls also excelled their counterparts belonging to urban and total samples with regard to total non-verbal creativity in general and non-verbal fluency in particular.

However, no significant differences among boys and girls could be seen in rural settings. Girls at concrete level of intellectual development were found to be significantly better than boys with regard to verbal fluency, total fluency, total originality and total creativity in urban and total sample. No significant differences could be noticed between boys and girls, in the components of non-verbal creativity.

Transitional level girls appeared to possess relatively more amount of verbal, non-verbal and total fluency in urban and total sample. They were also found to be superior than boys with regard to verbal and total originality, verbal creativity and total creativity in urban and total sample.

SHOWING SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE OF CREATIVITY BETWEEN BOYS AND GIRLS IN DEVELOPMENT.

		U R B A N				R U R A L				TOTAL SAMPLE			
COMP. OF CREAT.	CONC.	TRANS.		FORMAL	TOTAL	CONC.		TRANS.	TOTAL	CONC.		TRANS.	FORMAL
		TRANS.	CONC.			CONC.	TRANS.						
NI	-0.77	-5.36	-3.44	-4.13	0.78	1.76	1.93	-0.90	-3.88	-3.51	-1.70	-1.52	-1.80
FI	0.90	1.37	-0.94	0.83	0.97	0.83	0.57	-1.18	-0.24	0.27	-1.52	-1.80	-4.84
OR	0.10	0.37	0.94	0.59	-1.40	1.23	1.77	-0.72	-10.88	-4.84	-10.88	-4.84	-0.92
Total	0.03	-0.73	-1.08	-1.03	0.53	-0.08	1.09	-3.88	-2.34	-0.92	-2.34	-2.34	-0.92
FI	-4.23	-10.24	-4.40	-10.01	0.92	-1.39	-0.94	-2.23	-8.31	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11
FI	0.21	-1.35	-0.13	-0.92	-1.37	-0.44	1.21	-2.23	-8.31	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11
OR	-1.40	-5.73	-2.16	-4.78	0.35	-0.68	0.60	-2.23	-8.31	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11
Total	-2.34	-7.24	-2.86	-6.13	0.09	0.86	1.76	-2.23	-8.31	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11	-5.11
FI	-3.22	-9.03	-4.80	-9.02	1.06	-0.45	0.36	-0.64	-1.58	-1.52	-1.52	-1.52	-1.52
FI	0.62	-0.20	-0.59	-0.21	-0.27	-0.27	1.10	-2.34	-5.82	-1.81	-1.81	-1.81	-1.81
OR	-1.15	-4.32	-1.14	-2.95	-0.28	-0.27	1.24	-2.27	-6.88	-3.27	-3.27	-3.27	-3.27
Total	-1.60	-5.82	-2.51	-4.78	0.33	0.13	1.24	-2.27	-6.88	-3.27	-3.27	-3.27	-3.27

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

** = .01

* = .05

Significantly higher flexibility could also be noticed among transitional level girls of the total sample.

Formal operational level girls appeared to be significantly better at higher level than boys with regard to verbal, fluency, verbal originality and verbal creativity in urban and total sample. They did excel boys in non-verbal fluency in total sample.

On the basis of above results it seems appropriate to infer that girls of urban area and the total sample possess relatively more verbal and non-verbal fluency at all levels on intellectual development, verbal originality, total verbal creativity and total creativity were also in abundance among girls of urban area. They appeared to be at par with creativity. However, verbal flexibility was still more in case of girls. In rural areas non discrimination could be made among creativity components of boys and girls at different levels of intellectual development.

Thus on the basis of the above findings the

hypothesis which states that :

'There is No Significant Difference of Creativity Among Boys And Girls of Rural Urban, and Total Sample';

is not accepted.

Government and Aided Schools:

Table XLY shows 't' values of various components of creativity pertaining students of government schools and aided schools at different level of intellectual development for urban and rural students and also for total sample. The 't' values represented in the table ranged from .10 to 5.85; .07 to 4.60 and 0.51 to 4.06 for urban rural and total sample respectively. Positive (+) and negative (-) signs indicate bias in favour of government and aided schools respectively.

In urban sample differences between students of government and aided schools were found to be significant with regard to components of verbal and total creativity, where, aided school students appeared to be in advantageous position. However,

~~SECRET~~
IFFAR
ELS O

~~SECRET~~

NO

RURAL SAMPLE

TOTAL SAMPLE	CONC.	TRANS.	FORMAL	TOTAL	TOTAL SAMPLE
-0.18	0.58	0.09	0.07	0.55	-0.80
0.73	1.33	1.34	0.79	2.08 [*]	-0.20
-1.62	1.88	2.30 [*]	1.21	2.69 [*]	-2.15
0.45	1.23	1.12	0.74	1.81	-1.21
-3.62 ^{**}	-0.09	0.57	2.61 [*]	1.11	-4.06 ^{**}
-0.48	2.97 ^{**}	2.97 [*]	3.18 ^{**}	4.60 ^{**}	-0.63
-2.13 [*]	-0.39	0.83	1.23	0.37	-3.27 ^{**}
-2.51 [*]	0.83	1.57	2.57 ^{**}	2.11 [*]	-3.18 ^{**}
-2.76 ^{**}	0.26	0.43	1.79	1.04	-3.31 ^{**}
0.03	2.42 [*]	2.66 ^{**}	2.18	3.92 ^{**}	-0.51 [*]
-2.16 [*]	0.43	1.51	1.49	1.26	-3.17 ^{**}
-1.96 [*]	1.18	1.62	2.07	2.28 [*]	-2.71 ^{**}

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE

the only deviation was observable in case of flexibility, where 't' values were not significant.

In case of total rural sample all obtained 't' values appeared to favour government schools student as against aided school counterparts. Significant differences were observable for flexibility components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity. Also no significant differences could be seen with regard to components of non-verbal creativity of the students of government and aided schools.

Aided school students, especially belonging to urban area were found to possess higher level of creativity at formal optional level while government school students excelled aided school counterparts at concrete level of intellectual development. It gives rise to a belief that aided urban schools provide relatively better environment for creative potential to grow at the highest level of intellectual development whereas in government schools creativity of concrete level students gets enhanced and at transitional level they appear to be at par with aided schools and with regard to further intellectual development they are hampered with their limitations.

For total sample, the 't' values indicated significant differences between government and aided schools with regard to verbal, non-verbal and total, non-verbal and total originality, verbal and total fluency, total non verbal creativity, and total creativity. The aided schools students seemed to be better with regard to these characteristics.

At various levels of intellectual development of students in government and aided schools, the following was noticed:

Concrete level government school students appeared to be significantly better than aided school counterparts with regards to non-verbal and total fluency, non-verbal flexibility, and total non-verbal creativity in urban areas and in verbal and total flexibility, in rural areas. In rest of the components of creativity the government and aided schools' students appeared to be alike.

Transitional level students of government and aided school of urban area did not demonstrate significant differences in various components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity. Rural area students of government schools indicated their superiority over

aided school students so far as non-verbal originality, and verbal and total flexibility were concerned.

Formal operational level appeared to distinguish between students of government and aided schools in various components of verbal and non-verbal and total creativity where 'urban' aided school students maintained their superiority all through.

An opposite trend was found in rural settings where government school students were found to possess relatively more amount of verbal fluency, flexibility and total verbal creativity.

Thus on the basis of above findings the hypothesis which states that:

"There is No Significant Difference of Creativity Among The Students Of Government And Aided Schools',

is rejected.

TABLE : XLVI

SHOWING SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE OF CREATIVITY BETWEEN STUDENTS OF GENERAL AND SC/ST CATEGORY STUDENTS OF URBAN, RURAL & TOTAL SAMPLES

COMP. OF CREAT.	URBAN	RURAL	TOTAL
NV FI	1.83	0.26	2.36
NV FI	1.92	0.44	3.03
NV Or	2.88	0.73	3.88
NV Tot.	2.55	0.50	3.47
V FI	3.67	-0.83	3.53
V FI	2.27	0.36	3.03
V Or	3.23	-1.06	3.83
Tot. V	3.47	-0.64	3.82
FI	3.39	-0.42	3.54
FI	2.77	0.46	3.55
Or	3.43	-0.52	4.02
Tot.Creat.	3.43	-0.19	4.03

* = .05

** = .01

LEVEL OF SIGNIFICANCE.

General and SC/ST Students:

Table XLVI shows 't' values of various components of creativity pertaining general and SC/ST students of urban, rural and total sample. The 't' values reported in the table ranged from 1.83 to 3.49 0.19 to 1.06 and 2.38 to 4.09 for urban, rural and total sample respectively

For urban and total sample most of the 't' values were found significant excepting 't' value for non-verbal fluency and flexibility which were found in favour of general students but not significant

In case of rural sample most of the 't' values was found also not significant.

On the basis of above observations it seems fair to assert that students of general category were significantly superior to students of SC/ST category with regard to verbal, non-verbal and creativity, in urban and total sample. However, in rural area performance of SC/ST students was not different than general students.

Thus on the basis of above findings the

hypothesis which states that :

There is No Significant Difference
of Creativity Among General And
SC/ST Students.'

is not accepted.

Various Groups Compared On Achievement :

Table XLVII shows 't' values of achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement pertaining students of urban and rural areas, government and aided schools Boys and girls and general and SC/ST students. The 't' values represented in the table XLVII ranged from 2.49 to 6.45, 0.21 to 6.24, and 2.45 to 6.76 for boys and girls, students of government schools and aided schools general and aided schools and urban students and rural students, respectively positive (+) values indicate bias towards boys, students of government schools, students of general category, and urban sample.

Obtained 't' values indicate that boys, students of aided schools, general students and urban sample were significantly better than that of their, girls, students of government schools, SC/ST students and

TABLE XLVII

SHOWING SIGNIFICANCE OF DIFFERENCE
IN ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS?
SCIENCE AND AGGREGATE ACHIEVEMENT
BETWEEN VARIOUS GROUPS

	BOYS V/S GIRLS	GOVERNMENT V/S AIDED SCHOOLS	GENERAL V/S SC/ST STUDENTS	URBAN V/S RURAL
	t-Value	t-Value	t-Value	t-Value
MATH	2.49 [*]	-6.49 ^{**}	2.64 [*]	6.44 ^{**}
SCIENCE	0.21	-6.29 ^{**}	2.94 [*]	6.11 ^{**}
AGGREGATE	2.45 [*]	-5.93 ^{**}	3.18 [*]	6.76 ^{**}

LEVELS OF SIGNIFICANCE : * = .05; ** = .01 ;

rural sample counterparts, with regard to achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement. However, difference between boys and girls in achievement in science was not significant.

Thus on the basis of above finding the hypothesis which states that:

'There is No Significance Differences Among Boys and Girls, Students of Government and Aided, Students of General and SC/ST Category, And Urban and Rural Sample for Their Achievement in Mathematics, Science And Aggregate Achievement.'

is rejected.

CHAPTER : V

CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTED RESEARCH

CHAPTER - V

CONCLUSIONS RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTED RESEARCH

This chapter first presents the conclusions arrived at as a result of analysis and interpretations. Then, some of the possible ways in which the findings could be applied for promoting the abstract thoughts or reasoning and Creative thinking have been recommended. In the last, a few possible problems on which further research could be conducted have been suggested.

The focus of the study has been on studying the relationship of intellectual development with creativity, achievement and socio-economic status of grade XI science students. The study was conducted through normative testing survey method following the cross-sectional approach, as such the nature of the study has been correlational type. Besides studying the relationships between intellectual development and various dimensions of creativity, an attempt was also made to study the effect of type of schools, sex, parents' education, parents' occupations, parents' income, size of the family and environmental influence on intellectual development. Comparisons among scheduled caste/scheduled tribes and general category students were also attempted vis-a-vis their intellectual development. Creativity and achievement of the student were also studied with regard to sex, environment and type of schools at various levels of their intellectual development. As a result of analysis and interpretation of data the investigator has been able to obtain some of the findings which are given below :

FINDINGS:

I Level Of Intellectual Development

- Formal operational level was not attained by majority of the adolescent science students.
- Majority of the students are at transitional level of intellectual development.
- In urban group percentage of students at formal operational level was higher than their counterparts in rural areas.
- Percentages of concrete operational thinker students was higher in rural areas than that of urban areas.
- At transitional operational level of intellectual development percentage of girls was slightly higher against boys in urban and total sample.
- Boys of aided schools were found in advantageous position to attain formal operational level against boys studying in government schools in urban and rural settings.
- Students of aided schools were found more at formal operational level against boys studying in government schools in urban and rural settings.

- Percentages of boys reached at concrete operational level of intellectual development was higher in case of aided schools against government schools in both urban and rural areas.
- Percentages of boys at transitional operational level was higher in government schools than that of aided schools. While reverse was true for girls in urban areas.
- Percentage of general students at formal operation level was higher against SC/ST students in urban, rural and total sample.

II RELATIONSHIP

Intellectual Development with Creativity

- Creativity components namely, fluency, flexibility and originality were found to show higher relationship at formal level of intellectual development as compared to other two levels of students.
- Intellectual development of urban students was relatively more positively associated with various component of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as against their rural counterparts.

- Intellectual development significantly related with creativity amongst boys and girls.
- Verbal creativity of subjects (boys and girls) was more closely associated with intellectual development than non-verbal creativity irrespective of their being in rural or urban schools.
- Intellectual development of students studying in aided schools was found to have relatively more positive association with various components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity than their government school counterparts.
- Boys and girls of urban area belonging to government, and aided schools were at advantage with regard to both creativity and intellectual development than their rural counterparts.
- General category students excelled than SC/ST counterparts both on non-verbal and verbal creativity vis-a-vis their intellectual development in rural as well as urban schools.
- Levels of intellectual development and components of creativity were progressing

- Boys at concrete and formal level of intellectual development were found to be more creative than girls.
- Levels of intellectual development of both sexes were found related with non-verbal verbal and total creativity.

Intellectual Development with Achievement in Mathematics, Science and Aggregate Achievement.

- Degree of abstractness at high school science increases with the study of mathematics to science.
- Boys were found gainers with regard to achievement in science and aggregate as compared with their girls counterparts at the same level of intellectual development.
- The girls were at advantageous position than boys in mathematics achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.
- Achievement of students were related with their intellectual development in both type of schools in urban and rural areas.
- General category candidates appear to be

advantageous positions as against SC/ST

advantageous positions as against SC/ST

candidates with regard to achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.

- Environment of aided schools was found more favourable for students at concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development for their achievement in mathematics than that of government school students.

- In aided schools of urban area and total sample concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development was higher related with achievement in science than their government schools counterparts.

Intellectual Development of the Students and Socio-Economic Status of Parents

- Contribution of mothers' education was higher than that of fathers' education towards intellectual development of children and that it had relatively more influence on the children of the same sex as the parents.

- Higher occupation of parents leads to the likelihood of attaining formal operation level by the children and vice-versa.
- Higher occupations of mothers' contribute more in intellectual development of the children as compared with fathers' contribution in case of urban children.
- Contribution of parents' income was higher towards intellectual development of the boys than that of girls.
- Children belonging to small sized family appear to derive more advantage with regards to their intellectual development.
- Girls from bigger families seem to be relatively more disadvantageous than boys with regards to their intellectual development.
- Parents' occupation was slightly more associated with intellectual development of the general students as compared to SC/ST students in total sample
- Relationship of Parents' income with intellectual development was higher in case of general students than that of their SC/ST counterparts.

- Bigger family size hampers the intellectual development of students of general category in relatively greater magnitude than SC/ST students.

III DIFFERENCE

Creativity and Intellectual Development

- In urban and total samples formal level students were superior to transitional level students who were inturn superior to concrete level students when compared on various components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity.
- In rural area formal level students were found to be highest on verbal , non-verbal and total originality than that of other two levels of intellectual development.
- Formal operational level girls were found to be better at higher level than boys with regard to verbal, fluency, verbal originality and verbal creativity and both urban and total sample.

Recommendations

The research of Jean Piaget might lead many educators to believe that 15 to 16 years of age individual attains Formal Operations 1 Thinking or reasoning abilities. This is a misconception, as the findings of this study and many other researches on cognitive development indicate two broad trends.

(i) The majority of the students function at concrete operational level on their understanding of science subject matter. (ii) Those who can function at the Formal level also tend to function at the concrete level.

These results have direct bearings on the curriculum planners for adolescent pupils as well as on teachers and educators in deciding the teaching strategies and type of environment needed for expression of creative strength.

The selection of content or concepts for XI grade science students should be organised according to the developmental level of the Intellectual Development or mental growth of the children. In other words, a large number of concrete level concepts and few number of Formal Concepts should be chosen. The concepts in order of their complexities from concreteness to abstractness should be introduced in conformity with the logical operations developed in the children.

As Raven has aptly remarked that;

A concept will not be acquired if the logical organisation of the concept is more complex than the pupil's logical operations. Once the pupil's level of logical organisation has been assessed the teacher can provide him with a conceptual structure that he can assimilate. The teacher can redesign the logical structure of an entire concept or parts of a concept for a student after the cognitive ability of the student has been determined.

Besides, selecting a balanced curriculum based on concept- logical operation continuum. The equally important need for rethinking is for the free and congenial environment in the schools. Freedom and Creativity go together. Any restriction can block the creative expression of children or even their intellectual abilities. A proper balance be kept between emotional and intellectual growth, if a child is found to be restricted in his creative expression and yet highly developed reasoning abilities, he must be given motivation to maintain the equilibrium. If a child is found to be rich in novel ideas and creative thinking but otherwise seemingly below his intellectual achievements.

It is just as important for the adolescent children to gain freedom in expression as it is for him to get more knowledge. In fact, the knowledge will remain unused,

frozen, unless the child develops the urge and the freedom to use it creatively.

Suggested Research

An effective programme of research must strive to help the young researcher to visualize the vast domain of untackled problems, which may have little or more similarity with the areas already explored by the past researchers. It is admitted that such a process would link the past and the present knowledge to establish the better future. Therefore, the need of further research in the field of education arises day by day.

Having reviewed the result of the present study, the investigator realized that there can be a number of research studies which can be taken under this vital area of intellectual development.

1. The study needs to be replicated on a sample.
2. an investigation into the factors/conditions affecting the level of intellectual development.
3. Piagetian Tasks and other tests prepared for the measurement of logical reasoning be used and the results may be compared.
4. Similar studies may be repeated for IXth class and college students.

Longitudinal studies for the development of adolescent thought may be undertaken.

A study of Tests content analysis between Piagetian Tasks and Creativity Test Tasks may be attempted using Factor analytical approach.

Study of Intellectual development and Creativity may be repeated by controlling the effect of intelligence or other mental abilities.

Intelligence, cultural and Personality effects on the Adolescent Thought may be undertaken for future investigations.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1000-1001.
2. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1001-1002.
3. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1002-1003.
4. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1003-1004.
5. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1004-1005.
6. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1005-1006.
7. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1006-1007.
8. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1007-1008.
9. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1008-1009.
10. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1009-1010.
11. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1010-1011.
12. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1954, 157, 1011-1012.

B I B L I O G R A P H Y

- Abraham, C. The relationship of Authoritarianism to Independence and Creativity Among College Students. Dissertation Abstract. 1964.
- Allen, T.E. Comments on An Investigation of two formal operational Schemata in adolescent enrolled in Ison classroom, Jof Res. in Sc. Teach. Vol. 15, 1978.
- Anastasi, A. Psychological Testing. New York: The MacMillan Co., 1983.
- Anderson, J.W. The Nature of Abilities, In E.P. Torrance's (Ed.) Education and Talent, Minnesota, University of Minnesota Press, 1960.
- Arora, G.L.: A Study of Relationship Between Creative Thinking and Vocational Anxiety and Their Effect on Success in Teaching, Punjab University, Chandigarh, 1974.
- Attenhaus, C.B. An Exploration of Relationship of Intelligence to Creativity in School Children. Dissertation Abstract. 1964.
- B.S.C.S. Newsletter, 56, 1974.
- Baby, R. Comments on An empirical Deviation of Hierarchies of Propositions related to ten of Piaget's Sixteen binary Operations, J. of Res. in Sc. Teach. Vol. 14, 1977.
- Baby R. Methodological issues in Formal Operational research what does it mean to be Formal? Sc. Ed. Vol. 62, 1978.

- Bady, R Metho ological issues in formal operational
research what does it mean to be formal ?
So. Ed. Vol. 62, 1978.
- Ball, D.W.? and S.A. Sayre. Relationship Between
Student Piagetian Cognitive Development and
Achievement in Science. Unpublished Doctoral
Dissertation. University of Northern
Colorado, Greeley, 1972.
- Barron, F., Creativity and Psychological Health
Origins of Personal Vitality and Creative
Freedom., Van-Nostrand, 1963,
- Barron, F., Some Personality Correlates of Independent
Judgement. J. Pers. 48: 1973.
- Barron, F. Creative Person and Creativite Process.
New York. Holt, 1969(b).
- Berlat, F., Thinking, New York, Basic Books, 1959.
- Bart,W.M. and Mertens, D.M. The hierarchical structure
of Formal Operational tasks. Applied
Psychological Measurement, Vol.3, 1970.
- Basé, J. and Montague, E. Piaget based Sequences of
instruction in Science, So. Ed. Vol. 56
1972.
- Beilin, H. The training and

- Bart, W.M. The factor structure of formal operations
British Journal of Ed. Psy. Vol. 40 1971.
- Benefield, K.E. and Capie, W. An empirical deviation
of hierarchies of propositions related
to ten of Piaget's sixteen binary operations,
J of Res. in Sc Teach. Vol 13 1976.
- Bentler, P.M. An implicit metric for ordinal scale
implications for assessment of cognitive
growth. In D.R. Green, M.P. Ford and G.B.
Flamer (Eds.) Piaget and Measurement,
New York: MC. Graw, Hill, 1971.
- Bhargava, M. Personal Variables and Second Order
Personality Correlates of Creativity. Indian
Psychological Review, 1979, 17 (3).
- Bhargava, M. Modern Psychological Testing and Measurement
(4th ed.) Agra, Har Brasad Bhargava, 1978.
- Blake.A.J. Lawson, A.E. Nordland, F.H. The karplus
Island Puzzle: Does it measure Piagetian
Operations ? J of Res. in Teach Vol.
13(5) 1976.
- Blari, A and Hoeffel, E.C. Adolescence and Formal
Operations. Human development, Vol.17 1974.
- Brainerd, C.J. Neo-Piagetian Training Experiments
revisited; Is there any support for the
Cognitive developmental stage hypothesis ?
Cognition, Vol. 2, 1974.

- Bredderman, T. The effects of Training on the development of the ability to control variables. J of Res. in Sc. Teach. Vol 10 1977.
- Bredderman, T. Elementary school Science Experience and the ability to control variables, Sc Ed. Vo. 58, 1974.
- Brendsel, S.P. Sex differences in proportional reasoning paper presented at the annual meeting National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Toronto, 1978.
- Brown, G. and Des Forges, D. Piagetian Psychology and Education, time for revision, British J of Ed. Psychology Vol. 47, 1977.
- Buch M.B. (ed) Second Survey of Research in Education Baroda, Society for Educational Research and Development, 1979.
- Buch, M.B. (ed) A Survey of Research in Education, Baroda, Centre of Advanced Study in Education, M.B. University of Baroda, 1974.
- Bynum, T.W. Thomas, A.J. and Weits, L.J. Turth Functional Logic in Formal Operational Thinking: In Helder's and Piaget's evidence, Developmental Psychology, Vol. 7 1972.
- Cambell, D.T. and Fialle, D.W. Convergent and

- Cave, R.L. A Combined Factor Analysis of Creativity and Intelligence. *Multivariate Behavioural Research* 5, 1970.
- Chase, D., and J.M. Collinson. The Development of Formal Thinking in Verbal Comprehension. *Research J. of Ed. and Phil.* 32, 1962.
- Chiappetta, E.L. Determining the Relation Between Proportional Thought and Physical Science Achievement. Paper Presented at Texas Academy of Science, North Texas State University, Denton, Texas, March, 1974.
- Chiappetta, E.L. and T.D. Whitfield, In Preparation, University of Houston, Texas, September, 1974.
- Chiappetta, E.L. A review of Piagetian Studies Relevant to Science Instruction at the Secondary and College Level. *So. Ed.* 1976, 60(2).
- Christensen, P.R. and Guilford, J.P. An Experimental Study of Verbal Fluency Factors. *Brit. J. Stat. Psychol* 16 1953.
- Clark, C.M., Veldman, D., and Trope, J.S.? Convergent Thinking Abilities of Talented Adolescents. *J. Ed. Phil.* Vol. 56, No. 3, 1987.

discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethods matrix Psychological Bulletin, 56, PP. 1959.

Cantu, L.L. and Herron, J.D. Concrete and Formal Piagetian stages and science concept attainment, J. Res. Sc. Teach. 15, 1978.

Care, R. Structures and Structures, Some functional limitations on the course of cognitive growth, Cognitive Psychology, J.Res.Sc. Tech. Vol. 6, 1974.

Care, R. A developmentally based theory and technology of instruction Review of Ed. Res. Vol. 48, 1978.

Care, R. Intellectual development and instruction A Neo-Piagetian View In A.E. Lawson (Ed.) The psychology of teaching for thinking and Creativity, Columbus, Ohio ERIC publication, 1980.

Care, R. and Gleason, T. Field independence and central computing space, child development Vol. 45, 1974.

Carlson, J.S. Dalton. S and Fagal, R.E. A comparison of the predictive validity of a measure of general intelligence and a Piaget derived test relative to an achievement examination in high school chemistry, Ed. and psychological Measurement, 1977.

Cropley, A.J. Creativity Education Today, London,
Longmans Green and Co., 1967.

Cropley, A.J. Creativity and Culture, The Educational
Trends. Vol. 8, Nos. 1-4. Jan. Oct., 1973.

Cropley, A.J. Creativity and Intelligence. Br.J.Ed.
Psychol. 36, 3: 1967 (a).

Dacey, J.S. and Madans, G.F. in Analysis of two
Hypotheses Concerning the Relationship
Between Creativity and Intelligence.
J.Ed,Res. 64, 5: 1971.

Dale, L.G. The Growth of Systematic Thinking: Republication
and Analysis of Piaget's First Chemical
Experiment. Aust. J.Psychol. 22, 1970.

* Das Gupta, G. Relationship Between Piagetian Logical
Thinking Science Achievement, Cognitive
Science Attitudes in Prospective Secondary
Science Teachers. Unpublished M.ED.
Dissertation, University of Raj., 1977.

Dasen, P.R. The Development of Conservation in
Aboriginal Children, a Replication Study.
Int. J. Psycho. 7. 1972 (a).

Dasen, P.R. Preliminary Study of Sensori-motor Develop-
ment in Basule Children. Early Child .
Development and Care. 1973.

- De-Lemos, M.M. Conceptual Development in Aboriginal Children. Implications for Aboriginal Education. Cited in Dunn, S.B. and Tata, C.M. (eds.) Aboriginal and Education, Melbourne, Sun Books, 1969 a.
- De. Career, I.A. Gabel, D.L. and Stacer, J.R. Implications of Piagetian Research for high school Science Teaching A review of the literature Sc. Ed. Vol 62, 1978.
- De Luca, F.P. Measurement of Logical Thinking. An Electronic equivalent of Piaget's First Chemical Experiment, J. Res. Sc. Teach Vol. 14, 1977.
- De Luca, F.P. Application of Cluster Analysis to the Study of Piagetian Stages of Intellectual Development, J. Res. Sc. Teach. Vol. 1981.
- Driver, R. When is a stage not a stage ? A critique of Piaget's theory of Cognitive Development and it's application to Science Education, Educational Research, Vol. 21, 1978.
- Driver, R. and Easley, J. Pupils and paradigms: A review of Literature Related to Concept Development in Adolescent Science Students Studies in Science Education Vol. 5, 1978.

- Dunlop, D.L. and P.A. Passio, Study of Abstract Performance in Problem Solving Tasks and their Relationship to Abstract Ability and Formal Thought. A Paper Presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. Los Angeles, March, 1975.
- Duckworth, E. The Having of Wonderful Ideas, Harvard Educational Review, 1972, Vol. 42.(2) .
- Easley, J.A. (Jr.) The structural Piagetian in Analysis J Res. Sc. Teach. Vol. 11, 1974.
- Easley, J.A. (Jr.) On Clinical studies in Mathematics Education. Columbus, Ohio: ERIC/SMEAC. 1977.
- Elkind, D. Quantity Conceptions in Junior and Senior High School Students. Child Development 3 1961.
- Elkind, D. Quantity Conceptions in College Students. Journal of Social Psychology, 57, 1962.
- Elkind, D. Piaget and Science Education. Reshaping Our School Science Education, Eds. N. Vaidys and J.S. Rajput, Oxford and IHB Publishing Company New Delhi, 1977.
- Emerick, B.B. and Easley, J.A. (Jr.) Constructivist Challenge to the Validity of Formal Operations. A paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Toronto, March, 1978.

- Farrell, P.A. The Formal Stage. A Review of the
Research Journal of Research and Development in
Education. 3 (1) 1969
- Fatima, A. A Study of Relationship Between
Creativity Intelligence Among Middle School
Boys, Unpublished M.A. Thesis. Aligarh, Aligarh
Muslim University, 1971.
- Feldman, L. The Development Approach: Universal
to Unique Essay on Creativity. Crofton-
Hudson, New York: North River Press, 1974.
- Fisher, R.P. The Development of Some Science
Concepts: A Replication of Piaget's Studies.
Unpublished M.A. (honors) Thesis. University of
New England, Armidale, 1962.
- Gallagher, James, J. Teaching the Gifted Child.
Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc. 1964.
- Garg, S. Intellectual and Personality Correlates
of Creativity. Ph.D. Thesis., Punjab University,
1970.
- Gray, W. A Comparison of Piagetian Theory and
Criterion referenced measurement. Review of
Educ. Research, Vol. 45, 1970.
- Garrett, H.L. Statistics in Psychology and Education.
Bombay, Vakil Jeffer and Simons Pvt. Ltd., 1971.
- Gatewood, C. The Science Curriculum Viewed.
Nationally Science Teacher. Vol. 35, 1968.

- Gagne, R.M. The Conditions of Learning. (2nd ed)
New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1970.
- Gatzele, J.W. and Hackson, P.W. Creativity and
Intelligence. New York: Wiley, 1962.
- Graybill, L. Sex Difference in Problem Solving
Ability. Journal of Research in Science
Teaching. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Vol. 12
4 Oct. 1975.
- Griffiths, D.B. The Study of the Cognitive
Development of Science Students in Introductory
Level Courses. Dissertation Abstracts, 34:
7, 1989 A, 1974.
- Gowan, J.C. Development of Creativity: Individual.
San Diego. Robert, R. Inapp. 1972.
- Gowan, J.C. Conceptualization of Creativity:
Relation to Intelligence and Personality
Factors. Unpublished Manuscript, 1973.
- Goyal, R.P. A Study of Some Personality Correlates
of Creativity in Secondary School Teachers
Under Teaching. Unpublished Doctoral Disser-
tation: Punjab University, 1973.
- Guilford, J.P., Creativity, Amer. Psychologist.
1950,
- Guilford, J.P. The Relation of Intellectual Factors
to Creative Thinking, in Taylor, C.W.(ed.)
The 1935 University of Utah Research
Conference on the Identification of Creative
Scientific Talent, Utah, University of Utah
Press, 1956.

- Guilford, J.P. Three Faces of Intellect. American Psychologist. Vol. 14, 1959.
- Guilford, J.P. Potentiality to Creativity. Gifted Child Quarterly. Vol. 6, 1962(a).
- Guilford, J.P. Some Theoretical Views of Creativity, In H. Helson and W. Beven's (eds) Contemporary Approaches to Psychology, N.Y., Van Nostrand, 1961.
- Guilford, J.P. Frames of Reference for Creative Behaviour in Arts. Conference on Creative Behaviour in the Arts. Los Angeles, University of California, 1965.
- Guilford, J.P. The Nature of Human Intelligence, New York, McGraw Hill, 1967.
- Gupta, A.P. Second Order Personality Factors as a Function of Sex and Creativity Among Young Adults. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Alga University, 1975.
- Hammer, L.P. Creativity, N.Y., Random House, 1961.
- Heron, A. and Kroeger, E. The Effect of Training on Uneven Concrete Operational Development in Yugoslav Migrant Children. Selected Proceeding 2nd International Congress of Cross Cultural Psychology. Now Published as A Preliminary Study of the Effects of Training on Uneven Concrete Operational Development in Yugoslav Migrant Children: In Barry, J.W. and Lonner, W.J. (eds) Applied Cross Cultural Psychology Amsterdam, Swets and Zeitlinger, 1974-1975.

- Gillings Frank, A. and A.J.H. Gatte. Chisiveness of Formal Operational Thought. Proceedings 79th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, 1971.
- Hobbs, E.D. Methodological Problems in conservation testing with particular reference to volume conservation, Alberta, Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 21, 1975.
- Hobbs, E.D. Patterns of student beliefs Implications for science teaching, paper presented at the annual meeting, Canadian Educational Research Association, Fredericton, 1977.
- Howe, A. Formal Operational Thought and the High School science Curriculum. Paper Presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching Annual Meeting, Chicago, April, 1974.
- Hollard A.G. Standardization and Cultural Bias as Impediments to the Scientific Study and Validation of Intelligence. J.Res.Dev.ed. 1979 12(2).
- Howe, A.C. and Kierawa J. Promoting the development of logical thinking in the classroom. Journal of Research in science teaching, Vol. 14, 1977.
- Hudson L. Contrary Imagination: A Psychological Study of the English School Boys. London Methuen. 1966.
- Hussain, M.G. Creativity and Sex Difference, Psychological Studies, Vol. 19, No. 2, July 1974.
- Hutchinson, E.D. How to Think Creativity, Nashville. Abingdon Press, 1949.

- Inhelder, B., and J. Piaget. The Early Growth of Logic in the Child. New York: Harpur and Row, 1964.
- Inhelder, B., and J. Piaget. The Growth of Logical Thinking from Childhood to Adolescence. New York : Basic Books, 1958.
- Inigo, Aguirre, De Carser, Dorothy Gabel, and John V. Staver. Implications of Piagetian Research for High School science teaching : A review of the literatures : Sc. Ed. 2(4), 571-584, 1978.
- Ingle, R.D., & Shayer, M. Conceptual demands in Nuffield O level chemistry. Education in Chemistry, Vol. 7, 1970.
- Jain S.C. A Study of Problem Solving Behaviour in Physics Among Certain Groups of Adolescent Pupils. Ph. D. Thesis to be submitted to the University of Rajasthan, 1979.
- Jha, S.K. An Analysis of Certain Dimensions of Creativity. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis M.S. University, Baroda, 1974.
- Joshi, K.L. A study of Creativity and some Personality Traits of Intellectually Gifted High School Students. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, M.S. University of Baroda, 1973.
- Johnson, M. Donald: Systematic Introduction to the Psychology of Thinking. London : Pub. Harper and Row., 1972.

Joseph D. Novak : Editorial comment on Implications
Piagetian research for high school science
teaching : A review of the literatures, Sc.
Ed. 1978.

Juraschek, M.A. The Performance of Prospective
Teachers on Certain Piagetian Tasks.
Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. The
University of Texas: Austin, 1974.

Juraschek, M.A. & Grady, L.T. Formal variation on
equilibrium in the balance, Journal of research
in science teaching, Vol. 18, 1981.

Kahle, J.B. An Analysis of Minority Responses for
the 1976-77, National Assessment of Educational
Progress, Attitudes Towards Science.
Commissioned Paper. Denver, Educational
Commission of the States, 1979.

Lamin, L.J. The Politics of I.C. The Myth of
Measurability, Hart Publishing, New York,
1979.

Karplus, R., and Karplus. Intellectual Development
Beyond Elementary School I. Deductive Logic.
School Science and Mathematics, 5, 1970.

Karplus, R., and R. Peterson. Intellectual Development
Beyond Elementary School II. Ratio, A
Survey 1 School Science and Mathematics, 70,
1970

Keasey, C.T. The Nature of Formal Operations
in Preadolescence, Adolescence and Middle
Age. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation,
University of California, 1970.

- Keats, J.A., Collins, J.F. and et. al. cognitive Development. New York : John Wiley and Sons, 1978.
- Khire, U.S. Creativity in Relation to Personality Factors. Ph. D. Poona, 1971.
- Aline, M. The Liberal Education Values of Mathematics, Science and Technology for Youth. In Addresses and Proceedings. Washington, D.C. National Education Association, 1965.
- Kohlberg, L., and C. Gilligan. The Adolescent as a Philosophers. The Discovery of the Self in a Post Conventional World. Daedalus, 100. 4, 1972.
- Kolodny, Carol Cognitive Development and Science Teaching. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 14(1) Jan. 1977.
- Lawson, A.E. Relationship of Concrete and Formal Operational Science Subject Matter and the Development Level of the Learner. Paper Presented at the National Association of Research in Science Teaching Convention. Chicago, April, 1974.
- Lawson, A.E. and J.W. Renner. A Quantitative Analysis of Responses to Piagetian Tasks and its implications for Curriculum. Science Education, 58. 4. 1974.
- Lawson, A.E. and Renner, J.W. Piagetian Theory and Biology Teaching. Am. Biol. Teach. 1975 36(6).

- Lawson, A.E., Nordland, H.H. and Kahle, J.B. Levels of Intellectual Development and Reading Ability in Disadvantaged Students and the Teaching of Science. Sci. Educ. 1975, 59(1),
- Lawson, A.E., F.H.Nordland and A. Devito. Relationship of Formal Reasoning to Achievement, Aptitude and Attitudes in Preservice Teachers. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 12, 4, 1975c.
- Lawson, A.E. and A.J.D.Blake. Concrete and Formal Thinking Abilities in High School Biology Students Measured by Three Separate Instruments. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 13,3, 1976.
- Lawson, A.E., A.J.D.Blake and F.H.Nordland. Training Effects and Generalization of the Ability to Control Variables in High School Biology Students. Science Education 59, 3, 1975.
- Lawson, A.E., H. Floyd, and J.B.Kahle. Levels of Intellectual Development and Reading Ability in Disadvantaged Students and the Teaching of Science. Science Education. 59, 1, 1975b.
- Lawson, A.E., The Development and Validation of a Classroom Test of Formal Reasoning. J. Res. Sci. Teach. 15(1) 1978.
- Lawrenz, F. Student Perception of the Classroom Learning Environment in Biology, Chemistry and Physics Courses. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 13, July, 1976.

* Lehman, R.A. Effects of Creativity and Intelligence on Field's Goals in Science. Sci. Educ. 1941, 56(1).

Lovell, E. Some Problems Associated with Formal Thought and Its Assessment. In Measurement and Piaget, New York, Mc.Graw Hill, 1976.

Madus, G.P. Divergent Thinking and Intelligence : Another look at a Controversial Question. Journal Educational Measurement, Vol. 40. 1967.

Majumder, S.K. A Study of Divergent Thinking in H.Ed. students in Relation to Their Intelligence, Sex, Academic Achievement and Some Basic Dimensions of Personality. Paper read CIE Delhi. University, 1970.

McKinnon, D.. Genus Architectus, Greater Varietas Americanus. Am. Inst. Architects. J. 1960, Sept. 31-35.

McKinnon, D.. Personality and the Realization of Creative Potential. Am. Psychologist, 28 1963.

McKinnon, D.. The Influence of a College Inquiry Centered Course in Science on Student Entering into the Formal Operational Stage. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. University of Oklahoma, 1970.

McKinnon, D.K. Identifying and Developing Creativity, Selection and Educational Differentiation. University of California, 1961.

McKinnon, J.W. and J.W. Renner. Are College Concerned with Intellectual Development. American Journal of Physics, 39, 1971.

Mehdi, B. Creativity and Intelligence : The Evidence of Present Research. The Educational Trends Vol. 1-4, Jan-Oct. 1973.

Mehdi, B. Creativity, Intelligence and Achievement : A Correlational Study. Psychological Studies. 1977.

Mehdi B. (Ed.) Creativity in Teaching and Learning. Mysore, NCE(India), 1977.

Mihalevic, B. Et.al. Individual Differences in the Genesis of young Children's Creativity. Revista de Psihologie. Vol. 19(2), 1973,

Moravcsik, L.J. Creativity in science education, sc. Ed., Vol. 65(2), P.P. 221-242, 1981.

Nagy, Philip and Alan K. Griffiths: Limitations of recent Research Relating Piaget's theory to Adolescent thought, Review of Educational research, Vol. 52(4) winter, 1982.

Nash, John : Developmental Psychology: prentice hall, Inc; Englewood cliffs, New Jersey.

Nicholls, J.G. Creativity in the Person, who will never Produce Anything Original and Useful; The Concept of Creativity as a Normally Distributed Traits. American Psychologists, Vol. 27, 1972,

Nordlund, F., A.E. Lawson and J.B. Kahle. A Study of Levels of Concrete and Formal Reasoning Ability in Disadvantaged Junior and Senior High School Science Students. Science Education. 58,4, 1974.

- per, S., Cited in Lazen, P.R. (Ed.) Piagetian Psychology. Cross Cultural Study Contributions. New York: To be Published by Gardner Press, 1976.
- tie, A. and Lennon, R. Otis. Lennon Mental Ability Test Manual for Administration. New York: Harcourt Brace, 1968.
- achaury, A.C. Predictive Ability of Men and Women Science Teachers. The Progress of Education 6, 1975.
- achaury, A.C. Conservation Concepts in Pre-service Science Teachers. Educational Trends, 11, 1976.
- Paramesh, S.R. Value Orientation of Creative Persons. Psychological Studies. Vol. 15, No. 2, 1970.
- Paramesh, C.R. Creativity, Intelligence and Academic Achievement. The Educational Trends, Vol. 18, Jan. Oct. 1973.
- Paramesh, C.R. Creativity, Intelligence and Vocational Interest. Indian Journal of Psychology, Vol. 51, No. 3, 1976.
- Parook, Creativity, Indian Educational Review. Vol. VI No. 2, 1971.
- Passi, M.R. Definition of Creativity: A Review Stud., Creativity Newsletter, Vol. 2, No. 2, 1973.
- Pathak, P. Experimental Study of Creativity and Intelligence and Social Achievement. Psychological Studies. No. 7, 1961.

- Pearce, J.C. *Magical Child*. New York: E.P. Dutton. 1977.
- Piaget, J. *Intellectual Evaluation from Adolescence to Adulthood*. *Human Development*. 15, 1972.
- Piaget, J. *Science of Education and Psychology of the Child*. New York: Basic Books. 1970.
- Raina, B.K. *A Study of some Correlates of Creativity in Indian Students*. Unpublished Doctoral Thesis. Rajasthan University, 1968
- Raina, B.K. *Creativity Research in India Analysis*. *The Journal of Creative Behaviour*. Vol. 3 1969.
- Raina, B.K. *Verbal Non-Verbal Creative Thinking Ability : A Study in Sex Difference*. *Journal Education and Psychology*, 1970.
- Raina, B.K. *Research on Creative Functioning in India. A Review*. *Rev. Indian Ed.* 6. 1971.
- Raina, B.K. *Creativity: An Annotated Bibliography*. *Faculty of Education and Psychology*. U.S. University of Baroda. 1972.
- Raina, B.K. *Creativity Research in Cross Cultural Prospective*. *Indian Educational Review*. Vol. 8, 1971.
- Ravess, J.W. and D.G. Stafford. *Teaching Science in the Secondary School*. Harper and Row. New York. 1972.
- Randall, D.L. *Examination of the Hereditary Assumptions Underlying Piaget's Theory of the Development of Intellectual Structure*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Colorado, 1967.

Raven, H.J. Programming Piaget's Logical Operations for Science Inquiry and Concept Attainment. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 11, 1974.

Raven, H. and Polanski, H. Relationships Among Piaget's Logical Operations. Science Content Comprehension. Critical Thinking and Creativity. *Sci. Educ.* 1974.

Raven, H.J. and H. Guerin. Quasi-Simplex Analysis of Piaget's Operative Structures and Stages. *Science Education*. 59 1975.

Rawat, H.S. and Agarwal, S. A Study of Creative Thinking with Reference to Intelligence, Age, Sex, Communities and Income-Groups, *Indian Psychological Review*. Vol. 14, No. 2, 1977.

Rai, Chaudhary, Manas, Creativity and Personality. *Indian Psychological Review*. Vol. 2, 1966.

Rawston, M.E. Creativity: A Review of Theory and Research. The Creativity Educational Foundation. 1973.

Rogers, C.R. Toward a Theory of Creativity, In Anderson, H.H. (Ed.) *Creativity and its Cultivation*. N.Y. Harper, 1959.

Rouse, M.B. Teaching Science as Continuous Inquiry a basic book. New York: McGraw Hill, 1978.

Sandhu, I. Relationship of Creativity with Over-Under Achievement in Science (High School Boys) Unpublished M.Ed. Dissertation. Rajasthan University, 1975.

- Saxena, M. A Comparative Study of Traditional Versus Advanced Curricular Model of Cognitive Learning and Science Teaching in relation to Achievement and Creativity of Elementary Level. Unpublished M.Ed. Dissertation, Rajasthan University, 1980.
- Seitz, G.L. The Relationship Between Creativity And Intelligence, Personality and Value Patterns of Adolescence. Dissertation Abstr. 25 ; 3679, Dec.1964.
- Schubel, R. Formal Operations in First-Year College Students. Journal of Psychology, 1973.
- Sharma, K.B. Creative as Function of Intelligence, Interest and Culture. Ph.D. Thesis. Agra University, 1972.
- Sharma, V.P. Anatomy of Creativity. Raipur, Psycholingu Publication, 1972.
- Sharma, K.B. Dynamics of Creativity. Agra, National Psychological Corporation, 1979.
- Shayer, M. and Sylam, H. The Distribution of Piagetian Stage of Thinking in British Middle and Secondary School Children II- 14/16 Years old and Sex Differentials. The British Journal of Educational Psychology, Scottish Academic Press, Vol. 48, Part I, Feb., 1978.
- Sharma, K.B. A Study of Creative Talent Among Certain Groups of Students. Unpublished M.Ed. Dissertation. Rajasthan University 1979.

- . Sheehan, D. The Effectiveness of Concrete and Formal Instructional Procedures with Concrete and Formal Operational Students. Ann. Arbor, Michigan. University Microfilms, 1970-
- Shaker, G.P. Creativity of Adults in Light of Piagetian Theory. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Ohio. Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, 1972.
- Slagden, W.H. Creative Imagination. American Journal of Review. Vol. VI, No. 2, July 1971.
- Sinha, D. Some Social Disadvantages and Development of Certain Perceptual Skills. Indian Journal of Psychology. Vol. 52, 1977.
- Singh, D. Scientific Creativity and Personality. Agra. National Psychological Corporation, 1981.
- Srinastava, S.S. Creativity as Related to Birth Order and of Siblings. Indian Psychological Review. Vol. 14, No. 2, 1977.
- Subudhirn, S. A Correlation Study Between Science Cognitive Achievement of Thai Secondary Students and Their Performance on the Piagetian Task Instrument. (University of Northern Colorado, 1977) Dissertation Abstracts International. 38(2): 716-A, Aug. 1971.
- Sultan, E.E. A Factorial Study in the Domain of Creative Thinking. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 1962.
- Sutman, I.S. Mass Education and the New Science. Science Education. 50, 1966.

Taylor, C.W. and Holland J.L. Development and Application of Tests of Creativity. R. Educ. Vol. 32, 1962

Taylor, C.W. (Ed.) Creativity: Progress and Potential, New York. Mc.Graw Hill Inc. 1964.

Thorndike, L.L. The Measurement of Creativity. Teacher College Record, Vol. 64, 1963b,

Thorndike, L.L. Some Methodological Issues in the Study of Creativity. In Cardner E.P. (ed.) Proceeding of 1962 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems, Princeton EITX, 1963 b.

Torrance, L.P. Explorations in Creative Thinking the Mental Hygiene IV Need : Characteristics of More Creative Mental Students. Research Memo LLL 6-0-b. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota, 1960.

Torrance, L.P., Primary Creative Thinking in the Primary Grades. Elementary School Journal. Vol. 62, 1961.

Torrance, L.P. Guiding Creative Talent, N.J. Prentice Hall, Inc. 1962.

Torrance, L.P. Education and the Creative Potential, Minneapolis University of Minnesota Press, 1963.

Torrance, L.P. Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (Verbal and Figural), Princeton, Personnel Press, 1966.

- Torrance, E.P. Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking
Norms Technical Manual, Rev. Edition, N.J.
Personnel Press, 1966.
- Torrance, E.P. Understanding the Fourth Grade Slump
in Creative Thinking, U.S.O.F. Report, 1967.
- Torrs, G. Some Observations on the Creative Process
Perceptual Motor Skills, Vol. 31, 1970,
- Towler, J.O. and G. Wheatley. Conservation
Concepts in College Students : A Replication
and Critique. The Journal of Genetic
Psychology, 1971.
- Tripathi, S.N. Creativity in Education. Bhopal,
Regional College of Education, 1969.
- Upadhyay, S.P. A Study of Intellectual Development
and its Relationship with Intelligence and
Achievement of XI Grade Science Pupils.
M.Ed. Dissertation. Rajasthan University,
1978.
- Vaidya, N. Problem Solving in Science, New Delhi,
S. Chand and Co. 1968.
- Vaidya, N. A Study of Problem Solving in Science
Among Certain Groups of Adolescent Pupils,
M.A.(Ed.) Thesis. Institute of Education,
London, 1964.
- Vaidya, N. Some Aspects of Piaget's Works and
and Science Teaching. New Delhi, S. Chand
and Co. 1971.
- Vaidya, N. A Study of Some Aspect of Thinking
Among Science Students of Adolescent Age,
Ph.D. Thesis, Raj. University, 1974.

- Vaidya, N. The Growth of logical Thinking in
Science During Adolescence, New Delhi.
Oxford and IWH Publishing Co., 1979.
- Vaidya, N. Concept formation, Ajmer, Regional
College of Education, 1980.
- Vaidya, N. Researches on Adolescent Thought, Ajmer
Regional College of Education, Ajmer. '
- Vernon, J.E. Creativity. Bungay, Suffolk,
Richard Clay Ltd., 1970.
- Wallach, J.A. and Logan, N. Modes of Thinking in
Young Children A Study of the Creativity-
Intelligence Distinction. New York: Holt,
Rinehart and Winston, 1965.
- Wallas, G. The Art of Thought. New York: Watts.,
1976.
- Wells, G.S. Perspectives in the Study of Creativity.
J. Creat. Behav. 1973;7(4),
- Whewell, Allen, E. and Heidi, K. Proportional
Reasoning in Introductory High School
Chemistry: Paper Presented at the Annual
Meeting of the National Association for
Research in Science Teaching. Cincinnati,
Ohio, 1977.
- Wollan, M. et.al. The Meaning of Formal Thinking
and Its Relation to Science Teaching.
California AARSF, 49th Annual Meeting, 1976.
- Wynn, K. Creativity and Intellect; Review
of Current Research and Projection,
Presented at Minnesota Psychological
Association, Minneapolis, 1961.

- Yamamoto, K. Role of Creative Thinking and Intelligence in High School Achievement, Pa. Biology Report. 1964. 734-59.
- Yamamoto, K. A further Analysis of the Role of Creative Thinking in High School Achievement Journ l of Psychology, Vol. 58, 1964. ,
- Yamamoto, K. Threshold of Intelligence in Academic Achievement of High Creative Students. Journal of Experimental Education, Vol. 34, 1964.
- Yamamoto, K. Effect of Restriction of Range and Test Unreliability of Correlation Between Measures of Intelligence and Creative Thinking. Br. J. Edu. Psychology Vol. 35, 1965.
- Yamamoto, K. Does Teacher Creativity Make a Difference in Pupil Learning, Elementary School Journal, Vol. 67, No. 5, 1967.

SUMMARY

[illegible]

**"RELATIONSHIP OF I. TELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT
WITH CREATIVITY, ACHIEVEMENT AND SOCIO-
ECONOMIC STATUS OF XI-GRADE SCIENCE
STUDENTS**

INTRODUCTION :

The researches on the development of intellect and Creative expression of children are gradually becoming an important areas of concern for educators and psychologist. Cognitive development work is always associated with Jean Piaget, the ~~Chin~~ advocate of Geneva School of Thought, while the significant and recent upsurge of interest in creativity and creative thinking is primarily a result of Guilford's work.

However, the attention has often been divided. Piaget stressed the need for reasoning skills necessary to an adequate understanding of concepts. In contrast the proponents of creativity have often stressed the need for divergent thinking or even the irrational thinking for attainment of new and novel ideas.

Piaget by use of symbolic logic has initiated a long term programme to chart the stages of child's progress toward adult model of thought. The order of

succession of these stages is invariable. These stages are characterised by overall structures in terms of 'Schemes' or 'Operations', which are integrative and non-interchangable. He has defined four stages of cognitive development the sensori-motor, pre-operational Concrete operational and the formal operational stage.

Piaget says that children develop their thinking through interaction with their environments and pass through various stages before reaching maturity in their thinking and development. All children pass through stages of development invariably in the given order, but the age at which any stage will be reached depends upon factors within the individual i.e. biological and psychological and upon factors in the social and physical environment.

Piaget's theory of cognitive development has much relevance to the concept of creativity. The very principles that Piaget defines as basic to the process of intelligence are also related to the creative process. He described a process whereby creativity and intelligence nourish each other, and through their interaction, produce

intelligent activity at even more advance levels.

IN DEFENCE OF THE STUDY;

One of the crippling obstacles in the path of development is the fact that quantity is almost always more obvious, more visible, more conspicuous than quality. The stress on evolving the students general capabilities as a formulator and solver of problem rather than his ability to serve as a depository of facts is especially important in the context of a developing country.

However, little research efforts seem to have been made to study the influence of intellectual development on the development of creativity. It is, especinlly, a virgin field with regard to the study of adolescents' understanding of the science studies vis-a-vis their socio-economic background and the learning environments in which they are placed.

The present investigator could find a few studies only like that of stoker (1972), Reven and Polanki(1974), Lehman et.al.(1980) indicating the possibility of relationship between level of cognitive development and creativity. At home

(India) most of the researches focussed on the studies of cognito and non-cognito factors of creativity, only a few studies have attempted to investigate the relationship between hypotheses testing ability in problem solving and creativity (Nishra 1973, Vaidya 1975; Grewal 1978; Jain 1981) by using Piagetian tasks. Need for an indepth study of possible relationships of intellectual development of the adolescent science students with their creative performance taking into account the academic achievement and some important environmental factors both at home and in school is self-evident. The present piece of research attempts to fill in this gap.

Purpose of the Study

The study of XIgrade science students was taken up with the following objectives:

1. To classify the rural and urban students on the basis of various levels of intellectual development.
2. To identify boys and girls at different levels of intellectual development.

3. To identify the science students of XI grade according to their levels of intellectual development and categorise them into (i) concrete operational (ii) transitional operational and (iii) formal operational thinkers.
4. To classify the scheduled caste and non-scheduled caste students on the basis of various levels of intellectual development.
5. To find out the relationships between various levels of intellectual development with verbal, non-verbal and creativity scores.
7. To find out relationships between parents' education and intellectual development of students.
8. To find out the relationship between parents' occupations and intellectual development of students.
10. To study the impact of family size on intellectual development of students.
11. To compare the sex difference on creativity scores at different levels of intellectual development.

12. To compare the rural and urban students at various levels of intellectual development on the basis of creativity scores.
13. To compare the government and government aided students at various levels of intellectual development on the basis of creativity scores.
14. To establish relationship between the levels of intellectual development, viz (i) concrete operational, (ii) transitional operational and (iii) formal operational, and achievement in (a) science subjects (b) mathematics and (c) aggregate scores of all school subjects.
15. To compare boys-girls, government-aided, rural-urban and general-SC/ST groups on the basis of their achievement in (i) Mathematic, (ii) Science and (iii) Aggregate achievement.
16. To compare general and SC/ST students with regard to (i) Education of father and mother (ii) Occupation of father and mother (iii) Parents' income and (iv) Size of the family.

A S S U M P T I O N S

The present piece of research rests on the following assumptions which helped in formulating and executing the plan of the study.

1. The students of Government and Government aided institutions come from almost similar backgrounds and also these schools are comparable so far as the learning environment and facilities are concerned.
2. The statements of students regarding parents income on the General Information Questionnaire have been considered to be the realistic measure of parents' income/education although not fully authenticated.
3. In the present investigation only three components of creativity viz. Fluency, flexibility and Originality have been taken into account. Elaboration has, however, not been considered appropriate in the present context.

4. Rural/Urban and government/aided school nomenclature has been adopted from the list of schools provided by the Delhi Administration.
5. Scheduled caste have been treated on the basis of students' disclosure.
6. Group assessment of logical thinking by Michael J. Padilla et.al. has been used to measure the intellectual development in both English and Hindi. In Hindi version the institutions and names of persons objects were changed. The usability of the test was, however, ascertained by way of experts' judgement.
8. Creativity has been measured with the Hindi version of Torrance Test of Creative Thinking (TTCT), which is already in use in India.
9. Class X public examination marks have been considered as a measure of scholastic achievement of students.

10. Assumption underlying statistical techniques used would naturally constitute the basis for drawing conclusions for the present study.

METHODOLOGY

The focus of the study has been on studying the relationship of intellectual development with creativity, achievement and socio-economic status of grade XI science students. The study was conducted through normative testing survey method following the cross-sectional approach, as such the nature of the study has been correlational type. Besides studying the relationships between intellectual development and various dimensions of creativity, an attempt was also made to study the effect of type of schools, sex, parents, education, parents' occupations, parents' income, size of the family and environmental influence on intellectual development. Comparisons among scheduled caste/ scheduled tribes and general category students were also attempted vis-a-vis their intellectual development. Creativity and achievement of the student were also studied with regard to sex, environment and type of schools at various levels of their intellectual development.

SAMPLING

THE sample of the present study consisted of (656 boys and 370 girls) students offering science subjects at grade XI, drawn from the senior secondary schools of Union Territory, Delhi. All subjects belonged to age group (15-17) years. Stratified cluster sampling technique (Festinger and Katz, 1970) was employed.

DELIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The present study was delimited with regard to its area, method, sampling, tools and statistical techniques. These are presented below:

1. The study has focused on the relationship of intellectual development and creativity achievement and socio-economic status has been conducted through normative testing survey method. The intellectual development has been undertaken at three stages namely (i) Concrete operational (ii) Transitional operational and (iii) Formal operational.
2. Group assessment of logical thinking, Torrence Test of creative thinking and general information questionnaire were administered on boys and girls of government and aided schools of rural and urban area.

HYPOTHESIS

In accordance with the objectives of the study following hypotheses were formulated:

1. Majority of the science adolescent students are at formal operational level of intellectual development
2. Percentage of both Sexes different levels of intellectual development are equal in government and aided schools.
3. Percentage of both general and SC/ST categories students are equal at different levels of intellectual development.
4. There is no significant relationship between levels of intellectual development and creativity
5. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development and creativity in urban and rural sample
6. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls
7. There is no significant relationship between components of creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls of urban and rural areas.

8. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students of government and aided schools
9. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of students of government and aided schools in urban and rural areas.
10. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls studying in government and aided schools in urban and rural areas.
11. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students .
12. There is no significant relationship between creativity and levels of intellectual development of students of urban and rural areas .
13. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of boys and girls.
14. There is no significant relationship between creativity and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools.

15. There is no significant relationship between creativity and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students.
16. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement
17. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics science and aggregate achievement and intellectual development of students.
18. There is no significant relationship between achievement (in science, mathematics and aggregate) and intellectual development of boys and girls in government and aided schools or urban and rural areas.
19. There is no significant relationship between achievement (in mathematics, science and aggregate) and intellectual development of general and SC/ST students.
20. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics and levels of intellectual development of science students.

21. There is no significant relationship between achievement in mathematics and intellectual development of students in government and aided schools of urban and rural areas.
22. There is no significant relationship between achievement in science and intellectual development of boys and girls.
23. There is no significant relationship between achievement in science and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools .
24. There is no significant relationship between levels of intellectual development of the students with their aggregate achievement scores.
25. There is no significant relationship between aggregate achievement and levels of intellectual development of students of government and aided schools.
26. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and education of parents.
27. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of the students and occupation of parents.

28. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and their parents' income.
29. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of students and the size of family .
30. There is no significant relationship between intellectual development of general and SC/ST students and their socio-economic back ground.
31. There is no significant difference of creativity among the students at concrete, transitional and formal level of intellectual development .
32. There is no significant difference of creativity among boys and girls of rural urban and total sample.
33. There is no significant difference of creativity among the students of government and aided schools.
34. There is no significant difference of creativity among general and SC/ST students.
35. There is no significant differences among boys and girls, students of government and aided, students of general and SC/ST category, and urban and rural sample for their achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement.

51

Level Of Intellectual Development

- Formal operational level was not attained by majority of the adolescent science students.
- Majority of the students are at transitional level of intellectual development.
- In urban group percentage of students at formal operational level was higher than their counterparts in rural areas.
- Percentage of concrete operational thinker students was higher in rural areas than that of urban areas.
- At transitional operational level of intellectual development percentage of girls was slightly higher against boys in urban and total sample.
- Boys of aided schools were found in advantageous position to attain formal operational level against boys studying in government schools in urban and rural settings.
- Students of aided schools were found more at formal operational level against boys studying in government schools in urban and rural settings.

- Percentages of boys reached at concrete operational level of intellectual development was higher in case of aided schools against government schools in both urban and rural areas.
- Percentages of boys at transitional operational level was higher in government schools than that of aided schools. While reverse was true for girls in urban areas.
- Percentage of general students at formal operation level was higher against SC/ST students in urban, rural and total sample.

11 RELATIONSHIP

Intellectual Development with Creativity

- Creativity components namely, fluency, flexibility and originality were found to show higher relationship at formal level of intellectual development as compared to other two levels of students.
- Intellectual development of urban students was relatively more positively associated with various component of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity as against their rural counterparts.

- Intellectual development significantly related with creativity amongst boys and girls.
- Verbal creativity of subjects (boys and girls) was more closely associated with intellectual development than non-verbal creativity irrespective of their being in rural or urban schools.
- Intellectual development of students studying in aided schools was found to have relatively more positive association with various components of non-verbal, verbal and total creativity than their government school counterparts.
- Boys and girls of urban area belonging to government, and aided schools were at advantage with regards to both creativity and intellectual development than their rural counterparts.
- General category students excelled than SC/ST counterparts both on non-verbal and verbal creativity vis-a-vis their intellectual development in rural as well as urban schools.
- Levels of intellectual development and components of creativity were progressing

- Boys at concrete and formal level of intellectual development were found to be more creative than girls.
- Levels of intellectual development of both sexes were found related with non-verbal verbal and total creativity.

Intellectual Development with Achievement in
Mathematics, Science and Aggregate Achievement.

- Degree of abstractness at high school science increases with the study of mathematics to science.
- Boys were found higher with regard to achievement in science and aggregate as compared with their girls counterparts at the same level of intellectual development.
- The girls were at advantageous position than boys in mathematics achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.
- Achievement of students were related with their intellectual development in both type of schools in urban and rural areas.
- General category candidates appear to be

advantageous positions as against SC/ST

advantageous positions as against SC/ST

candidates with regard to achievement vis-a-vis intellectual development.

- Environment of aided schools was found more favourable for students at concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development for their achievement in mathematics than that of government school students.
- In aided schools of urban area and total sample concrete and formal operational levels of intellectual development was higher related with achievement in science than their government schools counterparts.

Intellectual Development of the Students and socio-Economic Status of Parents

- Contribution of mothers' education was higher than that of fathers' education towards intellectual development of children and that it had relatively more influence on the children of the same sex as the parents.

- Higher occupation of parents leads to the likelihood of attaining formal operation level by the children and vice-versa.
- Higher occupations of mothers' contribute more in intellectual development of the children as compared with fathers' contribution in case of urban children.
- Contribution of parents' income was higher towards intellectual development of the boys than that of girls.
- Children belonging to small sized family appear to derive more advantage with regards to their intellectual development.
- Girls from bigger families seem to be relatively more disadvantageous than boys with regards to their intellectual development.
- Parents' occupation was slightly more associated with intellectual development of the general students as compared to SC/ST students in total sample
- Relationship of Parents' income with intellectual development was higher in case of general students than that of their SC/ST counterparts.

- Bigger family size hampers the intellectual development of students of general category in relatively greater magnitude than SC/ST students.

III DIFFERENCE

Creativity and Intellectual Development

- In urban and total samples formal level students were superior to transitional level students who were inturn superior to concrete level students when compared on various components of verbal, non-verbal and total creativity.
- In rural area formal level students were found to be highest on verbal , non-verbal and total originality than that of other two levels of intellectual development.
- Formal operational level girls were found to be better at higher level than boys with regard to verbal, fluency, verbal originality and verbal creativity and both urban and total sample.

- Formal operational level appeared to distinguish between students of government and aided schools in various components of verbal and non-verbal and total creativity where 'urban' aided school students maintained their superiority all through.

- Boys , students of aided schools, general students and urban sample were significantly better than that of their, girls students of government schools, SC/ST students and rural counterparts, with regard to achievement in mathematics, science and aggregate achievement, however, difference between boys and girls in achievement in science was not significant.

Suggestions for Further Research

It is felt that the subject under study needs further researchers. Some of the factor like intelligence and personality, which have not been included in this investigation, may be take up for further study the present study provides dimensions for further research needed in the following areas:

The study needs to be replicated on a large sample to confirm the findings of the present study.

An investigation into Factors/Conditions affecting the levels of intellectual development.

Piagetian Tasks and other Tests for assessing the logical Operations be used and the results may be compared with the present study.

A study of Tests content Analysis between Piagetian Tasks and Creativity Test Tasks may be attempted, using Factor analytical approach.

Study of Intellectual development and Creativity may be repeated by controlling the effect of intelligence.

Intelligence, culture and Personality effects on the Adolescent Thought may be undertaken for future investigation.

1

2

3

ONLY FOR RESEARCH WORK.

सामूहिक परीक्षण- तार्किक विचार प्रीकमा

GROUP TEST OF LOGICAL THINKING
(G A L T)

Developed by ;
Venitpe Roadrongska
Russell H. Yeany
Michael J. Padilla*
University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia.

Translated by :

Santosh Kumar

TEST BOOKLET
परीक्षण - पुस्तिका

कृपया इस परीक्षण-पुस्तिका पर कुछ भी न लिखें ।


निर्देश:

1. इस परीक्षण पुस्तिका में कुल 12 विषयों पर चर्चा की गई है ।
2. विषय 1-10 तक में प्रयोग चर्चा के दाव एक प्रश्न पूछा गया है , जिसके नीचे सम्बंधित उत्तर दिये गये हैं । जिनमें से केवल एक ही सही है तथा सम्बंधित उत्तरों के नीचे कुछ कारण दिये गये हैं ।
3. आठवें विषय 1-10; प्रत्येक को क्रम से पढ़कर सम्बंधित उत्तरों में से सर्वोत्तम उत्तर का चयन करना है तथा उस उत्तर को चयन करने के कारण को चुनना है ।
4. आठ चुने गये सम्बंधित उत्तर के वर्ण (अ, ब, स, द) एवं उसके चुने जाने के कारण की सहा (1, 2, 3, 4) को मिलाकर दिये गये उत्तर पृष्ठ पर विषय के सामने दिये गये स्थान पर लिखिये ।
5. विषय 11 में, सम्बंधित जोड़ी तथा विषय 12 में सम्बंधित क्रमों को सहीकर उत्तर पृष्ठ पर दिये गये स्थानों पर लिखिये ।

विधा : 1

मिट्टी का टुकड़ा

राम के पास दो मिट्टी की गेंदे हैं। वे दोनों जकार सब आकृति में समान हैं।
जब उसने उन्हें रस्सि के पतड़ों पर रखा तो उनका भार भी समान निकला।



मिट्टी का टुकड़ा - 1 मिट्टी का टुकड़ा - 2



जब उसने मिट्टी की गेंदों को काटे के पतड़ों से अलग हटा लिया तथा दूसरी गेंदों को प्लेट के समान चपटा कर लिया।

प्रश्न: निम्न में से कौन सा कथन सत्य है ?

1. प्लेट की आकृति के मिट्टी के टुकड़े का भार अधिक है।
2. दोनों मिट्टी के टुकड़ों का भार समान है।
3. गेंद की आकृति के मिट्टी के टुकड़े का भार अधिक है।

कारण: 1.

1. न तो और मिट्टी को मिलाया गया है और न ही मिट्टी निकाली गयी है।
2. जब मिट्टी की गेंद - 2 को प्लेट आकृति के समान चपटा किया तो इसका क्षेत्रफल अधिक हो गया।
3. जब किसी वस्तु को चपटा किया जाता है तो इसका भार कम हो जाता है।
4. इसके घनत्व के कारण, गोल गेंद में अधिक मिट्टी है।

दीता है पात्र की बात है। है समान आकार तथा गहराई के है। दोनों में समान मात्रा में पानी भरा गया है।



उन्हीं घा. दो समान पात्रों के घड़, भा. भी है। दोनों में एक दवा तथा दूसरा पानी है।


दवा घा. पात्र



पानी घा. पात्र



यह दवा घा. पात्र की आकृति में दखनी है। किन्तु आकृति में पानी का तल यह पता है जो कि इस प्रकार दिखाई देता है।



जब: दवा घा. भा. की गहराई में दवा घा. का होता है।

अ. पानी का तल आकृति - 1 का जैसा कि ऊपर बताया है।

क. पानी का तल आकृति - 1 का जैसा कि ऊपर बताया है।

ख. पानी का तल आकृति - 1 के समान है।

नोट:


1. दोनों पात्र समान आकार के है जब: के समान मात्रा में समान पानी है।
2. किन्तु जैसा घड़, भा. में पानी जैसा उतना ही जैसा पानी का तल होगा।
3. दवा घा. भा. का दवा जैसा, ऐसा है, जब: पानी का तल कम होगा।
4. किन्तु जैसा घड़, भा. पानी जैसा उतना ही कम पानी का तल होगा।

पिस्तरी-आकार

चित्र में दो दिक्कत दिखाने गये हैं जिनमें एक छोटा तथा दूसरा बड़ा है।
यहाँ पर दो आकारों दिखाने गये हैं जिनमें एक छोटा तथा दूसरा बड़ा है।

छोटा गिलास

बड़ा गिलास



बड़ा आर



छोटा आर

बड़े आर को पूरा करने के लिए 10 छोटे गिलास या 9 बड़े गिलास पानी की आवश्यकता होती है। छोटे आर को पूरा करने के लिए 10 छोटे गिलास पानी की आवश्यकता होती है।

प्रश्न: इस छोटे आर को पूरा करने के लिए कितने बड़े गिलास पानी की आवश्यकता होती है?

- अ. 4
ब. 5
स. 6
द. अन्य

हल:

1. छोटा आर भरने के लिए पहले छोटे गिलास पानी की कितनी आवश्यकता होती है। इसलिए इस आर को भरने के लिए पहले बड़े गिलास पानी की कितनी आवश्यकता होगी।
2. छोटे आर के गिलास में अनुपात 5:9 का होगा।
3. छोटा गिलास आकार में बड़े गिलास का आधा है अतः छोटे आर को भरने के लिए, छोटे गिलासों की संख्या का लगभग आधा बड़े गिलास पानी चाहिए।
4. यहाँ पर अनुमान करना संभव नहीं है।

दीया है वह भी इसे जो कर है उसका अनुमान है ।

1. निम्नलिखित में से सही उत्तर चुनिए ।

यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?

1. 1/2 भाग
2. 1/3 भाग
3. 1/4 भाग
4. 1/5 भाग

सही उत्तर है

उत्तर : दीया को दो भागों में बाँट दिया तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?


- 1/2 भाग
- 1/3 भाग
- 1/4 भाग
- 1/5 भाग
- 1/6 भाग

उत्तर :

- यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?
- यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?
- यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?
- यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?
- यदि हम एक वस्तु को दो भागों में बाँट दें तो प्रत्येक भाग का मूल्य क्या होगा ?

होरी - लम्बाई

एक हथकड़ी को तीन होरीयों से लटक रही है। होरी संख्या 1 और 3 समान लम्बाई की हैं। होरी संख्या 2 लम्बी है। मोहन ने होरी संख्या 3 के अंत में पेंसिल-बॉक्स भार डालके देखा एक कस-कसई भार होरी संख्या 2 से लटक रहा। प्रत्येक होरी भार के अंत में कुछ ही लम्बाई है।



मोहन अब पता लगाता चाहता है कि क्या होरी की लम्बाई इसके जगह-पोंछे दूतों के कम जगह पर समान डालती है। इसको सात करने के लिये, वह प्रयोग करके पता लगाने चाहता है कि होरी तथा भार के अपने प्रयोग के लिए उपयोग करेगा ?

- क. होरी संख्या 1 और 2
- ख. होरी संख्या 1 और 3
- ग. होरी संख्या 2 और 3
- घ. होरी संख्या 1, 2 और 3
- च. केवल होरी संख्या 2

उत्तर: 1. होरीयों की लम्बाई समानता या अमानता होने परीक्षण करें।

2. निम्नलिखित लम्बाईयों को निम्नलिखित भारों के साथ परीक्षण करना चाहिए।

3. सभी होरीयों और उनके भारों का एक दूसरे के सापेक्ष परीक्षण करना चाहिए।

4. केवल सबसे लम्बी होरी के साथ परीक्षण करना चाहिए; क्योंकि प्रयोग का अर्थ यह है कि भार के नहीं।

5. लम्बाई के अतिरिक्त इसी चीजें समान होनी चाहिए सभी साथ पता लगा सकते हैं कि लम्बाई का कुछ उभाव पड़ता है।

इसका टाइटल बदल दिया गया है : "संस्कृत भाषा के विकास का इतिहास"।



सभी अधिकार दुबड़े अंग्रेजों, जाकारों, जाकरों के हाथों में हैं। सभी अधिकार दुबड़े अंग्रेजों, जाकारों, जाकरों के हाथों में हैं।

३. **कृष्णगो** पड़ते जिहा हुन्हेमे **कुगो** उमे हो निकाल लेमिए । कब तमह ले —

प्रश्न-४: एक, एकदम अज्ञानपूर्ण या एक, शरीर स्वभावपूर्ण के मिश्रित जाने की क्या सम्भावनाएँ हैं ?

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 機 | 機 |
| 2 | 原 | 原 |
| 3 | 所 | 所 |
| 4 | 名 | 名 |
| 5 | 一 | 一 |
| 6 | 一 | 一 |

 文部科学省

1. कुल प्रयोग दुकानों में से ज्ञात दुकानें परीक्षा या समीक्षा हैं।
2. परीक्षा दुकानों की संख्या का 4/7 तथा समीक्षा दुकानों की संख्या का 3/8 अनुपात में दुकानों का है।
3. कुल प्रयोग दुकानों में से ज्ञात दुकानें अनुपात में हैं।
4. यदि वह ज्ञात कुल प्रयोग दुकानों में से एक अनुपात में दुकानें का अनुपात जाना अनुपात है।
5. इस यदि वह दो अनुपात में दुकानें हैं। अतः इनमें से एक को अनुपात में जाना अनुपात है।

एक विज्ञान में अपने क्षेत्र में रहने वाले पुरो को अवलोकन किया । अपने पास कि पुरे का की विशेषता बताते हैं । अपने बाद की पुरो को पूरा या तो काले अक्षरों से है ।

एक अवलोकन में ही अवलोकन में इस विद्या और कीकी अवलोकन करने के अवलोकन तथा उनकी पूरा के रूप में अवलोकन ही अवलोकन है । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन की अवलोकन करने के रूप में अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने के रूप में अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया ।

अब अब अवलोकन है कि पुरो के अवलोकन तथा उनकी पूरा के रूप में अवलोकन है । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया ।

२. दो

३. नदी

आवृत्ति : दो पुरो की अवलोकन का ३/११ की और पुरो की अवलोकन का ३/४ की अवलोकन है ।

४. दोरे का अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया ।

५. नदी अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया । अवलोकन करने के बाद उनकी अवलोकन करने का निर्णय किया ।

६. १० पुरो की अवलोकन पूरा तथा १२ पुरो की अवलोकन पूरा है ।

७. १२ पुरो दोरे हैं तथा ३ पुरो पुरो हैं ।

मोटे पुरे

काकी पूरा


सोद पूरा

पतले पुरे

काकी पूरा

सोद पूरा

एक संस्कृत कथा कहती है कि एक बार एक राजा ने अपने बेटों को सिखाया कि
 अपने दोस्त को कैसे पहचानें। राजा ने अपने बेटों को कहा कि अपने दोस्त को पहचानने के लिए
 दो बातें देखनी होंगी। पहली बात यह कि वह व्यक्ति तुम्हारे साथ कैसे व्यवहार करता है।
 दूसरी बात यह कि वह तुम्हारे दुःख में तुम्हारे साथ कैसे व्यवहार करता है।



आनंद (१) शीतल (२) लीला (३)

रीता (४) सीमा (५) नीमा (६)


राजा के लिए एक संस्कृत कथा है, जिसका अर्थ है आनंद और रीता।

यह

एक बहुत ही अच्छी कथा है। इसका अर्थ है कि हमें अपने दोस्तों को पहचानने के लिए दो बातें देखनी होंगी। पहली बात यह कि वह व्यक्ति हमारे साथ कैसे व्यवहार करता है। दूसरी बात यह कि वह हमारे दुःख में हमारे साथ कैसे व्यवहार करता है।

गुफा

एक गरीब किसान ने दूध की दुधारी को खाने का काम सौंपा है जिसने एक दुधारी को कुत्ता (क), एक बिल्ली को (ख), एक गधा (ग) को, (घ) एक बंदूक को (ङ) को सौंपा है ।




किसान ने सभी दुधारी को खाने का काम सौंपा है जो क, ख, ग, घ हैं।
 इनके साथ ही दुधारी, इनके साथ बिल्ली के दो कुत्ते, इनके साथ गधा
 बंदूक की दुधारी तथा इनके साथ ही बंदूक की दुधारी ।

इसी प्रकार यह एक कहानी है जो कि किसी किसान के दुधारी सभी दुधारी को
 खाने का काम है ।

Piece of Clay

Tom has two balls of clay. They are the size and shape.
he places them on the balance, they weigh the same.



The balls of clay are removed from the balance pans.
Clay 2 is flattened like a pamaake,



WHICH OF THESE STATEMENTS IS TRUE ?


- a. The pamaake-shaped clay weighs more.
- b. The two pieces weigh the same.
- c. The ball weighs more.

REASON

1. You did not add or take away any clay.
2. When clay 2 was flattened like a pamaake, it had a
3. When clay 2 was flattened, it loses weight.
4. When clay 2 was flattened, the round ball had more clay in it.

Metal Weights


Linn has two jars. They are the same and shape. Each is filled with the same amount of water.



She also has two metal weights of the same volume. One weight light. The other is heavy.

light metal weight heavy metal weight

She lowers the light weight into jar 1. The water level in the jar rises and looks like this :



IF THE HEAVY WEIGHT IS LOWERED INTO JAR 2, WHAT WILL HAPPEN,

- The water will rise to a higher level than in jar 1.
- The water will rise to a lower level than in jar 1.
- The water will rise to the same level as in jar 1.

REASON

- The weights are the same size so they will take up equal amounts of space.
- The heavier the metal weight, the higher the water will rise.
- The heavy metal weight has more pressure, therefore, the water will rise lower.
- The heavier the metal weight, the lower the water will rise.

Item 3

Class Size 2

The drawing shows two glasses, a small one and a large one.
It also shows two jars, a small one and a large one.



It takes 15 small glasses of water or 9 large glasses of water to fill the large jar. It takes 10 small glasses of water to fill the small jar.

HOW MANY LARGE GLASSES OF WATER DOES IT TAKE TO FILL THE SAME SMALL JAR?

- a. 4
- b. 5
- ☒ c. 6
- d. other


REASON

1. It takes five less small glasses of water to fill the small jar. So it will take five less large glasses of water to fill the same jar.
2. The ratio of small to large glasses will always be 5 to 3.
3. The small glass is half size of the large glass. So it will take about half the number of small glasses of water to fill up the same small jar.
4. There is no way of predicting.


fun-4

Scale 1

Ran has a scale like the one below.



When he hangs a 10-unit weight at point D, the scale looks like this :



WHERE WOULD HE HANG A 5-UNIT WEIGHT TO MAKE THE SCALE BALANCE AGAIN ?

- a. at point J
- b. between K and L
- ✓ c. at point L
- ~~d.~~ between L and M
- e. at Point M


REASON

- ✓ 1. It is half the weight so it should be put at twice the distance.
2. The same distance as 10-unit weight, but in the opposite direction.
3. Hang the 5-unit weight further out, to make up its being smaller.
4. All the way at the end gives more power to make the scale balance.
5. The lighter the weight, the further out it should be hung.


man-6

Ball 1


Eddie has a curved ramp. At the bottom of the ramp there is one ball called the target ball.



There are two other balls, a heavy and a light one. He can roll one ball down the ramp and hit the target ball. This causes the target ball to move up the other side of the ramp. He can roll the balls from two different points, a low point and a high point.



Eddie released the light ball from the low point. It rolled down the ramp. It hit and pushed the target ball up the other side of the ramp.



He wants to find out if the point a ball is released from makes a difference in how far the target goes. TO TEST THIS WHICH BALL WOULD HE NOW RELEASE FROM THE HIGH POINT ?

- a. the heavy ball
- b. the light ball


REASON

1. He started with the light ball he should finish with it.
2. He used the light ball the first time. The next time he should use the heavy ball.
3. The heavy ball would have more force to hit the target ball farther.
4. The light ball would have to be released from the high point in order to make a fair comparison.
5. The same ball must be used as the weight of the ball does not count.

7/5m - C

Pendulum Length

Three strings are hung from a bar. String 1 and 3 are of equal length. String 2 is longer. Charlie attaches a 5-unit weight at the end of string 2 and at the end of 3. A 10-unit weight is attached at the end of string 1. Each string with a weight can be swung.



Charlie wants to find out if the length of the string has an effect on the amount of time it takes the string to swing back and forth.

WHICH STRING AND WEIGHT WOULD HE USE FOR HIS EXPERIMENT ?

- a. string 1 and 2
- b. string 2 and 3
- c. string 2 and 3
- d. string 1, 2, and 3
- e. string 2 only

REASON

1. The length of the strings should be the same. The weight should be different.
2. Different lengths with different weights should be tested.
3. All strings and their weights should be tested against all others.
4. Only the longest string should be tested. The experiment concerned with length not weight.
5. Everything needs to be the same except the length so you can tell if length makes a difference.


Item 8

Squares and Diamonds - 2

In a cloth sack, there are



3 spotted wooden squares



4 black wooden squares



5 white wooden squares



4 spotted wooden diamonds



2 black wooden diamonds



3 white wooden diamonds

All of the square pieces are the same size and shape. The diamond pieces are also the same size and shape. Reach in and take the first piece you touch. WHAT ARE THE CHANCES OF PULLING OUT A SPOTTED DIAMOND OR A WHITE DIAMOND ?

- a. 1 out of 3
- b. 1 out of 9
- c. 1 out of 21
- d. 9 out of 21
- e. other

REASON


1. Seven of the twenty-one pieces are spotted or white diamonds.
2. $\frac{4}{7}$ of the spotted and $\frac{3}{8}$ of the white are diamonds.
3. Nine of the twenty-one pieces are diamonds.
4. One diamond piece needs to be selected from a total of twenty-one pieces in the cloth sack.
5. There are 9 diamond pieces in the cloth sack. One piece must be chosen from these.

Squares and Diamonds - 1

In a cloth sack, there are



3 spotted wooden squares



4 black wooden squares



5 white wooden squares



4 spotted wooden diamonds



2 black wooden diamonds



3 white wooden diamonds

All of the square pieces are the same size and shape. The diamond pieces are also the same size and shape. One piece is put out of the sack. WHAT ARE THE CHANCES THAT IT IS A SPOTTED PIECE

- a. 1 out of 3
- b. 1 out of 4
- c. 1 out of 7
- d. 1 out of 21
- e. other

REASON

1. There are twenty-one pieces in the cloth sack. One spotted piece must be chosen from these.
2. One spotted piece needs to be selected from a total of seven spotted pieces.
3. Seven of the twenty-one pieces are spotted pieces.
4. There are three sets in the cloth sack. One of them is spotted.
5. $\frac{1}{4}$ of the square pieces and $\frac{4}{9}$ of the diamond pieces are spotted.


The Fish

Some of the fish below are big and some are small. Also some of the fish have wide stripes on their sides. Others have narrow stripes. IS THERE A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE SIZE OF THE FISH AND THE KIND OF STRIPES IT HAS (THAT IS, IS ONE SIZE OF FISH MORE LIKELY TO HAVE A CERTAIN TYPE OF STRIPES AND VICE VERSA)?

- a. Yes
- b. No

REASON

- 1. Big and small fish can have either wide or narrow stripes.
- 2. $3/7$ of the big fish and $9/21$ of the small fish have wide stripes
- 3. 7 fish are big and 21 are small.
- 4. Not all big fish have wide stripes and not all small fish have narrow stripes.
- 5. $12/28$ of fish have wide stripes and $16/28$ of fish have narrow stripes.



The Mice

A farmer observed the mice that live in his field. He found that the mice were either fat or thin. Also, the mice had either black tails or white tails.





This made him wonder if there might be a relation between the size of a mouse and the color of its tail. So he decided to capture all of the mice in one part of his field and observe them. The mice that he captured are shown below.

DO YOU THINK THERE IS A RELATION BETWEEN THE SIZE OF THE MICE AND THE COLOR OF THEIR TAILS (THAT IS, IS ONE SIZE OF MOUSE MORE LIKELY TO HAVE A CERTAIN COLOR TAIL AND VICE VERSA)?

- a. Yes
- b. No


REASON

1. 8/11 of the fat mice have black tails and 3/4 of the thin mice have white tails.
2. Fat and thin mice can have either a black or a white tail.
3. Not all fat mice have black tails. Not all thin mice have white tails.
4. 18 mice have black tails and 12 have white tails.
5. 22 mice are fat and 8 mice are thin.

	FAT MICE	THIN MICE
BLACK TAIL		
WHITE TAIL		

The Dance

After supper, some students decide to go dancing. There are three boys: Ashok (A), Birendra (B), and Chand (C), and three girls: Lila (L), Meena (M), and Neena (N).




One possible pair of dance partners is A-L, which means Ashok and Leela.

LIST ALL OTHER POSSIBLE COUPLES OF DANCERS. BOYS DO NOT DANCE WITH BOYS, AND GIRLS DO NOT DANCE WITH GIRLS.

Item 12:

The Shopping Center

In a new shopping center, 4 stores are going to be placed on the ground floor. A BARBER SHOP (B), a DAIRY (D), a GARMENTS STORE (G), and a COFFEE SHOP (C) want to locate there.



One possible way that the stores could be arranged in the 4 locations is BDGC. Which means the BARBER SHOP first, the DAIRY next, then the GARMENTS STORE and the COFFEE SHOP last.

LIST ALL THE OTHER POSSIBLE WAYS THAT THE STORES CAN BE LINED UP IN THE FOUR LOCATIONS.

Appendix X - 8

```

//FFM7 DIRECT SYSOUT=A.
//TTP JOR MSGLEVEL=1
//PI EXFC TTP,NL=80,IB=80,N=2
XITP PWC N=1,NL=80,IB=80,OLN=300,IV=INTAP,U=2400,D=NAME,L=NL
XXMGEN EXFC PGM=IEBGENER
XSTEPLIR DN DSN=9IMPUP,DISP=SHR
XXSYSTN DN DUMMY
XXSYSPRINT DN SYSOUT=A
XXSYBUT1 DN UNJT=LU,VOL=SEH=1IV,DISP=(OLD,PASS),USN=40,
//F6531 SUBSTITUTION JCL = UNIT=2400,VOL=SER=INTAP,DISP=(OLD,PASS),DSN=NAME,
XX IAHFI=18N,MI),DCB=(LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=80,RECFM=FB)
//F6531 SUBSTITUTION JCL = LABEL=(2,NL),DCB=(LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=80,RECFM=FB)
XXSYBUT2 DN SYSOUT=A,OUTLIM=80LN,
//F6531 SUBSTITUTION JCL = SYSOUT=A,OUTLIM=300,
XX DCB=(LRECL=80LN,BLKSIZE=80LN,RECFM=FB)
//F6531 SUBSTITUTION JCL = DCB=(LRECL=80,BLKSIZE=80,RECFM=FB)
0001111651120006140400000909130501140803130315050809111110001108077366
0002111176125000510970210080703020020201030211040809111110001107573342
000311117776450005130010040201050301070601070309030609111110001107680324
00041111767139000407001100909080401050501070410030809111110001107781375
00051111666300005110910090807070402110602130611040709111110001106375389
000611116661120004130001100906100502050402110512040609111110001108179350
00071111666114000513121204070405030103030109061104070911111000110769359
000811116663000052412001007061507011512021210130505091111101010107572338
000911116671300007241310090806150703100703120611030709111110001006075339
00101111747120006120703090807110501100701080010030509111110001106467299
001111116661150006201471100805100500080700080410040709111110001107861342
0012111166511500062213090900611060110701121010040609111110001107268341
00131111667600004211516100906140604090701110809030508110110001107068339
001411117561110006111309101006080301060502080109030608111110001106864310
00151111766120000518090609070510040209060209011003050711111010001006159302
00161111666300006110005100604050300080602070405020307111110001006959301
00171111666119000415110909070509040107060106030703050711111000001107576330
00181111666300004131008100803060580606010701080204061111010001006842269
0019111166630000422131210070307020312080111000002040611110000001105847286
00201111667125000510070308070309050209050309050602040611010101001005143235
002111117671200041211100906031104021107011303070304061111010001004857257
002211117171200071613031007040606020704000202060203061111000001004561310
0023111166760000611410100704160703110031107150306061011010001005749285
0024111154711500041312110100406020008060109010303050611110100001006467292
0025111144511400041712080600011045021208010703050203051110000001005746283
0026111166611800062120809070209050008050013030201010511110000001005848256
0027111161711800051110507060307040109080106050200000511110000001006545273
00281111666112000504070608070207050206040007050502030511110000001007065312
00291111717127000714110505050105030005040108030703040511101000001005756274
0030111166611500060600511008031305011108011102080204051110000001005143235
003111161511400051309001009020804010403030301030101041111000000003745240
00321111415104000624211100060114060112090210051202040411001000001004034192
0033111161512000040114060405010503010705020903050102041110000001005453260
00341111656114000413110110090110040108060008030502030411100000001003745202
003511114451150000504001006001050200070402060304020204010110000001005848240
0036111173602000061210001009021205001008011003050202041110000001004849225
00371111422118000704070110070108030106020107010801101210000000001003740195
0038111166611000050700005040109050106050106020609101011111001105440197
003911114261200006111201080805100300090402080006020309111101001107679370
00401111666120000511100406040411040209050209080803060911111001008872360
004111115451150006120603040904060202080602100905020409111110001108179372
00421111546020000620150610090409050107040107050601020811111010001107861372
0043111176510900041413051008050605001103010907080304081111100001107969380
0044111166512000050505030706020705040704206006020208111100001108171379
0045111264511200050907081009030906031006000606110507081111100001109472360
004611117613000071310051008030908000705000406050203081111100001107470343
0047111161612500062311051008040804030705011205090203071111100001106959321
004811116661190004121203070604020703001005000805050203071111100001107468314
00491111765118000413120307070207030107040109040607020711110010001107065312
0050111165511200060805001008020905020503010702030101071111100001006869303
0051111165512000061307041008041206013050108021104040711111001001006769321
0052111155712500052417071008061405011109021006080203061111000001006864320
005311117771270004141009100704050401070701080205020306111100000100866370
00541111666118000511103100804100603110602100500020306111100000100686370
00551111757124000512110510080409070110901100500030306111100000100686370
00561111666125000800401080805040300060301040204010306111100000100686370
00571111626118000719110210070411070305040100040702060611110001008179372
005811116661150006130708050503070401080502060403010106011100001105454
005911114251120005090704010005170700000010061103050611000001005454
006011117451300006110704070003090602070901040304010206111100000100471379
0061111166611500051711081009061608031608031102150305061110001008179372
0062111166611500051711081009061608031608031102150305061110001008179372
006311114251150006141106070603110402060401100608010206111000001005454
006411117711150006080600060603090501040301040502000006111000001005454
006511114451150006141106070603110402060401100608010206111000001005454
006611116261200005131102090803140502080501070401070206111100001005454
0067111154411200071313010907030802011005010803090301070206111100001005454

```


000001111745110000413120309070207030107040109040607020711110010001107065312
0050111174511200006080500100802090502050301070203010701111100001006869303
005111117175200005151010808031006030906000706060305071111000001005957283
005211116671200005151040604021004010904000802060203061010001100106661311
0053111174512500051107050907031205010807011106060102061111000001106552279
0054111174511200005121091007031005000906001006060202061111000001105549275
00551111415113600706050204040207030109070204050502030611110000001105760285
00561111111100000606050804010603030503006040602020611100011001005948256
0057111165412500060907010907041006001107020805070202061111000001106455299
00581111666118000412090210702080090905020706060101061111000001007169315
0059111164611000050605040606020503006050050306010206111001100100657291
0060111144562000040808041008030804000905010705032000061111000001004255279
00611111666109250060602076702010100050200050304010511101000001005856246
0062111144511500050907020796011206050504010704060101051110000001005049265
0063111151411500040908031010021204001301010905070202051100110001006439269
0064111177450000617110110090310060110010103010100010511011000001004141224
0065111151515000010100200804001008000805080204051110000001003850217
0066111141511500060808020807020704000807010703040101051110000001005339283
00671111774120000612102080603080400090601070401101051110001000006348270
0068111141412000051311051010031005000505010905040202051110000001006555236
0069111164512500060505030403010904000706000906080202051110000001007657311
00701111415130000511080910809218100011409011005070202051110000001005148244
007111114141180006100807100902109000100501090407020205111100000004758273
00721111745130000510080809080205040104030106040302020511100100001005250268
0073111171612000060707030908020906000607020903040101041110000001005143235
0074111164412000070903000908021708041000011004040102041110000001004317205
00751111645630000206403100803100401130600070107020204111000000100535245
0076111165713400051611051010031306010907020502020000031110000000003944197
00771111644120000411080007060207050110060008010601010311000000001003849217
0078111177450000309070609081009050310070213100804061211111111110887398
007911117454000030000412100510090809060209070211000703051111111110109088394
008011117466300004151304080810100502080401070608030410111110101109590415
008111117454000072313081009101005021309021109110508101111110101108387398
0082111177469000324170110090907060010403010907070407091111110001108371341
0083111166714000052417100907090907060905001207060305091111110001108194379
0084111166715000042417121009100905020403000905040203091111100011108181370
0085111166716000040000020806050404000906020704050203091111100111007972363
00861111675645000409070909070910060409070108060502020911111011001106968322
0087111174664110005151009080606130703141001110910040609111111011008566320
008811116461350005411031009080604010907010606060202081111100077005975348
008911116720200051211041008070907000806021006050204081111000011107068316
009011116737000041012070908070804010602010608030509081111100001103068336
00911111445120000716150710090912050110806001106090304081111000011107570350
009211116451120005151111080606100703080701120606030508111110001110782342
009311114227170008132090908060804010806060704060103081111000011107369329
00941111434112000518140508080601107020604010664050100081111010011007765342
00951111667130000411005080606070403080601000506030508111110001106967341
00961111667130000523174100807050303650002070506020408111000111006965312
0097111155713000052410151009080503020807010004070406081110100110106667321
00981111667135000513090310907090401070601080505010208111000111007361331
009911117471450006115008090806060502070401000703050811100011106965319
0100111164612100050909060408060706040705000704000203081111100011007971376
01011111647135000710110510080707040106050014030501101081111100011006570314
0102111166612500002016100706050804010603012060803050711010001100669297
010311116654000061411051009081305010604010904060203071111000001107369335
010

2401116441140007241103388002100501020201070300020506111000000110055289
012711164411000004080700908050604000704021204100205061110000001106751296
012811174611200004161210010040700010302000201040101061110000001106839269
0129111647630000413090708060506040105050006040801020611100011010004457244
013011174053000052417117007031509031107000804050101061110000001105158277
0131111646120000418134010090514070217100109020902040610111000001106666297
013211147412000062215671007031005021106011104060203051110000001107559291
013311164515000050908031010041506011306001305080204050111000001106154256
013411164713000061311020703021208010805011006060202051110000001105857275
013511154535000040908090505050403010302010601030000051110000001106956295
013611164615000050906081009040705020804000604040203051110000001106055273
013711164612000040605040006610703000201000503060202051110001100000659283
0138111646115000508070107060205040101010107010300000511100001001007151269
013911164511000051200060908040004000605000402040102051110100000005958248
01401116461140006171209080703000601106031102090305051110000010106361269
0141111646630000411090509080310040309080108020602040501110000001105957235
0142111746121500416120908070311060108070313030903040511100000110006654275
0143111646118000619100710060510060104030106030602040511101000001006149255
01441117407035000615080610080317060705007040602030511101000001007061298
01451116461130000524170909090515080110090212040001010510110000001104958245
0146111665125000511090208070406030105020107010703030511101000001005453250
01471116451120005141210100905100701090801080305020305100100001106057244
014811164511900072011021009031205021206021405030101041110000001004954221
0149111776400000424170610100315060110060113030603030411000000001105452253
015011164511000071000031006030504000403000601040101041110000001006357246
01511117461180004404020404020805010403020603050102041000001100105545220
0152111746120000614120807060308040004030107040002020401101000001004739200
015311164612000050906060909040604010503001305060203040111000001003745202
015411151511000004090602090904110500110602090204010204111000000005354260
015511174711800081109031006040604020505000603060101041110000001005048244
0156111645120000517140210040310050111060112040902020411101000001004247248
015711164612000042418051007030804021006011103010000031100000001005848256
015811164611300052618091008040803001108020901670101031100000000100339199
015911161511200061005000908030603000606010702040101021100000000004941201
0160111645113000619130709080509060105050005010401010000000001003643215
016111174612000061709020900021006030403010401020000110000000000446228
016211152711200062410050908010704010806000700050000011000000000006649258
016311174611500071007060804030402010706010401040102010000000001004146209
01641115251250007131009101004070401060601160611040910111100111108675402
01651117771350004150804100910070503080607070669040710111111101108367390
01661117471310006110905090807100402070504090511040810111101101108381379
016711164713000042313410060508060709080221061205070911110011101108375394
01681116454400004100604100700100702080502110808040609111111001009077390
01691116471550005201569090703070310604010803090405091111011101100775306
0170111746119000814100409080204030106050111060603050811110011101007680204
01711116461300004130705100804060301050503100610040608111110000107571342
01721116461250005171308100606040010403010903050205081111010001108077366
017311164644500051612071010050602010606011304090205081110010011104561322
017411157412500061208041008050503010603011002080306081111010011108667359
017511175612100041100106060405040104040210961204080811100011101107869372
017611154511000030908050407050705010303010806050204081111100001107965342
017711164511900061110040090005040106050308021003060811101011001107969354
0178111777135000420161210040509010707050211067003060711110100001108166317
0179111746400000614120510090404040009020905070305071011010010106157303
01801117451210004141206000030805010605011003100206071111010001106667301
0181111745290000407060307070307030104040203010702060711111000001106861312
018211177535000511086309060802020004040307040703050711110100101006565299
0183111241511800060907020606040503010202010704080305071110000110100669301
0184111645120000512080509070410060306050310051004060710110111001005966297
018511144511500051710071009050504020604021004060203071111000001106166311
01861116451250007120902100804080401070503130410020507111101000110766312
01871116251190006171531090802060301120803140705020407111101000110766354
0188111242511900071815051009020604010403000300060204061111000001108161345
0189111646635000411100307070306040105040111030702030611110000011074565275
01901117512000517140210090306050070400080208010306001001001001006157245
019111154511800051713010080204030009060108020501020611110000001007161300
01921117461210006070601030301050400020104060106010205111000000110669299
019311164309000513130607050105030003020106020802040501110000001107557275
0194111241511200050565020504010703000604011004050102051111000001106660278
01951116471300005070602060602070201040201060303000005111000001107249299
0196111767135000613100410100506010003030107021003050511100000110862253
019711151511500041411051008020805000706011304080103051100100001106155267

012411115151150004110510000208050007060113040801030511001000001106155267
0199111154411200052316091109020504000505010400060103041110000000100545261
02001111253512500080202000806020402000302000402040101041100000001005534270
020111112664118000600000006010765000800010702040102041110000000004448216
02021111165111900052111021007010404010605020902040101041100000000105553235
020311111441120000806020605010101000504010601030101031110000000000445199
02041111165612100061006041008020705000604010901060101020001000001005150211
020511111545115000605040306050107030003030010020400000200100000001006549233
020611111415120000700707420505010706010504000502030101011000000000004434206
020711111777145000624181710090710060310050412061205071211111111109890415
0208111116664350004242015100908120504130704140910040712111111111109191402
02091111174744500041712041009060906021104020905100305121111111111108890398
021011111767135000617120607050607050308030109040701021111111111110109575401
02111111231210900091616090908000603000705020403100407091010111111007085369
02121111141611200072016061509061103000906011020502050911111110001107180385
0213111117776350005201405060504070401060501120806040609111101101108571364
0214111117761300004111005100707090402100801090609030709111110001107576350
021511111666130000511100107070307040103030001000402040811111100001107971375
021611111445125000821120310100611050110050110020803060811111100001106974345
0217111114151190007211003100602200807110502150706020507111110000107678367
02181111142512100071510121010060804020503011509060205071110100001105067312
021911111655122000523162110090810030100030109020602030711111000001107161345
02201111164511800081512080007050402010705010604080305071111100000110762355
0221111114151180006241504110805050301050401030206020307111110010001107659310
02221111141511400052015111009060302000303010300040202071010011101008670398
022311111445112000607060308070306040105020109030601020711100001011107465365
022411111636117000514130710070408030009060109030601030611110000001107269354
02251111166511500042414111007040905020904010502040102061111000001100770345
022611111645113000424130710090404040106020102010300000611100101001007163312
022711111415115000822150710090314060108050106010401010610110100001105865298
0228111114151109008201511090803040301020200302030000061110100001006869301
022911111615121000622180809080305040208060206040301010611110100001006965311
023011111665115000411060310090406050005030104020602040611110000001107168345
023111111545115000524141010070310070111040206010301010611111000001007359315
023211111416115000411090610080408070105040106020602030610110100001105565278
02331111176763000519121010100409036211060110040603040611011000001106667311
023411111615114000507050107060305020107050012050702040611011000001106965339
023511111635112000716120210100409050105020005020803050611110000001106160340
0236111112535117000620150310040411040104030004010601020611100100001106869313
023711111445116000617090710090307020107040108020701020611110000001105958275
0238111114151120005121001008050408050006060010040100000611110000001106163300
0239111114151150005120903100903060400060400040108010206110011001000656299
024011111515116000514120310090208030006060010020300000611110110000006163278
024111111445115000516090410090400020108050109040702040611100100001106745279
024211111746119000706080108080410040104030007060502040611100100001105858286
0243111115451120071615031010030603010404010702020000061011010001006958297
02441111143511700061911051009021104020706001105060103051111000001006555281
024511111245110904097109070908031306030906011304050203051110000001105850275
0246111113200800061911101009031207011207010800070102051110000001104961301
024711111715120000621120910070208040100050110050602040510110000001106855274
024811111655121000516110010090306020105020009020701030511100100001107053296
02491111151511400060907050906020602010806010801050102051111000001005149247
0250111114151090000616040510100119060211100212011202040511110000001005859286
025111111455345000515080410060511040108060108060601030511110000001006163296
02521111141109500810080408060105030004020207010400000510110100001005854279
0253111114111000723140710070207040106050205020701030511000010001107145293
025411111605625000511100610000310060306040207010602040511110000001005961302
025511111515120000622090110060310040109060107020501020511010000001106659299
02561111173711200005111041009020005010503000701090204051110000001006154265
02571111144115000015120600702050400060301000401020510110100001005954261
02581111143411200071080110080106040106020005010300000511000110001006359289
02591111166522500061910050507020604010603011103060103051110000001106048270
026011111251511300001311021008040603010604010905060204051110000001105539273
0261111115654500041090304080107050005030106040301010411100000001006150247
0262111112315116000513100408070108020307020104010401010411000000001105856246
026311111445112000621150209070213080313070107010601030411000000001105549255
02641111125151160007101108100601080700070201090408020404111000000006349247
0265111117151200006120510060112060005030005020601020411010000001005145245
02661111141511200062115050407011003010706010703090204041100000001106037205
026711111615114000471005101002110301100701100406020304100000001105845240
02681111121511200041912021006030805000703010200040000041010000000110047227
0269111115115100000151107097021108011107011004060203041100000001005145245

02511111453305000515080410060511040108060108060601030511110000001006163296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207
0288111111111000051110050905020602010702000602040000011000000000003948200
028911111111100004110004004011305011104010702000102010000000001005145216
029011111111100004110051008020703060401000402040101011000000000004746213
029111111111100004140006141004006010503060303010401050101010000000001006548239
02921111111110000412100604090505040105040105020301020811111000001107071352
0293111111111000041100081006040804020905020906080305081111000111007860341
0294111111111000041511070908050704010605021003070204071111100001007171381
02951111111110000418120509070012070109040305040802050711111001001009075387
0296111111111000041108030605030703000605011105070102071111100001107169354
0297111111111000041412101010070404010805021005060203071111100001107366355
0298111111111000041006060907050906040703020804020904050203071111100001107867310
0299111111111000041009050905040904040208030311050802050611100010011007479345
0300111111111000051106030907050604010906021106080204061111000001106867347
0301111111111000051108021008030606010605030504050203061111000001105155255
03021111111110000615041009050603010805010956060204061111000001105748237
03031111111110000616091008020703010604021104070203061110100001104961310
030411111111100005115050907020805020603020904060202061110100001105749285
0305111111111000071107050908050803010704010704000203061111010001006764299
0306111111111000060705030906020705010663010806060102061110100001106346281
03071111111110000512090210090108040103020008050601020511110000011005947276
03081111111110000518110909080208030005040108030505010511100600001106842267
030911111111100004191410109020907020906021204050102051100110001007195300
0310111111111000041710041009021106011108021006040101051111000001006856294
0311111111111000041800060907030702080500060301100507020205111000000100567274
0312111111111000041108030907020302010402000403050102051110100001005148249
03131111111110000411080405040109050105010300000511100000011006162283
0314111111111000061508100901090601060402130407010100000100567274
031511111111100004110802100602080407040111020501020311000000001007151291
0316111111111000041060308070105020006030205010401010210000000001005859249
0317111111111000061101128101014150906150604140912050712111111111109775416
0318111111111000051211081008090404020904021109140609121111111111109079387
0319111111111000041509071010041505041608041708140407121111111111109079387
03201111111110000417151009111508031606041407130610121111111111109301597
0321111111111000052413110090416090411050312081005071211111111111109301597
03221111111110000522152010091216080415060412081205071211111111111109301597
032311111111100005201510081214060212060313041204081211111111111109301597
03241111111110000519121510091217080415060314101305081211111111111109301597
0325111111111000041100911130504150602130512050812111111111111109301597
0326111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0327111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0328111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0329111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0330111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0331111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0332111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0333111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0334111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0335111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0336111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0337111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0338111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0339111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0340111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597
0341111111111000041912141008091608041305031508110609111111111111109301597

[illegible]

0436112126511900050908030907060806030605010703070205081111010001100827731
0437112126511900041910051007061105021107020904110407081111010011007966356
04381121265119000804002090605104010505020706050204071110000101106975366
0439112126511900051008030407031107040800201106070204061111000010106772386
0440112126511900081512031008030605020703010802090305061111000001007572385
044111212651190004120906080702080503050401070107020406111110000001007970358
04421121265190000710090409080311070208040108060702040611110000101007569365
04431121265112500051511020706030704010604010704060304061111000001006565345
0444112126511900050906050909031104020906020902090204061111000001006967320
04451121265119000916120410080210070209040311071030505111000000100885363
044611212651190005201310907040904010706031203090305051100010001008870346
0447112126511900061210406050620603010703010904050103051110000000106959301
04481122651109000724170406050630603100703010703051111000001006865312
044911212651190006201008100802100601108000102070103041100000010067155298
0450112126511900061109050806010906019707020802050102041000000110055443275
04511121265120060911090110070210050109070209060802030410000000100706312
045211212651190005131102100902040803080605011002030000031010000000100659296
0453112126511900041311040706010903020705010502040000031100000001005860275
0454112126511900060610090890502090401050401100206010303100000001006865301
045511221110900052313041008011104020706030702070103031000000001006959295
045611212651190007211600100805011105011306031102110102031001000001007049285
0457112126511900050907020907020804010705000904060101031100000001006359299
04581121265119000416110509080207030005040106010300000310100600001005348273
045911226511900082112011006021204010908001004050102031000000001006054225
046011214151190006098006060306040010070206010300000210000000001005545199
046111226511070060900502080702050200043010502030000010000000001004948202
0462112177663900042010908090707100403120502130813050812111111111108576398
0463112177663900052313010090818060515060415116060812111111111108878393
046411217766390008191091008081507041406031407140509111111110109975386
04651121776639000421140910090815060414050212051204081011111100108874393
046611216671290004241712100809150703140605110612050911111101101109575400
0467112166764000041410091008041205021104021207110407101011111101107779387
04681121667120007241404109061140503120602120610040609101101011107974375
04691121767640004211611100906140603170602140511030809111001011108176384
0470112176764000511508100910100402130700412061204060911000111107375381
04711121667121000420161010910914050310600214051204070911100011108681391
04721121667119000523130609080609120502130602120011020509111001011109578402
04731121766125000419096610604135031304063120614040609101110011108875384
04741121766119000415004015006010080612050212040212051305070911110010106975358
047511216671290012010100100705090210502130710030609111100101107375381
047611217676390003310121009071406020905011307110406091111101001106074365
0477112166711500052414101090971065021209020905100407091111010011107170369
047811216671350005151109100807120604100703100611040708111001010107368349
04791121766119000822130610080712050213050312061003070811000011107170375
048011217661200041910061091009040310090410712030508111010410105565384
048111226511170007711006120805100502110402120612030508111000011107169345
04821121736121000522160710070612040313060314061303060811101100100554268
048311216676390004160806100906140503130503140613030708111000011106973341
048411217161190006241610100807130503140602100213030608111000011106868312
048511217671250005190908090605090402120503140613040708111100001107369345
0486112166711900051908060906051204021303050812040507110000001107173373
04871121667119000618110061009051204021204021205020407101101010106968299
0488112166713000661610080040607403010502010902080205071111001000100866315
04891121667116000617120090906060904021103011306100306071011100001108566317
0490112176512500051812050908060803011108030904090205071110010001107861342
049111216671190

05231121655112000510100408040504030011060211030500000410110000001006075339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.11
0558112174611500121308030705011004009040311050601020310000000001073745211
055911216451250004171409100805120604130604120912040709111110001107471349
056011217671060005221009100805150704130604160814050708101110001107869362
0561112177710700007131410090714060414000312050902040801110100001107965375
05621121645117000512060509000412030211050312061102050710111100001108065317
0563112174513000071009041006041004021204011205110306071111000001106669309
05641121644125000812070409000414060211060215061102050511011000001004560275
0565112164511300044140040907030903007040014021101020511100000011066558279
056611216251200071612051008041700021005012050300000510011000001105853270
05671121777105000415100510050208040113090208021202050511101000001004554226
05681121645130005100803005020903031104020901000102051110000001106053233
0569112174712500514100610602080301030200904060101041100000001004540226
057011216761100050604020705010004010004000803050101041100000001104954222
05711121746115000615120409070311040209040111020601010410011000001004854265
05721121747119000608000509050107020104020090308010204101001000011006160310
05731121645130000613080210603140601080100902060102041110000001103745205
05741121746121000607009061000021407010804010904100208041100000001004045242
05751121445125000615080107050112060108050109040901020410110000001005846275
05761121647100000404050210000212060110060109040901030310101000000003745240
05771121645115001010000306060111030007030009030801020211000000001003940200
05781121647139000515110810090916080512060412061104060911101101101108179359
0579112164612100051913091009111040401508031205110306091110101101108179359
0580112164612000061011041009080905031409051109100306091111110001108379379
0581112164511900004101040908090904030902011205110406091111110001107680374
05821121645110000423170909060812040311060313051003050811101101001107767352
058311217451210000419100709060600020110070211030601020811101101001107569372
05841121746120000518120610080914040311050215051202030811101101001108069374
058511216471350005181051009091406031060212050701030811101101001107470343
0586112174512500062113091008051505041007021206100305081110001101106959321
05871121745119000713100304060810030112050204020801030811111001001107466324
058811217771350006207131009061207041207031207120408071110001001105957263
0589112177713500041080671007050404021004021205120307071110100001106665331
05901121646119000718120507070610050111070211040902020710101101001106552278
0591112164612000413100607050903011106021104090304071110010001106665301
0592112174613000052116101010080904021207021006090201071111100001006760300
0593112174511000061410040907051104021206031206110207071101100011005967299
0594112164512500061915061009071005021003011003110303071100011005967299
05951121645115000524170610100512050311060112050902020711101100001004040209
0596112174512500062213051010081103021209021005110305071111000001105195277
05971121646119000722110610091009050211060214051103040711111000001106567296
0598112164612500051011071008061107041008021006110306061100001001108149246
0599112164512100051210050910080611050114060311008010306111000001108149246
06001121746125000723150910080613060210070113040903090611101000001108149246
06011121746119000521171010090709040109050008030601020611101000001108149246
06021121645125000522131010100513070209070109030702040611000011091105853244
0603112164512000041205020004020904010604010903050203061110000001106483244
060411212615115000519130610060510050212001114050902020611100100001104853244
060511216451210006140702080705110402110601080409020306100100001104853244
060611212615120000523140810080609030110702120409010205100100001104853244
06071121545115000522100610080609030110802090409020306100100001104853244
060811217461300004730902100906090502090701020100001104853244
0609112164512100051613041009051008031005030306100100001104853244
06101121545114000047120806060209020401080209020306100100001104853244
0611112164512000071070209080409030110502100001104853244
0612112164512100061509020904060409040211050100001104853244
06131121645120000814107030403080400030200040100001104853244

[illegible]

071012174713500042418111009090506020805010603070204081111100001106965310
07111216476500001911091009051204021406031007100304081110010101107970332
07121217776450004221208101061405031105021005090305081111011001008075369
071312177643000041815071009061206031504010703110040708101000111107466324
07141217471300005161306120905130503160402110511020408110101110106965310
0715121747140000519130810090505040207030206030702040810031111001106566297
07161217471250004221506100907090903100602110614030508100611111006557275
07171216661220005161004100905110403120502090308020407111100001010729258
0718121664150005161207090803080501090402080310030607110100010106149286
07191217461270006101006090503090502120302090309290407111100001005960310
07201217461280005191504009090411040313050213061104040710001111010060755339
072112164763000041813091007061006011050902090410030409110100101106659301
07221216261190000415110316090401105021306010906080305071101001010108070340
072312165441900052013071009041403040107031104080204071101010001107570340
07241216661210003150904040905030602010804009030501020710101011006553291
072512126664100051308040708030803010906030703060130661111000001007458256
07261217174645000611085100902105031504030602050203051001010001006458273
072712164712500061411070506703090011105021003070203051010010001006767292
072812163511500051915109060209080105030011030601020311000000010057460221
07291217776900003231614100706140403130603110511030509111111001007783324
073012176663500051909081108051105031206041405120305081111110010007757381
073112175412100052217121007041005031309031204110305071110110001005759280
073212167161190007181006090805110402110301120412020307110001000106655301
073312171661190004210906075021105010906020902060103061010000101107045270
07341216161200006100503080602090501080501080307030406111000000105355283
07351216451120007110902090804100601080701110305010206111010000105452272
073612164511100051612080908030905010804011603070103061010011000105340275
073712166611400050906040806010501010603010603060102051110000001006151286
073812166611700071206007050107030004030109020601010310000100001005056274
0739121651511900050902005010503000603021102060103031110000000005143235
074012166712100071412051008011080301090501130306010403100001000010515727
074112164411100071510051007010802010907011002060101041000010000103959221
0742121746121000714040409070111050909050206020901020311000000001004849224
07431217276117000609050400905020600107050060010300000310000100001003850219
0744121666121000716100410070110502001080206010601020210000000001004749240
0745121615112000718120210080206030102010105010501012000100000001003850217
074612166611100031207050907070905021206020906080103091111110001008078361
07471216451200006107040905041105021005010903110305091111111001007679365
074812174611500060705020908060503010706010705080406111100000107061311
074912173511100007110050406070903010806030904080204061101100001005565265
075012162671300005100540605020804010504010803070102051110000001004749201
0751121651511500051009030806007040105010007030601020511100000001006564312
075212165151210006151304090605070501050301070207020305110001000100556274
075312164713000080408031009061405011048021104120304051110000000106263298
07541216265118000051512020906004107010906011003080130304110000000100399240
075512166613000051400909070212060012070210090305041100000001004955245
07561216661140007121004100400708060105040107020400080310100000001005084502
075712165763900071715030608030805030805021406100203021000000000100399199
07581221747135000421170910090815060415060314061703051011111101010109570398
075912216713500061610910100611060312060415071204061011110101109275377
076012216661190005211308100807160702110503190614030610111111001108580356
0761122174713500061911061005061506031105031607120306091111110001108876375
076212217471310004151006100605120604110502120609020409111110011080665345
076312217776490005241109100906120503120502160510030508101110001107069325
07641221666120000522140710070510602150601150512030508111110000106570321
07651221777130005150906100605120

[illegible]

[illegible]

09792111716125000191202090603060500060401103030000411100000001604947292
0980211131410900111004010403010302000302000501040000030000100001004449222
04812111415115000710060310080110050108060000020401010300100001001005348270
098221115261150005110601100801090300080400000105000003110000000005355276
09832111716118000715090110070108020109080210010601020311000000001005145235
0484211141210800062008040906030802010907011204020000031000000000104351210
09852111615112000712080301050206040007050009020501010311000000001005049224
0486211161612100091208051006031004010403010701060204031100000000004748201
09872111774635000411070408070108040105040009030702050310100000001004547210
098821115553000040905010504010905010804000601080101031000000000104948228
098921113121090009060203080306020008040005010400000210000000001003547213
099021114140110005070403090704050400060501060004000002101000000000003749211
099121113131070006090501100501110300104080208010601010210000000001003648149
09922111314115000712000410060011040107050108020801020211000000000005750255
04932111545118000714060210080105020006020008010700000210010000000005649246
099421117001200091106061008010805000504000500048000011000000000004054221
09952111616119000922100510060210060108020005010300000110000000000006047253
0996211215211800081407050905040703020602020904080309081111000011106569301
0997211217512200051609030906030804010602011003070204051100000001105559296
099821122425116000716090410000409040207030109040702040811130000001105748254
099921122313109000824110610000309030211040114040802030711011010001106669298
100021121314111000812070200050210030107040210040601010411100000001004854276
10012122221007000911070207050210020309030011030601010410100000001105459266
1002212265511500052011030804001104010501001103040000041100000001105454250
100321227121060001807020803000903010802011202020000031100000000005153276
1004212142110900061407010803000902000702011103070102020010000000004049223
10052122213108000713080205030007020009030011020801010210000000001004951235
1006212161511500081004030605010803020602020803030101080111110011006921312
10072121736120000012070610090307030204010105010401010811111100001107569302
1008212131211000081004031407030803010702010903030000071111000001106759296
10092121515113000716090508060311040108030110040902030711101100001105854286
1010212151511400061104020705010703010603010902060102071011100001107465310
1011212143511200081909040907031204031105010603040101071111100001006859257
10122121717120000612070407050206020105030105010200000611101100001005962278
10132121312109000611030107050208020106020107020300000611101000101004760269
1014212151511800040906020907021003000802000501050101051110100001006645234
10152121515116000510070206040104020005020007010801020410010000001106145286
10162121423109000615070109060108030008030108020501010410110000001005956264
10172121411209000609040306060105020105020010020401010401101000001006047277
10182121212108000606050008050106020004020103000400000311000000001004551212
10192212535116000711090411090608030111090206020702030611100001001105960298
1020221203511400070603020403010705010705010803090203051100100001105349283
10212212535112000408040307040108050108030107020601010411000100001005545225
10222211474111000711050504060310060107040008020801030310000000001106048270
10232211746121000715100509070106040107050105020802030311000000001006057232
10242212511415000609050210080206030004020003010701010200100000001005349225
10252211413120009110401030200060500601000401040000010000000001004552222
1026221161611500090605030904041106011004000801060101011004000000004849231

Column	Value for
1-4	S. No.
5	Urban/rural (1/2)
6	Boys/Girl (1/2)
7	Government/aided (1/2)
8	
9	General/scient (1/2)
10	Father's education
11	Mother's education
12	Father's occupation
13-16	Mother's occupation
17-18	Parents Income
19-20	Family size
21-22	FI.
23-24	FX } Activity - I
25-26	OY
27-28	FI
29-30	FX } Activity - II
31-32	OY
33-34	FI
35-36	FX } Activity - III
37-38	OY
39-40	FI
41-42	FX } Activity - IV
43-44	OY
45-46	FI
47-48	FX } Activity - V
49-50	OY
51-52	FI
53-54	FX } Activity - VI
55-56	OY
57-59	Total scores on GAL7
60-66	Scores on Item NO 1 to 12 of GAL7 (0 for wrong, 1 for correct)
67-69	Achievement in Mathematics
70-71	Achievement in Science
72-74	Aggregate achievement scores

	POPULATION (5-10-56)	REVENUE (5-59-52)	REVENUE (5-1-54)	ROADS (5-5-56)	GRAND (5-70)	GOWDAMERS (654)	LAND (5-72)	CHURCH (5-64)	GENERAL (5-64)	GRAND (5-64)								
1955	99.65	17.34	100.75	16.92	92.40	18.27	97.75	18.02	103.06	15.31	99.34	16.50	100.24	18.60	100.24	18.03	95.36	20.03
1956	100.29	16.84	102.16	16.13	87.89	16.03	98.85	17.06	101.12	16.25	100.23	16.13	100.44	17.07	100.77	16.62	94.95	18.22
1957	99.34	17.25	100.74	17.60	90.02	10.56	98.94	18.31	100.07	14.97	98.48	15.70	100.88	19.46	99.92	17.36	92.78	11.12
1958	299.30	44.95	303.66	44.11	270.32	39.48	296.52	46.65	304.29	44.89	298.03	41.81	39.55	49.91	300.75	44.82	283.10	43.37
1959	202.20	32.67	204.19	33.41	189.00	23.31	194.30	31.73	216.25	29.44	199.11	31.06	207.66	34.66	203.28	32.92	190.28	27.04
1960	151.51	22.31	153.62	22.04	177.54	18.76	150.00	22.67	154.22	21.36	151.19	21.67	152.10	28.36	152.75	22.30	144.33	21.22
1961	208.92	32.11	211.58	32.18	180.37	21.09	203.68	30.82	216.48	32.71	205.84	31.24	212.62	33.13	209.37	32.64	196.19	21.98
1962	562.04	78.85	569.42	79.30	512.91	54.03	548.00	77.36	586.94	75.17	535.16	75.35	572.30	84.15	564.83	79.29	530.73	60.06
1963	301.87	44.25	304.94	44.73	281.41	34.30	292.04	43.37	319.30	40.22	298.45	41.31	307.90	68.39	303.32	44.29	285.57	40.39
1964	251.81	33.98	255.78	32.68	223.43	30.47	249.84	34.53	275.33	32.66	251.41	32.19	252.34	36.89	252.92	33.72	239.29	34.46
1965	387.64	44.74	312.33	44.87	276.39	28.20	302.62	44.48	316.55	43.79	304.31	41.80	313.49	48.92	309.30	45.53	286.98	28.36
1966	861.36	112.12	873.10	111.38	783.22	82.48	844.54	111.48	891.19	107.80	854.21	103.91	873.94	124.82	865.60	112.89	813.83	91.21
1967	3.61	1.31	5.05	1.12	4.28	1.62	5.34	1.42	6.12	0.89	5.63	1.32	5.69	1.28	5.74	1.23	4.65	1.65
1968	3.91	1.96	4.22	1.85	1.87	1.39	3.48	1.99	4.67	1.64	3.79	1.98	4.11	1.89	4.06	1.92	2.26	1.64
1969	5.40	1.30	5.56	1.19	4.29	1.47	5.21	1.34	5.73	1.15	5.33	1.33	5.52	1.23	5.47	1.26	4.63	1.48
1970	1.72	1.71	1.80	1.79	1.18	0.86	1.62	1.59	1.91	1.80	1.73	1.70	1.71	1.71	1.77	1.75	1.17	6.903
1971	2234.37	1131.37	2339.32	140.30	1402.61	594.72	2096.13	1151.71	2479.46	1052.94	2205.98	1083.49	2284.27	1203.70	2287.70	1141.97	1656.31	793.36
1972	5.69	1.63	5.52	1.33	6.77	1.59	5.75	1.47	5.59	1.35	5.69	1.42	5.68	1.44	5.62	1.40	6.45	1.516
1973	63.43	13.00	64.42	13.80	56.81	10.93	64.18	13.00	62.09	12.90	61.49	12.67	66.83	12.89	63.75	13.04	59.84	12.08
1974	59.95	11.15	60.74	11.29	54.54	8.35	59.98	11.44	59.83	10.63	58.32	10.99	62.75	10.89	60.23	11.13	56.51	10.84
1975	282.20	53.01	296.44	53.50	283.94	30.75	283.34	53.66	286.81	51.49	284.89	50.11	305.05	55.53	293.76	53.18	274.64	48.01

TOTAL POPULATION (N =)										URBAN (N =)										RURAL (N =)									
CONCRETE			TRANSITIONAL			F. FORM			CONCRETE			TRANSITIONAL			FORM			CONCRETE			TRANSITIONAL			FORM					
N=263			N=245			N=210			N=449			N=233			N=53			N=69			N=12								
N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I	N	SD	I						
92.57	18.48	99.06	16.19	108.55	14.08	93.65	18.41	99.67	15.83	109.26	13.56	88.29	18.31	95.13	18.06	94.84	17.39												
91.90	16.38	99.51	15.24	111.01	14.46	94.41	15.89	99.71	14.85	111.97	13.60	81.92	14.52	91.71	15.56	92.28	18.32												
86.97	8.50	97.51	15.33	116.53	17.83	88.04	0.49	98.02	15.84	117.47	17.53	82.73	7.20	94.18	8.68	98.35	13.95												
271.44	30.10	296.07	37.92	336.09	40.74	286.11	37.46	298.38	37.36	338.70	38.95	252.95	35.11	281.02	37.43	285.46	45.08												
184.21	24.53	199.75	28.61	226.76	33.56	184.14	25.12	201.18	29.17	228.12	33.31	184.45	22.26	190.53	22.73	288.38	27.86												
158.16	18.97	149.85	19.46	169.43	19.29	140.31	19.11	151.09	19.27	170.68	18.52	129.62	15.91	742.32	18.97	144.99	18.17												
182.73	17.55	204.97	23.40	242.79	30.11	185.18	17.34	207.01	23.38	244.25	29.93	173.03	14.51	191.72	18.84	214.49	17.02												
505.08	52.00	554.58	61.82	638.97	75.13	509.63	52.91	559.19	61.80	643.05	73.71	487.11	43.95	524.57	52.98	559.86	58.39												
276.77	36.16	298.81	38.46	335.31	42.95	277.79	36.90	300.84	38.70	337.38	42.28	282.75	33.05	285.66	34.09	295.22	36.83												
238.06	30.33	249.35	28.55	280.44	27.82	234.73	29.31	251.71	27.74	282.66	25.84	211.54	27.29	234.03	29.08	237.26	30.53												
289.71	21.07	302.47	28.36	359.32	42.35	273.21	20.47	305.02	29.33	361.72	41.66	255.76	17.41	285.89	23.53	312.85	26.20												
776.53	76.84	858.60	83.92	973.07	103.85	785.74	76.42	857.59	82.88	981.75	100.41	740.06	67.35	805.59	177.42	845.33	85.09												
5.24	1.49	5.27	1.28	6.26	0.89	5.52	1.30	5.77	1.07	66.32	0.85	4.11	1.70	4.24	1.57	5.25	1.21												
3.20	1.88	3.79	1.93	4.92	1.68	3.51	1.86	4.11	1.81	5.06	1.57	1.98	1.43	1.74	1.57	2.16	1.33												
3.05	1.34	5.28	1.25	6.03	1.14	5.32	1.11	5.41	1.17	6.08	1.84	3.98	1.61	4.39	1.40	5.08	0.67												
1.36	1.25	1.52	1.47	2.54	2.26	1.37	1.28	1.58	1.55	2.62	2.29	1.28	1.11	1.13	0.70	1.00	0.00												
1724.32	161.36	2030.56	894.41	3085.71	1417.92	1818.81	762.46	2193.89	888.00	3165.23	1406.99	1350.94	637.19	1418.11	538.16	1541.66	331.54												
3.93	1.44	31.77	1.42	5.26	1.36	5.72	1.53	5.61	1.31	5.18	1.29	6.73	1.57	6.81	1.61	6.73	1.71												
3.30	9.31	63.12	9.38	73.83	10.03	51.74	9.71	64.31	9.67	76.06	10.08	49.55	7.35	59.87	9.70	71.33	8.05												
7.59	59.35	10.36	71.88	71.88	4.99	50.10	8.25	59.82	8.25	72.09	7.95	49.66	4.85	36.27	8.46	66.08	6.67												
29.99	29.83	35.36	948.16	42.95	238.52	33.24	285.15	35.82	358.28	42.65	236.04	26.59	277.90	34.17	306.91	24.38													


Coefficients of Correlation (GALT)

Test	'r'
Conservation	0.57xxx
Proportional Reasoning	0.45xx
Controlling Variable	0.66xxx
Probabilistic Reasoning	0.50xx
Correlational Reasoning	0.78xxx
Combinational Reasoning	0.88xxx
Total	0.71xxx


xx - p < .01
xxx - p < .001


FIGURES























LIST OF SCHOOLS

I. URBAN AREAS

A. BOYS

(a) Government Schools

1. Ludhlo Castle.
2. Block-c, Tankpur.
3. Vivek Vihar.
4. Model School, Sarojini Nagar.
5. No-2, Sarojini Nagar.
6. Delhi Cantt.

(b) Government Aided Schools

1. Ramjas School, R.K. Puram Sector - IV.
2. Jain Homiendpur, Sadar Bazaar.
3. G.A. Dutta D.A.V. School Nizammudin.
4. M.B. D.A.V. School, Yasant Sarai.
5. H.P. S. School Darya ganj.
6. DCM School, New Ajayindra Kollah Rd.

B. GIRLS

(a) Government Schools

1. Delhi Cantt.
2. Block-c: Tankpur.
3. No-1, Sarojini Nagar.
4. No-3, Sarojini Nagar.
5. Sect. V, R.K. Puram.
6. Sector, VII, R.K. Puram.
7. East of Kirti Nagar.
8. Roshni Nagar.
9. ...

(b) Aided Schools

1. Nar Hind, Dev Nagar.
2. Salwan school, Rajendra Nagar.
3. Rai Kedar Nath school, Kerochhap.
5. S. S. K. S. S. Dariya gamp,
6. Ramjas school, Dariya gamp

II RURAL AREAS

A. BOYS

(a) Government schools

1. Biywasan.
2. Katewra
3. Surkhra
4. Palam Village.
5. Shah Mohd. pur
6. Banknair

(b) Government aided schools

1. D. A. S. S. S. Samaspur
2. V. S. A. S. S. S. Kheragaathi
3. A. S. Kanjhawala.

B. GIRLS

(a) Government school

1. Kanjhawala.